1	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
	Vivian L. Thoreen, SBN 224162
2	Jonathan H. Park, SBN 239965
	Roger B. Coven, SBN 134389
3	400 South Hope Street, 8th Floor
	Los Angeles, CA 90071
4	Telephone: 213.896.2400
	Fax: 213.896.2450
5	E-mail: vivian.thoreen@hklaw.com
	jonathan.park@hklaw.com
6	roger.coven@hklaw.com
7	Attorneys for James P. Spears,
′	Conservator of the Estate
Ω	Conservator of the Estate
U	

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS, OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF **BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS** Conservatee.

[Prob. Code, §§ 1861, 1863]

Case No. BP108870

Date: Time: Dept.:

Judge: Hon. Brenda J. Penny

James P. Spears ("Mr. Spears"), as Conservator of the Estate of Britney Jean Spears, respectfully presents his Petition for Termination of Conservatorship of the Person and Estate of Britney Jean Spears (the "Petition"), and alleges as follows:

I. **INTRODUCTION**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1. For thirteen years, Britney Jean Spears ("Ms. Spears") has been under a conservatorship of both the person and the estate. Ms. Spears entered into the conservatorship voluntarily in 2008. To this day, her attorneys have never filed a petition to terminate the conservatorship. The conservatorship has helped Ms. Spears get through a major life crisis, rehabilitate and advance her career, and put her finances and her affairs in order. But recently, things have changed. Ms. Spears is now outspoken in her frustration with the level of control imposed by a conservatorship, and has pleaded with this Court to "let her have her life back." In recent months, she has attended two Court proceedings, has certainly made her wishes known about the conservatorship, and has asked this Court directly to end the conservatorship.
- 2. Ms. Spears has told this Court that she wants control of her life back without the safety rails of a conservatorship. She wants to be able to make decisions regarding her own medical care, deciding when, where and how often to get therapy. She wants to control the money she has made from her career and spend it without supervision or oversight. She wants to be able to get married and have a baby, if she so chooses. In short, she wants to live her life as she chooses without the constraints of a conservator or court proceeding.
- 3. As Mr. Spears has said again and again, all he wants is what is best for his daughter. If Ms. Spears wants to terminate the conservatorship and believes that she can handle her own life, Mr. Spears believes that she should get that chance. Ms. Spears recently testified that she did not know, at least in the past, that she could petition to end the conservatorship without submitting to a full psychological evaluation. Given Ms. Spears' testimony, Mr. Spears does not know why a petition to terminate the conservatorship has not yet been filed. Mr. Spears, however, has heard his daughter, and she now is pleading for the Court to end the conservatorship. Mr. Spears believes that Ms. Spears is entitled to have this Court now seriously consider whether this conservatorship is no longer required.

II. MS. SPEARS HAS PLEADED WITH THIS COURT TO END HER CONSERVATORSHIP

4. On June 23, 2021, Ms. Spears made an impassioned plea to this Court to end her conservatorship.¹ She explained that she had been told that she could not end her conservatorship without going through another psychological evaluation, something she simply could not face, and that she did not know that she could file a petition to end it. (Exh. A, 6/23/21 Tr., at p. 16.) She then told the Court:

I just want my life back. And it's been 13 years and it's enough. It's been a long time since I've owned my money. And it's my wish and my dream for all of this to end without being tested.

(*Id.*, at pp. 16-17.) "The main reason why I'm here is because I want to end the conservatorship without having to be evaluated." (*Id.*, at pp. 18-19.) She said it over and over. (*Id.*, at p. 22 ("The conservatorship should end."); *id.* ("I want to end the conservatorship without being evaluated.").

My requests are just to end the conservatorship without being evaluated. I want to petition basically to end the conservatorship.

(*Id.*, at p. 23.)

5. Ms. Spears told the Court that she wanted to live a normal life:

I'd like for my boyfriend to be able to drive me in his car. And I want to meet with the therapist once a week, not twice a week. And I want him to come to my home because I actually know I do need a little therapy.... And I would like to progressively move forward, and I want to have the real deal. I want to be able to get married and have a baby. I was told right now in the conservatorship I'm not able to get married or have a baby.... So basically this conservatorship is doing me way more harm than good. I deserve to have a life.... I deserve to have the same rights as anybody does by having a child, a family, any of those things, and more so.

(Exh. A, 6/23/21 Tr., at pp. 24-25.)

6. On July 14, 2021, Ms. Spears again addressed this Court, and again made a plea to end her conservatorship.² "I also, again, want to petition the court to end the conservatorship, but only if I don't have to be evaluated." (Exh. B, 7/14/21 Tr., at p. 23.)

A true and correct copy of the Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings for June 23, 2021 ("6/23/21 Tr.") is attached hereto as Exhibit A for the convenience of the Court.

A true and correct copy of the Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings for July 14, 2021 ("7/14/21 Tr.") is attached hereto as Exhibit B for the convenience of the Court.

Tel.: 213.896.2400 Fax: 213.896.2450

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III. THIS COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO END THIS CONSERVATORSHIP WITHOUT ORDERING A PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION

- 7. Probate Code section 1861 provides that a petition for termination of a conservatorship may be filed by the conservator, among others.
- Probate Code section 1863, subdivision (b), provides, "If the court determines that the conservatorship is no longer required or that grounds for establishment of a conservatorship of the person or estate, or both, no longer exist, the court shall make this finding and shall enter judgment terminating the conservatorship accordingly."
- 9. The Probate Code does not require that any mental or psychological evaluation of the conservatee be performed before a conservatorship is terminated. Accordingly, this Court has adequate authority under the Probate Code to terminate this conservatorship if it finds that this conservatorship is no longer required or that the grounds for establishment of this conservatorship of the person and the estate no longer exist.

IV. THE COURT SHOULD EVALUATE WHETHER THIS CONSERVATORSHIP IS STILL REQUIRED GIVEN RECENT EVENTS AND CHANGED **CIRCUMSTANCES**

- 10. Recent events related to this conservatorship have called into question whether circumstances have changed to such an extent that grounds for establishment of a conservatorship may no longer exist or that this conservatorship may no longer be required.
- 11. First, as noted above, Ms. Spears has made it very clear to this Court that she wants the conservatorship to be terminated. Ms. Spears has also made it clear that she does not want to have to go through another psychological evaluation, but as discussed above, the Probate Code does not require a psychological evaluation as a precondition to termination.
- 12. Second, after listening to Ms. Spears' recent testimony, the Court authorized Ms. Spears to select and retain counsel of her choosing, rather than appointing counsel for her. (Exh. B, 7/14/21 Tr., at pp. 6-8.) In doing so, this Court has recognized that Ms. Spears has both the capacity and capability to identify, engage, and instruct counsel of her own choice, on her own, without the assistance of the Conservator or the Court. If Ms. Spears has the capacity and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

capability to engage counsel on her own, she presumably has capacity and capability to handle other contractual and business matters. In addition to being able to choose and instruct her own attorney, Ms. Spears should be given the opportunity to hire her own business manager, financial advisor, and security to protect both her estate and her person.

- 13. Third, Ms. Spears has recently demonstrated a level of independence that calls into question whether a conservator of the person is required. It appears from public media reports that Ms. Spears has been driving in the community on her own. Accordingly, either the current temporary conservator of the person Jodi Montgomery has given Ms. Spears permission to drive on her own, or Ms. Spears has taken that right on her own. It was also made clear in her statements to the Court on June 23, 2021, that Ms. Spears wants to control how frequently she obtains therapy and where that therapy is given. (Exh. A, 6/23/21 Tr., at pp. 22 & 24.) Accordingly, Ms. Spears should be given the opportunity to hire her own doctors and manage her medical care, including her therapy.
- 14. Given Ms. Spears' impassioned pleas to this Court and the clearly changed circumstances referred to above, it is in Ms. Spears' best interest that the Court consider whether this conservatorship is still required or whether the grounds for establishment of this conservatorship of the person and estate no longer exist.

V. NOTICE

15. The names and addresses of the persons entitled to notice of this Petition are:

Britney J. Spears	Communication
c/o Mathew S. Rosengart	Conservatee
Greenberg Traurig LLP	
1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900	
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121	
Tel: 310-586-7700	
E-Mail: RosengartM@gtlaw.com	
Mathew S. Rosengart	
Greenberg Traurig LLP	Attorney for Conservatee
1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900	
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121	
Tel: 310-586-7700	
E-Mail: RosengartM@gtlaw.com	

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREFORE, Mr. Spears requests an Order of the Court as follows:

- 1. Finding that all notices required by law have been properly given;
- 2. Determining that the Conservatorship of the Person of Britney Jean Spears is no longer required or that grounds for establishment of a conservatorship of the person no longer exist;
 - Terminating the Conservatorship of the Person of Britney Jean Spears; 3.
- 4. Discharging Jodi Montgomery as Temporary Conservator of the Person upon settlement and approval of a final report/account by the Court;
- 5. Determining that the Conservatorship of the Estate of Britney Jean Spears is no longer required or that grounds for establishment of a conservatorship of the estate no longer exist;
 - 6. Terminating the Conservatorship of the Estate of Britney Jean Spears;
- 7. Discharging James P. Spears as Conservator of the Estate upon settlement and approval of a final report/account by the Court;
 - 8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 7, 2021 **HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP**

By:

Vivian L. Thoreen,

Attorneys for James P. Spears, Conservator of the Estate

know its contents. The facts set forth the which are stated on information and bel

VERIFICATION

I, James P. Spears, as Conservato	or of the Estate of Britney Jean Spears, have read the
toing IAMES P SPEARS' PETIT	TION FOR TERMINATION OF

CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE PERSON AND ESTATE OF BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS and

know its contents. The facts set forth therein are true of my knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing 9/7/2021 | 11:32:59 AM PDT is true and correct. Executed on this _____ day of September 2021 at Lafayette, Louisiana.

DocuSigned by:

85C1C2B9A0CD44F...

James P. Spears

EXHIBIT A

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
3	DEPARTMENT 4	HON. BRENDA J. PENNY, JUDGE		
4				
5	IN RE THE CONSERVATORSHIP OF:)			
6	BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS,) NO. BP108870		
7	CONSERVATEE.)		
8)		
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
10	WEDNESDAY, JUNE 23, 2021			
11	APPEARANCES:			
12	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT			
13	COURT-APPOINTED CO-COUNSEL FOR BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS, CONSERVATEE:	SAMUEL D. INGHAM, III		
14	CONSERVATEE:	444 SOUTH FLOWER STREET,		
15		SUITE 4260 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071		
16		LOEB & LOEB LLP		
17		BY: DAVID C. NELSON, ESQ. RONALD C. PEARSON, ESQ. 10100 SOUTH SANTA MONICA		
18		BOULEVARD, SUITE 2200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067		
19	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT	FREEMAN FREEMAN AND SMILEY, LLP		
20	FOR JAMES P. SPEARS, CO-CONSERVATOR OF THE	BY: GERALDINE A. WYLE JERYLL S. COHEN		
21	ESTATE:	ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1888 CENTURY PARK EAST,		
22		SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067		
23		HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP		
24		BY: VIVIAN L. THOREEN, JONATHAN H. PARK,		
25		ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET,		
26		8TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071		
27		LISA D. LUNA, CSR #10229		
28	COPY	OFFICIAL REPORTER		
		ı		

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:		
2	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT FOR JODI PACE MONTGOMERY,	WRIGHT KIM DOUGLAS, ALC	
3	TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON:	ATTORNEY AT LAW	
4	or the reason.	GLENDALE, CA 91205	
5			
6	VIA TELEPHONE FOR LYNNE SPEARS.	GINZBURG & BRONSHTEYN, APC BY: YASHA BRONSHTEYN, ESQ. 11111 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD,	
7	INTERESTED PARTY:	11111 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD, SUITE 1840	
8		LOS ANGELES, CA 90025	
9	VIA TELEPHONE:	JONES SWANSON HUDDELL &	
10		DASCHBACH, LLC BY: LYNN E. SWANSON,	
11		GLADSTONE N. JONES, III ATTORNEYS AT LAW	
12		PAN-AMERICAN LIFE CENTER 601 PYODRAS STREET, SUITE 2655	
13		NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	CASE NUMBER: BP1	08870		
2	CASE NAME: IN	RE: THE MATTER OF		
3	BRI	TNEY JEAN SPEARS -		
4	CON	SERVATORSHIP		
5	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA WED	NESDAY, JUNE 23, 2021		
6	DEPARTMENT 4 HON	. BRENDA J. PENNY, JUDGE		
7	REPORTER: LIS	A D. LUNA, CSR #10229		
8	TIME: 1:4	1 A.M.		
9				
10	APPEARANCES:			
11	AS INDICATED HEREIN			
12	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT.			
13				
14	THE CLERK: IF I CAN HAVE ALL PARTIES ON COURT CONNECT			
15	PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN.			
16				
17	ALL PARTIES,			
18	CALLED AS WITNESSES BY THE COL	URT, WERE DULY SWORN AND		
19	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:			
20	THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY ST	TATE THAT THE TESTIMONY		
21	YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE MATTE	ER IS THE TRUTH, THE		
22	WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE 1	WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?		
23	ALL PARTIES: I DO.			
24	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. REMAIN ON THE LINE FOR THE			
25	JUDGE TO TAKE THE BENCH.			
26				
27	(PROCEEDINGS DELAYED	DUE TO		
28	TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES WITH RAAP.)			

THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. I WANT
TO THANK THE PARTIES FOR THEIR PATIENCE WHILE WE WORKED
THROUGH SOME TECHNICAL ISSUES. AND WE'VE GOTTEN THEM
RESOLVED. AND BEFORE I GET THE APPEARANCES OF THE COUNSEL
AND THEN THE PARTIES, I HAVE SOME ANNOUNCEMENTS THAT I
NEED TO MAKE.

SO FOR THE PARTIES IN DEPARTMENT 4, AS WELL AS
THE OVERFLOW COURTROOM IN DEPARTMENT 1, THERE ARE TO BE NO
PHOTOS, NO LAPTOPS, NO PHONES OF ANY NATURE, ONLY PEN AND
PAPER AND PENCIL, IF YOU HAVE THAT, THAT CAN BE USED FOR
NOTE TAKING.

AND RECORDINGS -- AND I'M ANNOUNCING THIS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PARTIES IN BOTH THE COURTROOMS AS WELL AS THOSE APPEARING ON RAAP WHICH IS THE REMOTE AUDIO ATTENDANCE PROGRAM -- RECORDINGS ARE PROHIBITED, OF ANY KIND, ARE PROHIBITED EITHER IN THE COURTROOM HERE IN DEPARTMENT 4, DEPARTMENT 1, OR THE PARTIES APPEARING ON RAAP. THERE IS NO BE NO LIVE TWEETING, NO ELECTRONICS, AND AGAIN, NO RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IS PERMITTED.

SO NEXT I'M GOING TO GET THE APPEARANCE OF THE ATTORNEYS AND THE PARTIES. AND THEN I WANT TO HEAR FROM MS. SPEARS, AND MR. INGHAM, AND THEN THE OTHER PARTIES, AND THEN WE'LL DISCUSS SOME HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS ONCE WE'RE DONE WITH THAT. AND THEN THERE IS AN ISSUE THAT I WANT TO DISCUSS WITH THE PARTIES BEFORE WE CONCLUDE.

SO I'M GOING TO GET THE APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL FIRST, AND THEN I'M GOING TO GET THE APPEARANCE OF THE PARTIES. SO I'M GOING TO START FIRST WITH -- AND I'M

```
DOING -- JUST DOING IT IN ORDER WHICH I HAVE EVERYBODY
 1
 2
    HERE, SO IT'S NO PARTICULAR ORDER OTHER THAN THE ORDER
    THAT'S LISTED ON THE SHEET THAT I HAVE.
 3
             MR. NELSON, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO.
 4
        MR. NELSON: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. DAVID
 5
 6
    NELSON OF LOEB AND LOEB, APPEARING AS COURT-APPOINTED
 7
    CO-COUNSEL FOR MS. BRITNEY SPEARS.
 8
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
 9
             AND MS. WYLE, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO, I BELIEVE.
        MS. WYLE: YOU DO, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON.
10
11
       THE COURT: YES.
             AND MR. PEARSON, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO, I BELIEVE
12
13
    AS WELL.
        MR. PEARSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON. RON
14
    PEARSON OF LOEB AND LOEB, COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL FOR
15
16
    MS. BRITNEY SPEARS.
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
17
18
             AND MR. INGHAM, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO THIS
19
    AFTERNOON.
        MR. INGHAM: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. SAMUEL
20
    INGHAM, COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL FOR BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS.
21
22
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
23
             AND MS. WRIGHT, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO.
24
        MS. WRIGHT: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON. LAURIANN WRIGHT;
25
    WRIGHT, KIM, DOUGLAS. I'M THE ATTORNEY FOR JODI
26
    MONTGOMERY, WHO SERVES AS THE TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR OF THE
27
    PERSON.
28
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
```

```
AND MR. BRONSHTEYN, I'VE GOT YOU ON THE PHONE, I
 1
 2
     BELIEVE.
 3
         MR. BRONSHTEYN: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
 4
     I'M PRESENT.
 5
         THE COURT: YES.
              AND MR. PARK, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO, I BELIEVE.
 6
         MR. PARK: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. JONATHAN
 7
     PARK OF HOLLAND AND KNIGHT FOR CONSERVATOR JAMES P.
 8
 9
     SPEARS.
10
         THE COURT: THANK YOU.
11
              AND MS. COHEN, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO AS WELL.
12
         MS. COHEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. JERYLL COHEN OF FREEMAN,
13
     FREEMAN, AND SMILEY, APPEARING FOR CONSERVATOR JAMES P.
14
     SPEARS.
15
         THE COURT: THANK YOU.
16
              AND MS. THOREEN, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO AS WELL.
17
         MS. THOREEN: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
     VIVIAN THOREEN OF HOLLAND AND KNIGHT, APPEARING ON BEHALF
18
     OF JAMES P. SPEARS, CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE.
19
20
         THE COURT: THANK YOU.
21
             AND MR. JONES, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO THIS
22
    AFTERNOON.
23
        MR. JONES: YES, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON.
    GLADSTONE JONES FROM JONES SWANSON, ON BEHALF OF LYNNE
24
25
     SPEARS. THANK YOU FOR HAVING US.
26
        THE COURT: THANK YOU. YES, OF COURSE.
27
             AND THEN I WANT TO GET THE APPEARANCES OF THE
    PARTIES. I'M GOING TO START WITH BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS.
28
```

```
GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. SPEARS. I BELIEVE YOU'RE ON
1
2
    THE TELEPHONE.
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: HI. GOOD AFTERNOON.
3
        THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. THANK YOU FOR COMING IN
4
    TODAY.
5
             AND MS. MONTGOMERY, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO.
6
        MS. MONTGOMERY: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON. JODI PACE
7
8
    MONTGOMERY, TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR FOR BRITNEY SPEARS.
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
9
             AND MS. LYNNE SPEARS, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO, I
10
11
    BELIEVE.
        MS. LYNNE SPEARS: NO, I'M ON TELEPHONE, YOUR HONOR.
12
        THE COURT: OH, OKAY. NOT A PROBLEM. GOOD AFTERNOON,
13
    MS. SPEARS.
14
             AND MR. SPEARS, I'M SHOWING YOU ON VIDEO, BUT YOU
15
16
    MIGHT BE ON THE PHONE.
        MR. JAMIE SPEARS: YES, YOUR HONOR, I'M ON THE PHONE.
17
     JAMES P. SPEARS, CO-CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE OF BRITNEY
18
19
    JEAN SPEARS.
         THE COURT: THANK YOU.
20
             AND ALSO, I BELIEVE MS. LYNN SWANSON, YOU ARE ON
21
22
     THE PHONE; IS THAT CORRECT?
         MS. SWANSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON.
23
24
     IS LYNN SWANSON FROM JONES SWANSON. I AM HERE ON BEHALF
25
     OF LYNNE SPEARS.
         THE COURT: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU AS WELL.
26
             AND SO, MR. INGHAM, YOU KNOW, THE STATUS HEARING
27
    WAS SET AT YOUR REQUEST BECAUSE MS. SPEARS DID WANT TO
28
```

ADDRESS THE COURT THIS AFTERNOON. BUT I -- BEFORE I GET TO HER, I WANTED TO TALK TO YOU FIRST TO SEE IF YOU HAD ANYTHING YOU WANTED TO SAY BEFORE I GO TO HER.

MR. INGHAM: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I GREATLY APPRECIATE THAT. THIS INDEED IS A SPECIAL STATUS HEARING THAT WAS SET AT THE REQUEST OF MY CLIENT. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE ONLY ITEM ON THE AGENDA, APART FROM WHATEVER QUESTIONS THE COURT WOULD LIKE TO ASK, IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR MY CLIENT TO ADDRESS THE COURT.

WE HAVE EMPLOYED THIS PROCEDURE SEVERAL TIMES IN THE PAST BOTH IN THIS DEPARTMENT AND IN THE PREVIOUS DEPARTMENT THAT HANDLED THIS CASE, AND ESSENTIALLY, MY CLIENT, AT ANY TIME THAT SHE WANTS TO ADDRESS THE COURT, THE COURT WILL MAKE ITSELF AVAILABLE AND SET A STATUS HEARING SUCH AS THIS ONE.

THIS IS -- THE GROUND RULES HERE, I BELIEVE, ARE VERY SIMPLE. IT'S AN OPEN-ENDED HEARING. MY CLIENT IS FREE TO DISCUSS ANY ASPECT OF THE CONSERVATORSHIP THAT SHE WISHES, AND IS WELCOME TO SAY WHATEVER SHE LIKES. FOR THE RECORD, I WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT I HAVE NOT IN ANY WAY ATTEMPTED TO CONTROL OR FILTER OR EDIT ANYTHING THAT SHE HAS TO SAY TODAY. THESE ARE ENTIRELY HER WORDS. AND SHE'S ON HER OWN INDEPENDENT PHONE CONNECTION. I WILL NOT INTERRUPT HER AT ANY POINT, THAT ONCE SHE STARTS SPEAKING, IRRESPECTIVE OF WHAT SHE SAYS, I WILL NOT IN ANY WAY ATTEMPT TO STOP HER FROM SPEAKING OR TEXT HER OR ANYTHING ELSE. AND I WOULD ASK THE SAME COURTESY OF ALL COUNSEL, THAT ONCE SHE STARTS, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF SHE WOULD

BE ALLOWED TO FINISH IN HER OWN DUE COURSE. AND THAT'S REALLY ALL I HAVE TO SAY, YOUR HONOR, AT THIS POINT.

THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. INGHAM. SO I WOULD ALSO ECHO WHAT MR. INGHAM SAID, THAT WHEN MS. SPEARS IS SPEAKING, PLEASE, NOBODY TRY TO REACH OUT TO HER BY -- IN ANY WAY.

DID ANY OF THE COUNSEL HAVE ANYTHING THEY WANTED TO SAY BEFORE I GET TO MS. SPEARS?

MS. WRIGHT: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MS. WRIGHT. I DID
WANT TO ASK -- WE DON'T KNOW, OBVIOUSLY, WHAT MS. SPEARS
IS GOING TO SAY, AND WE'RE HAPPY THAT SHE'S HERE TODAY TO
ADDRESS HER CONCERNS WITH THE COURT. BUT IF WHAT SHE'S
GOING TO SAY MAY IMPACT HER MEDICAL PRIVACY, MY CLIENT
DOES HOLD THOSE MEDICAL PRIVACY RIGHTS, AND I WOULD ASK
THAT WE PLEASE SEAL THE TRANSCRIPT AND CLEAR THE COURTROOM
SO THAT WE CAN PRESERVE THOSE MEDICAL RIGHTS. I THINK
IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. AND IT COULD BE THAT SHE BRINGS UP
ISSUES RELATED TO HER FAMILY AND HER MINOR CHILDREN, AND
THEY HAVE THEIR OWN PRIVACY RIGHTS, AND I THINK ANYTHING
SAID ABOUT THEM --

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: I THINK THEY'VE DONE A GOOD JOB

AT -- AT EXPLOITING MY LIFE IN THE WAY THAT THEY'VE DONE,

UM, MY LIFE, AND I FEEL LIKE IT SHOULD BE AN OPEN COURT

HEARING, AND THEY SHOULD LISTEN AND, UM, HEAR WHAT I HAVE

TO SAY.

THE COURT: OH, OKAY. THAT WAS MS. SPEARS SPEAKING. OKAY.

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THAT WAS ME, YES.

THE COURT: THANK YOU, MS. SPEARS. ALL RIGHT. SO 1 WITH THAT SAID, MR. INGHAM, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU 2 3 WANTED TO SAY BEFORE I HAVE MS. SPEARS SPEAK TO THE COURT? 4 MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, ALL I WAS GOING TO SAY IS THAT MY CLIENT HAS INDICATED TO ME THAT SHE WANTS THE 5 HEARING TO BE OPEN. 6 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 7 SO MS. SPEARS -- AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR INTEREST 8 IN APPEARING AT THE COURT TODAY. AND I DO RECALL THE LAST 9 TIME THAT I HAD A CHANCE TO MEET YOU, SO I'M GLAD THAT 10 YOU'RE BACK HERE TODAY --11 MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: UH-HUH. 12 13 THE COURT: -- AS WELL. YOU WERE HERE, I BELIEVE IN 2019, I BELIEVE YOU WERE IN THE COURTROOM. 14 15 MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: UH-HUH. THE COURT: SO I'M HAPPY TO HEAR FROM YOU, MS. SPEARS. 16 SO YOU MAY FEEL FREE TO ADDRESS ME AT THIS POINT. 17 MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY. WELL, UM, I JUST GOT A NEW 18 PHONE SO, UM, BEAR WITH ME. UM. OKAY. SO I HAVE THIS 19 WRITTEN. I HAVE A LOT TO SAY, SO BEAR WITH ME. 20 BASICALLY, A LOT HAS HAPPENED SINCE TWO YEARS AGO, THE 21 LAST TIME -- I WROTE ALL THIS DOWN -- THE LAST TIME I WAS 22 IN COURT. I WILL BE HONEST WITH YOU. I HAVEN'T BEEN BACK 23 24 TO COURT IN A LONG TIME BECAUSE I DON'T THINK I WAS HEARD 25 ON ANY LEVEL WHEN I CAME TO COURT THE LAST TIME. I BROUGHT FOUR SHEETS OF PAPER IN MY HANDS AND WROTE IN 26 27 LENGTH WHAT I HAVE BEEN THROUGH THE LAST FOUR MONTHS

BEFORE I CAME THERE. THE PEOPLE WHO DID THAT TO ME SHOULD

```
NOT BE ABLE TO WALK AWAY SO EASILY. I'LL RECAP: I WAS ON
1
2
    TOUR IN 2018 I WAS FORCED TO DO.
3
        THE REPORTER: YOUR HONOR --
 4
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: -- MY MANAGEMENT SAID IF I DON'T
5
    DO THIS TOUR, I WILL HAVE TO --
6
        THE COURT REPORTER: -- YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE HER
7
    SLOW DOWN.
8
        THE COURT: MS. SPEARS. MS. SPEARS. I JUST -- I HATE
9
    TO INTERRUPT YOU, BUT MY COURT REPORTER IS TAKING DOWN
10
    WHAT YOU'RE SAYING --
11
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY.
12
        THE COURT: -- AND SO YOU HAVE TO SPEAK A LITTLE MORE
13
    SLOWLY SO SHE'S ABLE TO HEAR YOU --
14
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY.
15
        THE COURT: -- AND THEN.
       MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: ABSOLUTELY. GREAT.
16
17
        THE COURT: SURE.
18
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY.
19
        THE COURT: NOT A PROBLEM.
20
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THE PEOPLE WHO DID THIS TO ME
21
    SHOULD NOT GET AWAY AND TO BE ABLE TO WALK AWAY SO EASILY.
22
    TO RECAP: I WAS ON TOUR IN 2018. I WAS FORCED TO DO.
23
             MY MANAGEMENT SAID IF I DON'T DO THIS TOUR, I
24
    WILL HAVE TO FIND AN ATTORNEY, AND BY CONTRACT, MY OWN
25
    MANAGEMENT COULD SUE ME IF I DIDN'T FOLLOW THROUGH WITH
26
    THE TOUR. HE HANDED ME A SHEET OF PAPER AS I GOT OFF THE
27
    STAGE IN VEGAS AND SAID I HAD TO SIGN IT. IT WAS VERY
```

THREATENING AND SCARY. AND WITH THE CONSERVATORSHIP, I

COULDN'T EVEN GET MY OWN ATTORNEY. SO OUT OF FEAR, I WENT AHEAD AND I DID THE TOUR.

WHEN I CAME OFF THAT TOUR, A NEW SHOW IN LAS
VEGAS WAS SUPPOSED TO TAKE PLACE. I STARTED REHEARSING
EARLY, BUT IT WAS HARD BECAUSE I'D BEEN DOING VEGAS FOR
FOUR YEARS, AND I NEEDED A BREAK IN BETWEEN. BUT, NO, I
WAS TOLD THIS IS THE TIMELINE AND THIS IS HOW IT'S GONNA
GO. I REHEARSED FOUR TO FOUR (SIC) DAYS A WEEK, HALF OF
THE TIME IN THE STUDIO AND HALF OF THE OTHER TIME IN A
WESTLAKE STUDIO. I WAS BASICALLY DIRECTING MOST OF THE
SHOW WITH MY WHEREABOUTS (SIC) WHERE I PREFER TO REHEARSE
AND ACTUALLY DID MOST OF THE CHOREOGRAPHY, MEANING I
TAUGHT MY DANCERS MY NEW CHOREOGRAPHY MYSELF. I TAKE
EVERYTHING I DO VERY SERIOUSLY. THERE ARE TONS OF VIDEOS
WITH ME AT THE REHEARSALS. I WASN'T GOOD; I WAS GREAT.

I LED A ROOM OF 16 NEW DANCERS IN REHEARSALS.

IT'S FUNNY TO HEAR MY MANAGERS' SIDE OF THE STORY. THEY

ALL SAID I WASN'T PARTICIPATING IN REHEARSALS, AND I NEVER

AGREED TO TAKE MY MEDICATION, WHICH MY MEDICATION IS ONLY

TAKEN IN THE MORNINGS, NEVER AT REHEARSAL. THEY DON'T

EVEN SEE ME, SO WHY ARE THEY EVEN CLAIMING THAT? WHEN I

SAID NO TO ONE DANCE MOVE INTO REHEARSALS, UM, IT WAS AS

IF I PLANTED A HUGE BOMB, UM, SOMEWHERE, AND I SAID, "NO.

I DON'T WANT TO DO IT THIS WAY."

AFTER THAT, MY MANAGEMENT, AND MY DANCERS, AND MY ASSISTANT OF THE NEW PEOPLE THAT WERE SUPPOSED TO DO THE NEW SHOW ALL WENT INTO A ROOM, SHUT THE DOOR, AND DIDN'T COME OUT FOR AT LEAST 45 MINUTES.

MA'AM, I'M NOT HERE TO BE ANYONE'S SLAVE. I CAN SAY NO TO A DANCE MOVE. I WAS TOLD BY MY, AT THE TIME THERAPIST, DR. BENSON, WHO DIED, THAT MY MANAGER CALLED AT THAT MOMENT AND TOLD HIM I WASN'T COOPERATING OR FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES IN REHEARSALS, AND HE ALSO SAID I WASN'T TAKING MY MEDICATION, WHICH IS SO DUMB BECAUSE I'VE HAD THE SAME LADY EVERY MORNING FOR THE PAST EIGHT YEARS GIVING ME MY SAME MEDICATION, AND I'M NOWHERE NEAR THESE STUPID PEOPLE. IT MADE NO SENSE AT ALL.

THERE WAS A WEEK PERIOD WHERE THEY WERE NICE TO

ME, AND I SAID, "I DON'T WANNA DO" -- AND I TOLD THEM, "I

DON'T WANNA DO THE," UM -- THEY -- WAIT. NO. THEY WERE

NICE TO ME. THEY SAID IF I DON'T WANNA DO THE NEW VEGAS

SHOW, I DON'T HAVE TO, BECAUSE I WAS GETTING REALLY

NERVOUS. I SAID, "I CAN WAIT." IT WAS LIKE -- THEY TOLD

ME I COULD WAIT. IT WAS LIKE LIFTING LITERALLY 200 POUNDS

OFF OF ME WHEN SHE SAID I DON'T HAVE TO DO THE SHOW

ANYMORE BECAUSE IT WAS REALLY, REALLY HARD ON MYSELF AND

IT WAS TOO MUCH. I COULDN'T TAKE IT ANYMORE.

SO I REMEMBER TELLING MY ASSISTANT THAT, BUT YOU KNOW WHAT? I FEEL WEIRD IF I SAY "NO." I FEEL LIKE THEY'RE GONNA COME BACK AND BE MEAN TO ME OR PUNISH ME OR SOMETHING.

THREE DAYS LATER AFTER I SAID NO TO VEGAS, MY
THERAPIST SAT ME DOWN IN A ROOM AND SAID HE HAD A MILLION
PHONE CALLS ABOUT HOW I WAS NOT COOPERATING IN REHEARSALS,
AND I HAVEN'T BEEN TAKING MY MEDICATION. ALL OF THIS WAS
FALSE.

HE IMMEDIATELY, THE NEXT DAY, PUT ME ON LITHIUM OUT OF NOWHERE. HE TOOK ME OFF MY NORMAL MEDS I'VE BEEN ON FIVE YEARS. AND LITHIUM IS A VERY, VERY STRONG AND COMPLETELY DIFFERENT MEDICATION COMPARED TO WHAT I WAS USED TO. YOU CAN GO MENTALLY IMPAIRED IF YOU TAKE TOO MUCH, IF YOU STAY ON IT LONGER THAN FIVE MONTHS, BUT HE PUT ME ON THAT AND I FELT DRUNK. I REALLY COULDN'T EVEN TAKE UP FOR MYSELF. I COULDN'T EVEN HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH MY MOM OR DAD, REALLY, ABOUT ANYTHING. I TOLD HIM I WAS SCARED AND MY DOCTOR HAD ME ON -- SIX DIFFERENT NURSES WITH THIS NEW MEDICATION, COME TO MY HOME, STAY WITH ME TO MONITOR ME ON THIS NEW MEDICATION WHICH I NEVER WANTED TO BE ON TO BEGIN WITH. THERE WERE SIX DIFFERENT NURSES IN MY HOME AND THEY WOULDN'T LET ME GET IN MY CAR TO GO ANYWHERE FOR A MONTH. 1.5

NOT ONLY DID MY FAMILY NOT DO A GODDAMN THING, MY DAD WAS ALL FOR IT. ANYTHING THAT HAPPENED TO ME HAD TO BE APPROVED BY MY DAD, AND MY DAD ONLY -- HE ACTED LIKE HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT I WAS TOLD I HAD TO BE TESTED OVER THE CHRISTMAS HOLIDAYS, BEFORE THEY SENT ME AWAY, WHEN MY KIDS WENT HOME TO LOUISIANA. HE WAS THE ONE WHO APPROVED ALL OF IT. MY WHOLE FAMILY DID NOTHING.

OVER THE TWO-WEEK HOLIDAY, A LADY CAME INTO MY
HOME FOR FOUR HOURS A DAY, SAT ME DOWN, AND DID A PSYCH
TEST ON ME. IT TOOK FOREVER. BUT I WAS -- I WAS TOLD I
HAD TO. THEN AFTER THAT, I GOT OFF OF -- OH, UM, WAIT. I
WAS TOLD I HAD TO. THEN AFTER, I GOT A PHONE CALL FROM MY
DAD SAYING, AFTER I DID THIS PSYCH TEST WITH THIS LADY,

BASICALLY SAYING I HAD FAILED THE TEST OR WHATEVER -- OR WHATEVER. "I'M SORRY, BRITNEY. YOU HAVE TO LISTEN TO YOUR DOCTORS. THEY ARE PLANNING TO SEND YOU TO A SMALL HOME IN BEVERLY HILLS TO DO A SMALL REHAB PROGRAM THAT WE'RE GOING TO MAKE UP FOR YOU. YOU'RE GOING TO PAY \$60,000.00 A MONTH FOR THIS."

I CRIED ON THE PHONE FOR AN HOUR, AND HE LOVED EVERY MINUTE OF IT. THE CONTROL HE HAD OVER SOMEONE AS POWERFUL AS ME. AS HE LOVED THE CONTROL TO HURT HIS OWN DAUGHTER 100,000 PERCENT. HE LOVED IT.

I PACKED MY BAGS AND WENT TO THAT PLACE. I
WORKED SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, NO DAYS OFF, WHICH IN CALIFORNIA
THE ONLY SIMILAR THING TO THIS IS CALLED SEX TRAFFICKING,
MAKING ANYONE WORK, WORK AGAINST THEIR WILL, TAKING ALL
THEIR POSSESSIONS AWAY; CREDIT CARDS, CASH, PHONE,
PASSPORT, CAR, AND PLACING THEM IN THE HOME WHERE THEY
WORK WITH THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE WITH THEM. THEY OFFERED -THEY ALL LIVED IN THE HOUSE WITH ME, THE NURSES, THE 24/7
SECURITY. SOME DAYS THERE WAS ONE CHEF THAT CAME IN THERE
AND COOKED FOR ME, UM, DAILY ONLY DURING THE WEEKDAYS.
THEY WATCHED ME CHANGE EVERY DAY, NAKED, MORNING, NOON,
AND NIGHT.

MY BODY -- I HAD NO PRIVACY DOOR FOR MY ROOM. I GAVE EIGHT GALLONS OF BLOOD A WEEK. I DIDN'T DO ANY OF MY MEETINGS AND WORKED FROM 8:00 TO 6:00 AT NIGHT, WHICH IS 10 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK, NO DAYS OFF. I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY KIDS OR MY BOYFRIEND. I NEVER HAD A SAY IN MY SCHEDULE. THEY ALWAYS TOLD ME I HAD TO DO THIS. AND,

MA'AM, I WILL TELL YOU, SITTING IN A CHAIR 10 HOURS A DAY,
7 DAYS A WEEK, IT AIN'T FUN. AND ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU
CAN'T WALK OUT THE FRONT DOOR.

AND THAT'S WHY I'M TELLING YOU THIS AGAIN

TWO YEARS LATER, AFTER I'VE LIED AND TOLD THE WHOLE WORLD

I'M OKAY AND I'M HAPPY. IT'S A LIE. I THOUGHT I -- JUST

MAYBE IF I SAID THAT ENOUGH MAYBE I MIGHT BECOME HAPPY,

BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN DENIAL. I'VE BEEN IN SHOCK. I AM

TRAUMATIZED. YOU KNOW, FAKE IT TILL YOU MAKE IT. BUT NOW

I'M TELLING YOU THE TRUTH, OKAY? I'M NOT HAPPY. I CAN'T

SLEEP. I'M SO ANGRY IT'S INSANE. AND I'M DEPRESSED. I

CRY EVERY DAY. AND THE REASON I'M TELLING YOU THIS IS

BECAUSE I DON'T THINK HOW THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CAN HAVE

ALL THIS WRITTEN IN THE COURT DOCUMENTS FROM THE TIME I

SHOWED UP, AND DO ABSOLUTELY NOTHING. JUST HIRE, WITH MY

MONEY, ANOTHER PERSON TO KEEP MY DAD ON-BOARD.

MA'AM, MY DAD AND ANYONE INVOLVED IN THIS

CONSERVATORSHIP, AND MY MANAGEMENT WHO PLAYED A HUGE ROLE
IN PUNISHING ME WHEN I SAID NO, MA'AM, THEY SHOULD BE IN

JAIL. THEIR CRUEL TACTICS WORKING FOR MILEY CYRUS AS SHE
SMOKES ON JOINTS ONSTAGE AT THE VMAS, NOTHING IS EVER DONE
TO THIS GENERATION FOR DOING WRONG THINGS. BUT MY
PRECIOUS BODY, WHO HAS WORKED FOR MY DAD FOR THE PAST
FUCKING 13 YEARS, TRYING TO BE SO GOOD AND PRETTY, SO
PERFECT WHEN HE WORKS ME SO HARD. WHEN I'D DO EVERYTHING
I'M TOLD, AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ALLOWED MY FATHER -IGNORANT FATHER TO TAKE HIS OWN DAUGHTER, WHO ONLY HAS A
ROLE WITH ME IF I WORK WITH HIM, THEY SET BACK THE WHOLE

COURSE AND ALLOWED HIM TO DO THAT TO ME? THAT'S GIVEN THESE PEOPLE I WORKED FOR WAY TOO MUCH CONTROL.

THEY ALSO THREATENED ME AND SAID IF I DON'T GO,
THEN I HAVE TO GO TO COURT. AND IT WILL BE MORE
EMBARRASSING ME IF THE JUDGE PUBLICLY MAKES JOKES OF ALL
THE EVIDENCE WE HAVE. YOU HAVE TO GO. I WAS ADVISED FOR
MY IMAGE I NEED TO GO AHEAD AND JUST GO AND GET IT OVER
WITH. THEY SAID THAT TO ME. I DON'T EVEN DRINK ALCOHOL.
I -- I SHOULD DRINK ALCOHOL CONSIDERING WHAT THEY PUT MY
HEART THROUGH.

ALSO, THE BRIDGES FACILITY THEY SENT ME TO, NONE
OF THE KIDS -- I WAS DOING THIS PROGRAM FOR FOUR MONTHS -SO THE LAST TWO MONTHS I WENT TO A BRIDGES FACILITY. NONE
OF THE KIDS THERE DID THE PROGRAM. THEY NEVER SHOWED UP
FOR ANY OF THEM. YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO DO ANYTHING IF YOU
DIDN'T WANT TO. HOW COME THEY ALWAYS MADE ME GO? HOW
COME I WAS ALWAYS THREATENED BY MY DAD AND ANYBODY THAT
PARTICIPATED IN THIS CONSERVATORSHIP, IF I DON'T DO THIS,
WHAT THEY TELL ME AND ENSLAVE ME TO DO, THEY'RE GOING TO
PUNISH ME?

THE LAST TIME I SPOKE TO YOU BY JUST KEEPING THE CONSERVATORSHIP GOING AND ALSO KEEPING MY DAD IN THE LOOP MADE ME FEEL LIKE I WAS DEAD, LIKE I DIDN'T MATTER, LIKE NOTHING HAD BEEN DONE TO ME, LIKE YOU THOUGHT I WAS LYING OR SOMETHING. I'M TELLING YOU AGAIN, I'M NOT LYING. I WANT TO FEEL HEARD. AND I'M TELLING YOU THIS AGAIN SO MAYBE YOU CAN UNDERSTAND THE DEPTH AND THE DEGREE AND THE DAMAGE THAT THEY DID TO ME BACK THEN.

I WANT CHANGES, AND I WANT CHANGES GOING FORWARD.

I DESERVE CHANGES. I WAS TOLD I'D HAVE TO SIT DOWN AND BE EVALUATED AGAIN IF I WANT TO END CONSERVATORSHIP. MA'AM, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT I COULD PETITION THE CONSERVATORSHIP TO END IT. I'M SORRY FOR MY IGNORANCE, BUT I HONESTLY DIDN'T KNOW THAT. BUT HONESTLY, I DON'T THINK I OWE ANYONE TO BE EVALUATED. I'VE DONE MORE THAN ENOUGH. I DON'T FEEL LIKE I SHOULD EVEN BE IN A ROOM WITH ANYONE TO OFFEND ME BY TRYING TO QUESTION MY CAPACITY OF INTELLIGENCE WHETHER I NEED TO BE IN THIS STUPID CONSERVATORSHIP OR NOT.

I'VE DONE MORE THAN ENOUGH. I DON'T OWE THESE
PEOPLE ANYTHING, ESPECIALLY ME, THE ONE THAT HAS ROOFED
AND FED TONS OF PEOPLE ON THE TOUR ON THE ROAD. IT'S
EMBARRASSING AND DEMORALIZING WHAT I'VE BEEN THROUGH. AND
THAT'S THE MAIN REASON I'VE NEVER SAID IT OPENLY. AND
MAINLY, I DIDN'T WANT TO SAY IT OPENLY BECAUSE I HONESTLY
DON'T THINK ANYONE WOULD BELIEVE ME.

TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, THE PARIS HILTON STORY ON WHAT THEY DID TO HER AT THAT -- THAT SCHOOL, I DIDN'T BELIEVE ANY OF IT -- OF IT. I'M SORRY. AND I'M AN OUTSIDER AND I'LL JUST BE HONEST. I DIDN'T BELIEVE IT. AND MAYBE I'M WRONG, AND THAT'S WHY I DIDN'T WANT TO SAY ANY OF THIS TO ANYBODY, TO THE PUBLIC, BECAUSE PEOPLE WOULD MAKE FUN OF ME OR LAUGH AT ME AND SAY, "SHE'S LYING. SHE'S GOT EVERYTHING. SHE'S BRITNEY SPEARS."

I'M NOT LYING. I JUST WANT MY LIFE BACK. AND

IT'S BEEN 13 YEARS AND IT'S ENOUGH. IT'S BEEN A LONG TIME

SINCE I'VE OWNED MY MONEY. AND IT'S MY WISH AND MY DREAM

FOR ALL OF THIS TO END WITHOUT BEING TESTED. AGAIN, IT MAKES NO SENSE WHATSOEVER FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO SIT BACK AND LITERALLY WATCH ME WITH THEIR OWN TWO EYES, MAKE A LIVING FOR SO MANY PEOPLE AND PAY SO MANY PEOPLE TRUCKS AND BUSES ON TOUR, ON THE ROAD WITH ME, AND BE TOLD I'M NOT GOOD ENOUGH. BUT I'M GREAT AT WHAT I DO. AND I ALLOW THESE PEOPLE TO CONTROL WHAT I DO, MA'AM, AND IT'S ENOUGH. IT MAKES NO SENSE AT ALL.

NOW, GOING FORWARD, I'M NOT WILLING TO MEET OR SEE ANYONE. I'VE MET WITH ENOUGH PEOPLE AGAINST MY WILL. I'M DONE. ALL I WANT IS TO OWN MY MONEY, FOR THIS TO END, AND MY BOYFRIEND, UM, TO DRIVE ME IN HIS FUCKING CAR. AND I WOULD HONESTLY LIKE TO SUE MY FAMILY, TO BE TOTALLY HONEST WITH YOU.

I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO SHARE MY STORY
WITH THE WORLD AND, UM, WHAT THEY DID TO ME INSTEAD OF IT
BEING A HUSH-HUSH SECRET TO BENEFIT ALL OF THEM. I WANT
TO BE ABLE TO BE HEARD ON WHAT THEY DID TO ME BY MAKING ME
KEEP THIS IN FOR SO LONG. IT'S NOT GOOD FOR MY HEART.
I'VE BEEN SO ANGRY, AND I CRY EVERY DAY. IT CONCERNS ME
I'M TOLD I'M NOT ALLOWED TO EXPOSE THE PEOPLE WHO DID THIS
TO ME. FOR MY SANITY, I NEED YOU TO -- THE JUDGE, TO
APPROVE ME TO DO AN INTERVIEW WHERE I CAN BE HEARD ON WHAT
THEY DID TO ME. AND ACTUALLY, I HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE MY
VOICE AND TAKE UP FOR MYSELF. MY ATTORNEY SAYS I CAN'T,
IT'S NOT GOOD. I CAN'T LET THE PUBLIC KNOW ANYTHING THEY
DID TO ME. AND BY NOT SAYING ANYTHING IS SAYING IT'S
OKAY.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT I SAID HERE. IT'S NOT OKAY. I
WOULD MUCH -- ACTUALLY, I DON'T WANT AN INTERVIEW, I'D
MUCH RATHER JUST HAVE AN OPEN CALL TO YOU FOR THE PRESS TO
HEAR, WHICH I DIDN'T KNOW TODAY WE'RE DOING, SO THANK YOU.

INSTEAD OF HAVING AN INTERVIEW, HONESTLY, I NEED
THAT TO GET IT OFF MY HEART, THE ANGER AND ALL OF IT, THAT
-- THAT -- IT'S NOT FAIR THEY'RE TELLING ME LIES ABOUT ME
OPENLY. EVEN MY FAMILY. THEY DO INTERVIEWS TO ANYONE
THEY WANT ON NEWS STATIONS. MY OWN FAMILY DOING
INTERVIEWS AND TALKING ABOUT THE SITUATION AND MAKING ME
FEEL SO STUPID, AND I CAN'T SAY ONE THING. AND MY OWN
PEOPLE SAY I CAN'T SAY ANYTHING.

IT'S BEEN TWO YEARS. I WANT A RECORDED CALL TO
YOU -- ACTUALLY WE'RE DOING THIS NOW WHICH I DIDN'T KNOW
THAT WE WERE DOING THIS -- AND TO THE PUBLIC TO SAY -KNOW WHAT THEY DID TO ME. I KNOW MY -- I KNOW MY LAWYER,
SAM, HAS BEEN VERY SCARED FOR ME TO GO FORWARD BECAUSE
HE'S SAYING IF I SPEAK UP I'M BEING OVERWORKED IN THAT
FACILITY, THAT REHAB PLACE, THE REHAB PLACE WILL SUE ME.
HE TOLD ME I SHOULD KEEP IT TO MYSELF, REALLY. I WOULD
PERSONALLY LIKE TO -- ACTUALLY, I KNOW -- I HAVE GROWN
WITH A PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH SAM, MY LAWYER. I'VE
BEEN TALKING TO HIM, LIKE, THREE TIMES A WEEK NOW. WE'VE
KIND OF BUILT A RELATIONSHIP, BUT I HAVEN'T REALLY HAD THE
OPPORTUNITY BY MY OWN SELF TO ACTUALLY HANDPICK MY OWN
LAWYER BY MYSELF, AND I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

I WOULD LIKE TO, UM, ALSO -- UM -- THE MAIN
REASON WHY I'M HERE IS BECAUSE I WANT TO END THE

CONSERVATORSHIP WITHOUT HAVING TO BE EVALUATED. I'VE DONE
A LOT OF RESEARCH, MA'AM, AND THERE'S A LOT OF JUDGES WHO
DO END CONSERVATORSHIPS FOR PEOPLE WITHOUT THEM HAVING TO
BE EVALUATED ALL THE TIME. THE ONLY TIMES THEY DON'T IS
IF A CONCERNED FAMILY MEMBER SAYS SOMETHING'S WRONG WITH
THIS PERSON, AND CONSIDER IT OTHER -- OTHERWISE AND
CONSIDERING MY FAMILY HAS LIVED OFF MY CONSERVATORSHIP FOR
13 YEARS, I WON'T BE SURPRISED IF ONE OF THEM HAS
SOMETHING TO SAY AND GO FORWARD AND SAY, "WE DON'T THINK
THIS SHOULD END. WE HAVE TO HELP HER." ESPECIALLY IF I
GET MY FAIR TURN IN EXPOSING WHAT THEY DID TO ME.

I ALSO WANT TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT, AT THE MOMENT, MY OBLIGATIONS WHICH I PERSONALLY DON'T THINK AT THE VERY MOMENT I OWE ANYBODY ANYTHING. I HAVE THREE MEETINGS A WEEK I HAVE TO ATTEND NO MATTER WHAT. I JUST DON'T LIKE FEELING LIKE I WORK FOR THE PEOPLE WHOM I PAY. I DON'T LIKE BEING TOLD I HAVE TO, NO MATTER WHAT, EVEN IF I'M SICK. JODI, THE CONSERVATOR, SAYS I HAVE TO SEE MY COACH, KEN, EVEN WHEN I'M SICK. I WOULD LIKE TO DO ONE MEETING A WEEK WITH A THERAPIST. I'VE NEVER BEFORE -- EVEN BEFORE THAT PLACE, HAD TWO THERAPY SESSIONS. A THERAPY ONCE -- A THERAPY SESSION -- ONE THERAPY SESSION WITH, UM, MY -- I HAVE A DOCTOR AND THEN A THERAPY PERSON. WHAT I'VE BEEN FORCED TO DO IS ILLEGAL IN MY LIFE. I SHOULDN'T BE TOLD I HAVE TO BE AVAILABLE THREE TIMES A WEEK TO THESE PEOPLE I DON'T KNOW.

I'M TALKING TO YOU TODAY BECAUSE I FEEL AGAIN,
YES, EVEN JODI IS STARTING TO KINDA TAKE IT TOO FAR WITH

```
1
    ME. THEY HAVE ME GOING TO THERAPY TWICE A WEEK AND A
    PSYCHIATRIST. I'VE NEVER, IN THE PAST, HAD -- WAIT. THEY
2
    HAD ME GOING -- YEAH, TWICE A WEEK AND DR. GOLD, SO THAT'S
3
    THREE TIMES A WEEK. I'VE NEVER IN THE PAST HAD TO SEE A
4
    THERAPIST MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK. IT TAKES TOO MUCH OUT OF
5
    ME GOING TO THIS MAN I DON'T KNOW. NUMBER ONE, I'M SCARED
6
    OF PEOPLE. I DON'T TRUST PEOPLE WITH WHAT I'VE BEEN
7
8
    THROUGH.
             AND THE CLEVER SETUP OF BEING IN WESTLAKE, ONE OF
9
    THE MOST EXPOSED PLACES IN WESTLAKE WHICH TODAY --
10
    YESTERDAY PAPARAZZI SHOWED ME COMING OUT OF THE PLACE
11
    LITERALLY CRYING, IN THERAPY. IT'S EMBARRASSING AND IT'S
12
    DEMORALIZING. I DESERVE PRIVACY WHEN I GO. I DESERVE
13
    PRIVACY WHEN I GO AND HAVE THERAPY EITHER AT MY HOME, LIKE
14
    I'VE DONE FOR EIGHT YEARS, THEY'VE ALWAYS COME TO MY HOME
15
    OR THE -- DR. BENSON, THAT'S THE MAN THAT DIED, I WENT TO
16
    A PLACE SIMILAR TO WHAT I WENT TO IN WESTLAKE, WHICH WAS
17
    VERY EXPOSED AND REALLY BAD.
18
             OKAY. SO WHERE WAS I? IN WESTLAKE. IT'S -- IT
19
    WAS IDENTICAL TO DR. BENSON WHO DIED, THE ONE WHO
20
     ILLEGALLY, YES 100 --
21
        THE COURT REPORTER: YOUR HONOR, CAN WE HAVE HER SLOW
22
23
     DOWN.
         THE COURT: MS. SPEARS. MS. SPEARS. EXCUSE ME FOR
24
     INTERRUPTING YOU, BUT MY REPORTER SAYS IF YOU COULD JUST
25
     SLOW DOWN A LITTLE BIT, BECAUSE SHE'S TRYING TO MAKE SURE
26
     SHE GETS EVERYTHING THAT YOU'RE SAYING. AND SO --
27
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY, COOL.
28
```

THE COURT: -- SO THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY. IT WAS IDENTICAL TO

DR. BENSON, WHO DIED, THE ONE WHO ILLEGALLY, YES

100 PERCENT ABUSED ME BY THE TREATMENT HE GAVE ME. AND TO

BE TOTALLY HONEST WITH YOU, WHEN HE PASSED AWAY, I GOT ON

MY KNEES AND THANKED GOD. IN OTHER WORDS, MY TEAM IS

PUSHING IT -- PUSHING IT WITH ME AGAIN. I HAVE TRAPPED

PHOBIAS BEING IN SMALL ROOMS BECAUSE THE TRAMA LOCKING ME

UP FOR FOUR MONTHS IN THAT PLACE. IT'S NOT OKAY FOR THEM

TO SEND ME -- SORRY, I'M GOING FAST -- TO THAT SMALL ROOM

LIKE THAT TWICE A WEEK WITH ANOTHER NEW THERAPIST I PAID

THAT I NEVER EVEN APPROVED. I DON'T LIKE IT. I DON'T

WANT TO DO THAT. AND I HAVEN'T DONE ANYTHING WRONG TO

DESERVE THIS TREATMENT. IT'S NOT OKAY TO FORCE ME TO DO

ANYTHING I DON'T WANNA DO.

BY LAW -- BY LAW, JODI AND THIS SO-CALLED TEAM
SHOULD HONESTLY -- I SHOULD BE ABLE TO SUE THEM FOR
THREATENING ME AND SAYING, "IF I DON'T GO AND DO THESE
MEETINGS TWICE A WEEK, WE CAN'T LET YOU HAVE YOUR MONEY
AND GO TO MAUI ON YOUR VACATIONS. YOU HAVE TO DO WHAT
YOU'RE TOLD THROUGH THIS PROGRAM AND THEN YOU'LL BE ABLE
TO GO." BUT IT WAS A VERY CLEVER THING; ONE OF THE MOST
EXPOSED PLACES IN WESTLAKE, KNOWING I HAVE THE HOT TOPIC
OF THE CONSERVATORSHIP, THAT OVER FIVE PAPARAZZI ARE GOING
TO SHOW UP AND GET ME CRYING, COMING OUT OF THAT PLACE. I
BEGGED THEM TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY DID THIS AT MY HOME SO
I WOULD HAVE PRIVACY. I DESERVE PRIVACY.

THE WHOLE CONSERVATORSHIP FROM THE BEGINNING ONCE

-- THE CONSERVATORSHIP -- OH -- THE CONSERVATORSHIP FROM THE BEGINNING, ONCE YOU SEE SOMEONE, WHOEVER IT IS IN THE CONSERVATORSHIP MAKING MONEY, MAKING THEIR MONEY AND MYSELF MONEY AND WORKING, THAT WHOLE -- THAT WHOLE STATEMENT RIGHT THERE, THE CONSERVATORSHIP SHOULD END. THERE SHOULD BE NO -- I SHOULDN'T BE IN A CONSERVATORSHIP IF I CAN WORK AND PROVIDE MONEY AND WORK FOR MYSELF AND PAY OTHER PEOPLE. IT MAKES NO SENSE. THE LAWS NEED TO CHANGE. WHAT STATE ALLOWS PEOPLE TO OWN ANOTHER PERSON'S MONEY AND ACCOUNT AND THREATEN THEM IN SAYING, "YOU CAN'T SPEND YOUR MONEY UNLESS YOU DO WHAT WE WANT YOU TO DO," AND I'M PAYING THEM. MA'AM, I'VE WORKED SINCE I WAS 17 YEARS OLD. YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND HOW THIN THAT IS FOR ME EVERY MORNING

MA'AM, I'VE WORKED SINCE I WAS 17 YEARS OLD. YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND HOW THIN THAT IS FOR ME EVERY MORNING I GET UP TO KNOW I CAN'T GO SOMEWHERE UNLESS I MEET PEOPLE I DON'T KNOW EVERY WEEK IN AN OFFICE IDENTICAL TO THE ONE WHERE THE THERAPIST WAS VERY ABUSIVE TO ME. I TRULY BELIEVE THIS CONSERVATORSHIP IS ABUSIVE, AND THAT WE CAN SIT HERE ALL DAY AND SAY, "OH, CONSERVATORSHIPS ARE HERE TO HELP PEOPLE." BUT, MA'AM, THERE'S A THOUSAND CONSERVATORSHIPS THAT ARE ABUSIVE AS WELL.

I DON'T FEEL LIKE I CAN LIVE A FULL LIFE. I

DON'T OWE -- I DON'T OWE THEM TO GO SEE A MAN I DON'T KNOW

AND SHARING MY PROBLEMS. I DON'T EVEN BELIEVE IN THERAPY.

I ALWAYS THINK YOU TAKE IT TO GOD. I WANT TO END THE

CONSERVATORSHIP WITHOUT BEING EVALUATED. IN THE MEANTIME,

I WANT THIS THERAPIST ONCE A WEEK. HE CAN EITHER COME TO

MY HOME -- UM, NO, I JUST WANT HIM TO COME TO MY HOME.

I'M NOT WILLING TO GO TO WESTLAKE AND BE EMBARRASSED BY 1 2 ALL THESE PAPARAZZI, THESE SCUMMY PAPARAZZI LAUGHING AT MY FACES WHILE I'M CRYING, COMING OUT, AND TAKING MY PICTURES. AS ALL OF THESE, UM, WHITE, NICE DINNERS, WHERE 4 5 PEOPLE, DRINKING WINE AT RESTAURANTS, WATCHING THESE PLACES. THEY SET ME UP BY SENDING ME TO THE MOST EXPOSED 6 7 PLACES -- PLACES. AND I TOLD THEM I DIDN'T WANT TO GO 8 THERE BECAUSE I KNEW PAPARAZZI WOULD SHOW UP THERE. THEY ONLY GAVE ME TWO OPTIONS FOR THERAPISTS, AND 10 I'M NOT SURE HOW YOU MAKE YOUR DECISIONS, MA'AM, BUT THIS IS THE ONLY CHANCE FOR ME TO TALK TO YOU FOR A WHILE. 11 NEED YOUR -- YOUR HELP. SO IF YOU CAN JUST KINDA LET ME 12 13 KNOW WHERE YOUR HEAD IS. I DON'T REALLY HONESTLY KNOW 14 WHAT TO SAY, BUT MY REQUESTS ARE JUST TO END THE 15 CONSERVATORSHIP WITHOUT BEING EVALUATED. I WANT TO 16 PETITION BASICALLY TO END THE CONSERVATORSHIP, BUT I WANNA 17 -- I WANT IT TO BE -- PETITION TO END IT, BUT I DON'T WANT 18 TO BE EVALUATED, TO BE SAT DOWN IN A ROOM WITH PEOPLE FOUR HOURS A DAY LIKE THEY DID ME BEFORE, AND THEY MADE IT 19 20 EVEN WORSE FOR ME AFTER THAT HAPPENED. 21 SO I JUST -- I'M HONESTLY NEW WITH THIS, AND I'M DOING RESEARCH ON ALL OF THESE THINGS. I DO KNOW COMMON 22 23 SENSE AND THE METHOD THAT THINGS CAN END. FOR PEOPLE IT

I'VE ALSO DONE RESEARCH, AND -- WAIT -- ALSO, IT
TOOK A YEAR DURING COVID TO GET ME ANY SELF-CARE METHODS,
YEAR IN COVID. SHE SAID THERE WERE NO SERVICES AVAILABLE.

HAS ENDED WITHOUT THEM BEING EVALUATED. SO I JUST WANT

YOU TO TAKE THAT IN CONSIDER -- CONSIDERATION.

28

24

25

26

SHE'S LYING, MA'AM. MY MOM WENT TO THE SPA TWICE IN 1 LOUISIANA DURING COVID. FOR A YEAR, I DIDN'T HAVE MY 2 NAILS DONE, NO HAIRSTYLING, AND NO MASSAGES, NO 3 4 ACUPUNCTURE, NOTHING FOR A YEAR. I SAW THE MAIDS IN MY HOME EACH WEEK WITH THEIR NAILS DONE DIFFERENT EACH TIME. 5 6 SHE MADE ME FEEL LIKE MY DAD DOES, VERY SIMILAR, HER 7 BEHAVIOR, AND MY DAD, BUT JUST A DIFFERENT DYNAMIC. TEAM WANTS ME TO WORK AND STAY HOME INSTEAD OF 8 HAVING LONGER VACATIONS. THEY'RE -- THEY ARE USED TO ME 9 10 SORT OF DOING A WEEKLY ROUTINE FOR THEM, AND I'M OVER IT. I DON'T FEEL LIKE I OWE THEM ANYTHING AT THIS POINT. 11 THEY NEED TO BE REMINDED THEY ACTUALLY WORK FOR ME. 12 TRICKED ME BY SENDING ME TO THE -- OKAY. I REPEATED 13 MYSELF THERE. 14 15 OKAY. UM, ALSO, I WAS SUPPOSED TO BE ABLE TO --16 I HAVE A FRIEND THAT I USED TO DO AA MEETINGS WITH. I DID 17 AA FOR TWO YEARS. I DID LIKE -- I HAD THREE MEETINGS A 18 WEEK, YOU KNOW, I'VE MET A BUNCH OF WOMEN THERE, AND I'M 19 NOT ABLE TO SEE MY FRIENDS THAT LIVE EIGHT MINUTES AWAY 20 FROM ME WHICH I FIND EXTREMELY STRANGE. I FEEL LIKE 21 THEY'RE MAKING ME FEEL LIKE I LIVE IN A REHAB PROGRAM. THIS IS MY HOME. 22 I'D LIKE FOR MY BOYFRIEND TO BE ABLE TO DRIVE ME 23 24 IN HIS CAR. AND I WANT TO MEET WITH THE THERAPIST ONCE A 25 WEEK, NOT TWICE A WEEK. AND I WANT HIM TO COME TO MY HOME

UM, I WAS TOLD, UM -- HOLD ON. 1 THINK THAT -- OH, AND I WOULD LIKE TO PROGRESSIVELY MOVE FORWARD, AND I

BECAUSE I ACTUALLY KNOW I DO NEED A LITTLE THERAPY.

26

27

WANT TO HAVE THE REAL DEAL. I WANT TO BE ABLE TO GET 1 MARRIED AND HAVE A BABY. I WAS TOLD RIGHT NOW IN THE 2 CONSERVATORSHIP I'M NOT ABLE TO GET MARRIED OR HAVE A 3 BABY. I HAVE AN ID(SIC) INSIDE OF MYSELF RIGHT NOW SO I 4 5 DON'T GET PREGNANT. I WANTED TO TAKE THE ID(SIC) OUT SO I COULD START TRYING TO HAVE ANOTHER BABY, BUT THIS 6 7 SO-CALLED TEAM WON'T LET ME GO TO THE DOCTOR TO TAKE IT 8 OUT BECAUSE THEY DON'T WANT ME TO HAVE CHILDREN, ANY MORE 9 CHILDREN. SO BASICALLY THIS CONSERVATORSHIP IS DOING ME 10 WAY MORE HARM THAN GOOD. 11 I DESERVE TO HAVE A LIFE. I'VE WORKED MY WHOLE LIFE. I DESERVE TO HAVE A TWO- TO THREE-YEAR BREAK AND 12 JUST, YOU KNOW, DO WHAT I WANT TO DO. BUT I DO FEEL LIKE 13 THERE IS A CRUTCH HERE, AND I FEEL LIKE -- I FEEL OPEN AND 14 I'M OKAY TO TALK TO YOU TODAY ABOUT IT, BUT I WISH I COULD 15 STAY WITH YOU ON THE PHONE FOREVER BECAUSE WHEN I GET OFF 16 THE PHONE WITH YOU, ALL OF A SUDDEN, ALL OF I HEAR -- ALL 17 OF THESE NO'S. NO. NO. NO. AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN, I 18 GET -- I FEEL GANGED UP ON, AND I FEEL BULLIED, AND I FEEL 19 LEFT OUT AND ALONE. AND I'M TIRED OF FEELING ALONE. 20 21 DESERVE TO HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS AS ANYBODY DOES BY HAVING 22 A CHILD, A FAMILY, ANY OF THOSE THINGS, AND MORE SO. 23 THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO SAY TO YOU, AND THANK YOU SO MUCH 24 FOR LETTING ME SPEAK TO YOU TODAY. THE COURT: OH, MS. SPEARS, YOU'RE QUITE WELCOME. AND 25 26 ALSO, I JUST WANT TO TELL YOU THAT I CERTAINLY AM 27 SENSITIVE TO EVERYTHING THAT YOU SAID AND HOW YOU'RE

FEELING. AND I KNOW THAT IT TOOK A LOT OF COURAGE FOR YOU

1 TO SAY EVERYTHING THAT YOU HAD TO SAY TODAY, AND I WANT TO
2 LET YOU TO KNOW THAT THE COURT DOES APPRECIATE YOUR COMING
3 ON THE LINE AND SHARING HOW YOU'RE FEELING.

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR, YOU KNOW, GIVING ME THIS OPPORTUNITY. THANK YOU.

THE COURT: YOU'RE CERTAINLY WELCOME.

SO, YOU KNOW, MR. INGHAM, YOU KNOW THAT THERE ARE METHODS TO GET CONSERVATORSHIPS TERMINATED, AND IF THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT DOING, YOU KNOW YOU CAN CERTAINLY FILE A PETITION FOR THE COURT TO CONSIDER THAT.

MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, IT'S DIFFICULT FOR ME TO RESPOND TO THAT ISSUE WITHOUT BREACHING ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE, AND SO THEREFORE I WON'T EVEN TRY TO TOUCH ON THAT ISSUE.

THE COURT: I KNOW.

MR. INGHAM: I AM CONCERNED ABOUT SEVERAL OF THE ISSUES THAT MY CLIENT HAS RAISED HERE. I THINK THAT THE OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS AND FIDUCIARIES HERE WILL DOUBTLESS WANT TO WEIGH IN IN SOME FASHION. IF MY CLIENT DIRECTS ME TO FILE A PETITION TO TERMINATE, I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT. SO FAR SHE HAS NOT DONE THAT. THAT'S THE MOST THAT I WILL SAY ABOUT THAT ISSUE.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

MR. INGHAM: WITH REGARD TO THE ISSUE OF PRIVATE

COUNSEL REPLACING ME AS HER COUNSEL, I AM HAPPY TO TAKE

GUIDANCE FROM THE COURT AS TO HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO SET

THAT ISSUE UP AND HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO DEAL WITH IT. SO

I WILL NOT MAKE ANY COMMENT, OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT I

SERVE AT THE PLEASURE OF THE COURT, AND IF THE COURT
DECIDES THAT I SHOULD BE REPLACED BY SOMEONE ELSE, THEN
THAT'S FINE WITH ME. HOWEVER THE COURT WANTS TO HANDLE
THAT.

AND I SUSPECT THAT MS. MONTGOMERY OR HER COUNSEL WILL WANT TO RESPOND ON THE MEDICAL SIDE, BUT FROM MY POINT OF VIEW IN A PROCEDURAL SENSE, I THINK IT'S OBVIOUS TO ME THAT WE HAVE A DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN MY CLIENT AND THE CONSERVATOR OF HER PERSON, TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR OF HER PERSON, AS TO HER CURRENT CARE PLAN. AND SO IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE SIMPLE WAY TO RESOLVE THAT ISSUE IS TO HAVE THE CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON FILE A PROPOSED CARE PLAN, SET IT FOR HEARING, AND HAVE MY CLIENT HAVE A CHANCE TO ADDRESS IT AND DEAL WITH IT. IT SEEMS LIKE WE'RE PAST THE POINT THAT IT CAN BE NEGOTIATED BETWEEN THE TWO OF THEM. SO I BELIEVE THAT THAT'S ABOUT ALL I WOULD WANT TO SAY AT THIS POINT, AND WOULD DEFER TO OTHER COUNSEL TO RESPOND THEIR PERSPECTIVE.

THE COURT: MR. INGHAM, THANK YOU. AND I CERTAINLY
DON'T WANT YOU TO GET INTO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT
DISCUSSIONS, OBVIOUSLY, BETWEEN YOU AND MS. SPEARS. YOU
ACTUALLY FORESHADOWED SOMETHING THAT I MADE A NOTE TO
MYSELF ABOUT, ABOUT THE ISSUE ABOUT -- THAT MS. SPEARS
RAISED ABOUT THE, YOU KNOW, THE TREATMENT. AND I WAS
GOING TO ASK MS. WRIGHT AND MS. MONTGOMERY, AND I THINK
CERTAINLY FILING THAT CARE PLAN AND HAVING IT SET FOR
HEARING IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. BUT I WAS
ACTUALLY GOING TO TELL THEM TO LOOK INTO THAT BECAUSE

OBVIOUSLY, IT'S SOMETHING THAT'S CAUSING A CONCERN. WE
DON'T WANT IT TO BE ANYTHING THAT'S GOING TO BE THE
REVERSE OF WHAT'S TRYING TO BE ACCOMPLISHED.

BUT MS. WRIGHT, I'M HAPPY TO HEAR FROM YOU AT THIS POINT.

I THINK YOU'RE MUTED, MS. WRIGHT. YOU'RE MUTED.

MS. WRIGHT: THERE WE GO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THIS IS MS. WRIGHT.

WE CERTAINLY DO HAVE A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE ON MANY OF THE ISSUES AND FACTS THAT WERE RAISED BY

MS. SPEARS, BUT I DON'T THINK TODAY IS THE APPROPRIATE

FORUM TO AIR THOSE OUT. I DO LOVE THIS IDEA OF A CARE

PLAN. WE ARE MORE THAN HAPPY TO PUT ONE TOGETHER. MY

CLIENT WORKS WITH A MEDICAL TEAM, A VERY HIGHLY QUALIFIED

AND VETTED MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS. ANY DECISIONS SHE MAKES

IS WITH THEIR INPUT AND THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS, AND SO WE

CERTAINLY HAVE THOSE READY. WE CAN PUT THEM INTO A CARE

PLAN. MY ONLY CONCERN IS, AS WE GO INTO THESE IN MORE

DETAIL.

I AM VERY CONCERNED WITH MS. SPEARS' MEDICAL PRIVACY, AND I DON'T THINK THE DETAILS OF HER CARE PLAN AND THE PROGRESS SHE'S BEEN MAKING AND HER CONDITIONS SHOULD BE IN THE PUBLIC FORUM. SO I WOULD JUST ASK THAT WHEN WE FILE THE CARE PLAN, WE OBVIOUSLY WILL PROVIDE IT TO EVERYONE WHO IS A PARTY ON THIS CASE, BUT I THINK IT SHOULD BE SEALED FROM THE PUBLIC. I DON'T THINK THIS IS THE BEST WAY TO VET OUT A CONSERVATEE'S MENTAL-HEALTH ISSUES AND HER CARE PLAN. IT'S JUST NOT THE WAY TO DO IT.

```
I THINK WE SHOULD DO IT UNDER SEAL, AND THAT WOULD BE MY
1
    REQUEST FOR THAT CARE PLAN. HAPPY TO FILE IT AND HAPPY TO
2
3
    HAVE A HEARING ON IT.
        THE COURT: WELL, IF YOU WANT TO FILE A MOTION PRIOR
4
5
    TO THE HEARING ON THAT, THAT CERTAINLY IS YOUR RIGHT TO DO
6
    THAT.
        MS. WRIGHT: SURE. WE'LL HAVE TO TALK ABOUT TIMING TO
7
8
    ACCOMMODATE THAT MOTION AND THEN THE FILING OF THE CARE
9
    PLAN.
        THE COURT: SURE. BUT I JUST APPRECIATE, MS. SPEARS,
10
    YOU KNOW, IT TAKES A LOT OF COURAGE TO COME --
11
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: BUT I HAVE TO BE IN AGREEMENT TO
12
    THIS CARE PLAN. I CAN'T BE FORCED TO DO WHAT I DON'T WANT
13
14
     TO DO.
        THE COURT: OKAY. AND I THINK THAT THERE IS A WAY TO
15
     TRY TO, YOU KNOW, BE --
16
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: AND, MA'AM -- AND HONESTLY
17
     BETWEEN YOU AND ME, THERE'S NOTHING -- I DON'T MIND DOING
18
     THERAPY TWICE A WEEK. IT'S THE WAY THAT THEY EXPOSED ME
19
     IN THAT PLACE, AND ONE WHERE PAPARAZZI ARE LOADED THERE.
20
     AND I NEVER -- I DRIVE A LOT, BUT I NEVER GET OUT OF MY
21
     CAR. AND SO ALL I WANT -- IT'S VERY SIMPLE -- I WOULD
22
23
     JUST LIKE SOMEONE, THIS MAN, TO COME TO MY HOUSE TWICE A
     WEEK, AND THAT'S IT. THAT'S IT. I'M NOT ASKING FOR, YOU
24
     KNOW, THE GUY CICERO (PHONETIC) FROM ITALY TO COME AND
25
     VISIT ME FOR THERAPY. I JUST WANT A MAN TO COME HERE
26
     TWICE A WEEK AND DO THE THERAPY THING BECAUSE THAT'S --
27
     THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO DO, SO. THAT'S MY -- I JUST WANT
28
```

1 YOU TO KNOW MY REQUEST.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU, MS. SPEARS, I APPRECIATE THAT. AND I BELIEVE BOTH MS. WRIGHT AND MS. MONTGOMERY ARE NODDING THEIR HEADS, SO THEY'RE HEARING WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. OKAY.

DO ANY OF THE OTHER COUNSEL HAVE ANYTHING THAT THEY WANT TO ADD THIS AFTERNOON?

MR. JONES: YOUR HONOR, I DO. IT'S GLAD JONES ON BEHALF OF MS. LYNNE SPEARS.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD, PLEASE, SIR.

MR. JONES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU FOR HAVING US. YOUR HONOR, I FIRST WANT TO SAY THAT OBVIOUSLY THAT WAS VERY COURAGEOUS OF THE CONSERVATEE, MS. SPEARS. AND HER MOTHER HAS GREAT CONCERN ABOUT THIS. BUT ONE THING I WANT TO RAISE WITH THE COURT THAT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T LEAVE THIS HEARING TODAY AND FORGET ABOUT WHAT MS. SPEARS SAID. SHE SAID WHEN SHE WAS THERE IN MAY OF 2019, SHE DOESN'T FEEL LIKE SHE WAS HEARD. AND IT FEELS INCUMBENT UPON ME TO ASK THE COURT THAT WE MAKE SURE THAT TODAY MS. SPEARS IS HEARD. AND TO THAT END, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT SHE SAID THAT IS GOING TO VERY MUCH DICTATE WHAT HAPPENS HERE -- AND THIS IS NO SLIGHT AGAINST ANY COUNSEL IN THIS CASE -- BUT THE REQUEST FOR HER TO HAVE HER OWN COUNSEL, I WOULD RESPECTFULLY SUGGEST, YOUR HONOR, GIVEN SINCE SHE ARTICULATED THE REASONS WHY SHE WANTS THAT, THAT I BELIEVE THAT THE COURT MUST TAKE THAT UP AT ITS EARLIEST CONVENIENCE, BECAUSE THAT ISSUE MAY VERY WELL DICTATE WHAT HAPPENS IN TERMS OF STEP 2, 3, AND

4.

SIMILARLY, SIMILARLY, THIS HEALTHCARE PLAN. I
ALSO ASK THE COURT TO TAKE THAT UP IMMEDIATELY. THESE ARE
COMPLAINTS AND CONCERNS THAT WE DID HEAR ABOUT IN MAY OF
2019. TODAY IS THE DAY, WHILE THE WORLD WATCHES AND
LISTENS TO MS. SPEARS, IS THE DAY THAT WE RESPECTFULLY
REQUEST THAT THIS COURT PUT AN ACTION PLAN AT THE LOS
ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT IN PLACE TO PROVIDE THE RELIEF THAT
MS. SPEARS IS STILL ASKING FOR IN THIS HEARING. THAT IS
CRITICAL. THAT IS HER MOTHER'S REQUEST. THAT IS WHAT WE
RESPECTFULLY ASK THE COURT, THAT WE NOT LEAVE THIS
PROCEEDING WITHOUT HAVING DATES TO MOVE FORWARD ON THESE
BASIC REQUESTS THAT MAY HAVE AN IMPACT ON THIS
CONSERVATORSHIP.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. JONES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR.

ANY OTHER COUNSEL HAVE ANYTHING THEY WANT TO SAY?

MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN.

THE COURT: YES. GO AHEAD, MS. THOREEN.

MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR -- THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I APPRECIATE MS. SPEARS' COMMENTS AND THE COURAGE IT TOOK HER TO MAKE THE REMARKS TO THE COURT. I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST A BRIEF RECESS SO THAT I MAY CONSULT WITH MY CLIENT. THERE MAY BE ISSUES THAT I WOULD LIKE TO RESPOND TO. BUT GIVEN THE AMOUNT OF TESTIMONY PROVIDED, I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST A RECESS SO THAT I CAN CONSULT WITH MY

28 | CLIENT.

```
THE COURT: OKAY. I THINK THAT THAT'S REASONABLE.
1
2
    WE CAN TAKE A RECESS -- WE CAN TAKE A RECESS UNTIL 5 AFTER
    3:00. THAT WILL GIVE ABOUT 20 MINUTES, AND THAT WILL GIVE
 3
 4
    THE STAFF A CHANCE, ALSO TO HAVE A BRIEF BREAK, AND THEN
    WE CAN RECONVENE.
5
 6
        MR. JONES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 7
        MS. THOREEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
        MS. WRIGHT: THANK YOU.
8
 9
10
                  (RECESS TAKEN.)
11
                  (ATTORNEY YASHA BRONSHTEYN NOT PRESENT.)
12
13
        THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE'RE BACK FROM OUR RECESS. AND
14
15
    MR. THOREEN, DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING YOU WANTED TO SAY THIS
16
    AFTERNOON?
17
        MS. THOREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. I'D LIKE
18
    TO MAKE A BRIEF STATEMENT ON MR. SPEARS' BEHALF.
19
             HE IS SORRY TO SEE HIS DAUGHTER SUFFERING AND IN
20
     SO MUCH PAIN. MR. SPEARS LOVES HIS DAUGHTER AND MISSES
21
    HER VERY MUCH.
              THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
22
         THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
23
              OKAY. SO LET ME ASK MS. WYLE OR MS. COHEN, DID
24
25
     YOU HAVE ANYTHING THAT YOU WANTED TO ADD THIS AFTERNOON?
        MS. COHEN: THIS IS MS. COHEN. NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK
26
27
     YOU.
        MS. WYLE: THIS IS MS. WYLE. NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK
28
```

YOU. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. AND MR. NELSON, WHAT ABOUT YOU? 3 MR. NELSON: NO, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NOTHING TO ADD. 4 THANK YOU. 5 THE COURT: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 6 ALL RIGHT. 7 MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, PARDON ME. I HAVE AN 8 9 ADDITIONAL COMMENT THAT MY CLIENT HAS REQUESTED ME TO MAKE TO THE COURT, IF I MAY? 10 THE COURT: CERTAINLY, MR. INGHAM. 11 MR. INGHAM: SHE JUST COMMUNICATED WITH ME, AND HER 12 STATEMENT TO ME WAS THAT SINCE SHE HAS MADE THE REMARKS 13 THAT SHE WAS ABLE TO MAKE ON THE PUBLIC RECORD TODAY, SHE 14 BELIEVES THAT IT WILL BE ADVISABLE FOR PROCEEDINGS TO BE 15 SEALED GOING FORWARD. 16 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 17 MR. INGHAM: AND ANOTHER QUICK COMMENT I'LL MAKE 18 BEFORE THE COURT ADDRESSES WHAT MY CLIENT HAS SAID -- AND 19 I WOULD CORROBORATE THE COMMENT OF COUNSEL -- THAT IT 20 OBVIOUSLY TOOK A GREAT DEAL OF COURAGE TO PRESENT THE 21 COMMENTS THAT MY CLIENT DID ON THE RECORD. AND REGARDLESS 22 OF WHERE THE CHIPS MAY FALL FROM THEM, I APPLAUD HER FOR 23 DOING SO. I JUST HAVE A SUGGESTION. I'D LIKE TO AMPLIFY 24 MY EARLIER SUGGESTION WITH REGARD TO GOING FORWARD, AND 25 THEN OBVIOUSLY, THE COURT WILL DECIDE WHAT TO DO. 26 GIVEN THE POSITIVE WORKING RELATIONSHIP THAT I'VE 27 HAD WITH MS. SPEARS OVER THE YEARS, AND GIVEN THE 28

IMPORTANCE OF THE ISSUE OF COUNSEL FOR HER, ONE WAY TO APPROACH THIS WOULD BE FOR ME TO DISCUSS WITH HER, OUT OF THE GLARE OF THE COURTROOM, TWO IMPORTANT ISSUES. ONE IS WHETHER SHE WANTS TO HAVE A PETITION TO TERMINATE FILED. AND THE OTHER IS WHETHER SHE WANTS TO HAVE PRIVATE COUNSEL, OTHER COUNSEL BROUGHT IN TO REPRESENT HER. OBVIOUSLY, I WILL ABIDE BY WHATEVER DECISION SHE MAKES IN THAT REGARD AND WILL FILE WHATEVER SHE DIRECTS ME TO MAKE. AND I MIGHT SUGGEST IN THAT REGARD, IF SHE'S

AND I MIGHT SUGGEST IN THAT REGARD, IF SHE'S

INTERESTED IN DOING SO, THAT IN MOST OF THOSE CONTEXTS, IT

MIGHT BE HELPFUL FOR HER TO CHAT WITH MY ASSOCIATED

CO-COUNSEL, WITH LOEB AND LOEB, BECAUSE THEY ARE A FULLY

INDEPENDENT LAW FIRM. AND I DON'T DISCERN WHETHER SHE

TALKS TO THEM WITH ME OR WITHOUT ME PRESENT, BUT THEY

MIGHT BE ABLE TO GIVE HER SOME INSIGHT INTO THESE ISSUES

GOING FORWARD.

AND AGAIN, ALL OF US -- AND I WON'T SPEAK FOR

THEM -- ALL OF US WILL HAPPILY ABIDE BY WHATEVER MY CLIENT

DECIDES TO DO WITH REGARD TO BOTH OF THOSE ISSUES.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THANK YOU, MR. INGHAM, FOR
THAT. AND I JUST ALSO WANTED TO -- WELL, YOU'VE SUBMITTED
THAT TO SOME DEGREE, IS THAT, YOU KNOW, SOME OF THE ISSUES
THAT MS. SPEARS RAISED THIS AFTERNOON DO REQUIRE A PROPER
PETITION TO BE BEFORE ME FOR ME TO CONSIDER, WHETHER IT BE
COUNSEL OR TERMINATION OR THE -- I THINK THE ISSUE ABOUT
THE CARE PLAN, I THINK, IS SOMETHING THAT I DID HEAR, YOU
KNOW, CONCERNS ABOUT MS. SPEARS BEING IN A SITUATION WHERE
SHE'S GETTING SOME ASSISTANCE, AND IT'S IN MORE OF A

PUBLIC SPHERE. SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE AND URGE MS. MONTGOMERY AND HER COUNSEL, ALONG WITH THE OTHER PROFESSIONALS TO REALLY HEAR WHAT MS. SPEARS IS SAYING, BECAUSE THE GOAL IS -- PART OF THE GOAL OF THE THERAPY IS TO HELP, AND IF THE WAY IT'S BEING PRESENTED IS NOT FOSTERING THAT IN THE BEST WAY THAT IT COULD BE FOSTERED, THEN WE MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT OTHER WAYS TO MAKE SURE THAT IS ACCOMPLISHED.

SO I THINK THAT, MS. SPEARS, I JUST WANT TO
COMMEND YOU AGAIN FOR REALLY STEPPING FORWARD AND STEPPING
OUT TO HAVE YOUR THOUGHTS HEARD BY NOT ONLY MYSELF BUT
EVERYBODY WHO HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. AND I JUST
WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW HOW MUCH I DO APPRECIATE THAT.

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: YES. I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT.

SO GOING FORWARD, I AM HAPPY TO PLACE MATTERS ON MY CALENDAR AND GIVE OKAYS-TO-SET MOTIONS OR PETITIONS.

IT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE THERE NEEDS -- PROBABLY THE PARTIES ARE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT HOW THEY WANT TO PROCEED, AND CERTAINLY YOU CAN CALL THE COURTROOM AND WE CAN SET UPDATES, RATHER THAN, MAYBE, TRY TO FIGURE OUT A DATE ON THE RECORD HERE WHEN I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE STEPS WOULD BE TO THE POINT WHERE A MATTER WOULD ACTUALLY BE ON THE COURT'S CALENDAR. BUT I'M HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN THOSE REQUESTS THROUGH MY CLERK AND GET MATTERS PUT ON THE CALENDAR FOR DATES AND TIMES THAT ARE CONVENIENT FOR ALL COUNSEL.

HOW DOES THAT SOUND TO EVERYBODY?

EVERYBODY IS NODDING.

MS. WRIGHT: THIS IS MS. WRIGHT. THAT'S ACCEPTABLE TO US. WE CAN CERTAINLY EMAIL EACH OTHER AND PICK SOME DATES THAT WOULD WORK.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND JUST TO REMIND EVERYBODY, OF COURSE, TODAY WAS THE STATUS HEARING THAT THE COURT SET. THERE IS NO PETITION BEFORE THE COURT. SO A NUMBER OF THOSE ITEMS DO REQUIRE -- THAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING TODAY, BASED ON WHAT MS. SPEARS HAS BROUGHT TO THE COURT IN TERMS OF HER CONCERNS, THEY DO REQUIRE PETITIONS. AND SO THAT'S THE BEST WAY TO GO ABOUT IT. CONTACT MY CLERK, WORK OUT SOME DATES, AND WE'LL DO OUR BEST TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY CAN BE SLOTTED AT A TIME THAT'S GOING TO WORK FOR EVERYBODY.

THE FINAL THING I WANTED TO BRING TO -- I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE PARTIES ARE AWARE OF THIS OR NOT, BUT IT WAS BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION BY COURT ADMINISTRATION THAT A CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT -- AND IT MAY HAVE BEEN A COURT INVESTIGATOR REPORT THAT IS DEEMED TO BE CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROBATE CODE SECTION 1826 AND 1851 -- WAS PROVIDED TO THE MEDIA. I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT HAPPENED, BUT WE'VE BEEN -- I WAS ADVISED BY ADMINISTRATION THAT IT DOES NOT APPEAR TO HAVE COME FROM THE COURT, TO SOMEBODY IN THE MEDIA. BUT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT IT WAS PUT OUT THERE IN THE PUBLIC SPHERE, THINGS THAT ARE CONFIDENTIAL TO MS. SPEARS AND HER CASE.

SO I DON'T KNOW IF ANYBODY HAS ANY INFORMATION
ABOUT THAT OR IF ANYBODY WAS AWARE OF IT. BUT I WAS VERY

1 CONCERNED WHEN I HEARD ABOUT IT. SO YOU MAY WANT TO JUST, MAYBE, LOOK A LITTLE MORE INTO THAT. BUT IT WAS VERY 2 3 CONCERNING. OKAY. I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE HAS BEEN AN ISSUE WITH 4 RAAP, THAT APPARENTLY SOMEBODY WAS RECORDING THE 5 6 PROCEEDINGS IN VIOLATION OF THE ORDER THAT I MADE THIS 7 MORNING, SO WE'RE GOING TO SHUT RAAP DOWN RIGHT NOW. SO PLEASE DISABLE THE RAAP IMMEDIATELY. 8 9 THAT'S ALSO VERY CONCERNING, BECAUSE I 10 SPECIFICALLY SAID THAT THERE WAS NOT SUPPOSED TO BE ANY RECORDINGS, AND THAT HAPPENED NONETHELESS. SO I WANT 11 COUNSEL AND MS. SPEARS TO BE AWARE OF THAT, SO I MADE AN 12 13 ORDER THIS MORNING THAT THERE IS NOT TO BE ANY RECORDING, AND SOMEBODY -- AND I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT'S ONE PERSON 14 15 OR MORE THAN ONE PERSON -- VIOLATED THAT ORDER. 16 ANYTHING ELSE BEFORE WE CONCLUDE TODAY? ANYBODY HAVE ANYTHING ELSE BEFORE WE CONCLUDE 17 TODAY? OKAY. 18 MS. SPEARS, THANK YOU, AGAIN. 19 20 MR. BRITNEY SPEARS: THANK YOU. THE COURT: OKAY. AND THANK YOU, MR. SPEARS, FOR 21 22 PARTICIPATING. AND THANK YOU AGAIN, MS. BRITNEY SPEARS, FOR YOUR 23 COMMENTS AND YOUR THOUGHTS THIS AFTERNOON ABOUT THE 24 25 PROCEEDINGS, AND I DO APPRECIATE IT. 26 MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY. THANK YOU. 27 THE COURT: THANK YOU, EVERYBODY. 28 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 3:23 P.M.)

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 4 HON. BRENDA J. PENNY, JUDGE
4	
5	IN RE THE CONSERVATORSHIP OF) NO. BP108870
6	BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS -
7	CONSERVATEE.) REPORTERS
8) CERTIFICATE
9	
10	
11	I, LISA D. LUNA, CSR NO. 10229, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF
12	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
14	FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 37, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL,
15	TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN
16	THE MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE IN DEPARTMENT 4 ON
17	JUNE 23, 2021.
18	DATED THIS 5TH DAY OF JULY, 2021.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	TISA D. JUNA, CSR NO. 10229
26	OFFICIAL REPORTER
27	
28	

EXHIBIT B

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
3					
4	DEPARTMENT ST-4	HON. BRENDA J. PENNY, JUDGE			
5	IN RE THE CONSERVATORSHIP OF)				
6) NO. BP108870 BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS,)				
7	CONSERVATEE.				
8)				
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
10	WEDNESDAY, JULY 14, 2021				
11	APPEARANCES:				
12	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT COURT-APPOINTED CO-COUNSEL	LAW OFFICES OF			
13	FOR BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS,	BY: SAMUEL D. INGHAM, III, ESQ. 444 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, SUITE 4260			
14	CONSERVATEE:	SUITE 4260 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071			
15					
16		LOEB & LOEB LLP BY: DAVID C. NELSON, ESQ. RONALD C. PEARSON, ESQ.			
17		10100 SOUTH SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD, SUITE 2200			
18		LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			
19	VIA TELEPHONE AND L.A. COURT CONNECT	FREEMAN FREEMAN AND SMILEY, LLP BY: GERALDINE A. WYLE JERYLL S. COHEN			
20	FOR JAMIE P. SPEARS, CO-CONSERVATOR OF THE	JERYLL S. COHEN ATTORNEYS AT LAW			
21	ESTATE:	1888 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 1500			
22		LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			
23		HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP BY: VIVIAN L. THOREEN			
24		JONATHAN H. PARK VIVIAN RIVERA			
25		ATTORNEYS AT LAW 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET,			
26		8TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071			
27		LISA D. LUNA, CSR #10229			
28		OFFICIAL REPORTER			

- 1		
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT	SEYFARTH SHAW
3	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT FOR BESSEMER TRUST, CO-CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE:	601 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
4	ESTAIE.	LOS ANGELES, CA 90071
5	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT AND IN-PERSON APPEARANCE	WRIGHT KIM DOUGLAS, ALC
6	FOR JODI PACE MONTGOMERY,	MARIE MONDIA
7	FOR JODI PACE MONTGOMERY, TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON:	ATTORNEYS AT LAW 130 SOUTH JACKSON STREET
8		GLENDALE, CA 91205
9	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT FOR LYNNE SPEARS.	JONES SWANSON HUDDELL & DASCHBACH, LLC BY: GLADSTONE N. JONES, III, ESO.
10	INTERESTED PARTY:	BY: GLADSTONE N. JONES, III, ESO.
11		ATTORNEY AT LAW PAN-AMERICAN LIFE CENTER
12		601 PYODRAS STREET, SUITE 2655 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130
13	TN~PERSON APPEARANCE	GINZBURG & BRONSHTEYN, APC
14	FOR LYNNE SPEARS, INTERESTED PARTY:	GINZBURG & BRONSHTEYN, APC BY: YASHA BRONSHTEYN, ESQ. 11111 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD,
15		LOS ANGELES CA 90025
16 17	VIA TELEPHONE FOR	ACLU OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BY: AMANDA GOAD ATTORNEY AT LAW 1313 WEST 8TH STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90017
18	CALIFORNIA,	ATTORNEY AT LAW
19		
20	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT	ACLU OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BY: ZOE BRENNAN-KROHN
21	CALIFORNIA, INTERESTED PARTY:	ATTORNEY AT LAW
22	INTERCEPT FINALL.	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
23	IN-PERSON APPEARANCE	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
24	AS THE PROPOSED COURT-APPOINTED	BY: MATHEW S. ROSENGRAT, ESQ. 1840 CENTURY PARK EAST
25	COUNSEL FOR BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS:	SUITE 1900
26	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT	
	FOR UNIDENTIFIED PARTY	BY: KEVIN D. CAULEY, ESQ.
27 28	OF INTEREST:	624 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, 22ND FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90017

Γ

1	CASE NUMBER: BP	108870
2	CASE NAME:	RE: THE MATTER OF
3	TH	E CONSERVATORSHIP OF
4	BR	ITNEY JEAN SPEARS -
5	Co	NSERVATEE
6	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA WE	DNESDAY, JULY 14, 2021
7	DEPARTMENT ST-4 HO	N. BRENDA J. PENNY, JUDGE
8	REPORTER: LI	SA D. LUNA, CSR #10229
9	TIME: 1:	52 P.M.
10		
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	AS INDICATED HEREIN	
13	VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT,	
14	TELEPHONE AND IN-PERSON	
15	APPEARANCES.	
16		
17	THE CLERK: IF I CAN HAVE COU	NSEL IN THE COURTROOM
18	PLEASE RISE AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT	HAND TO BE SWORN, AND
19	THOSE ON COURT CONNECT, PLEASE RA	ISE YOUR RIGHT HAND AS
20	WELL.	
21		
22	ALL PARTIE	S,
23	CALLED AS WITNESSES BY THE CO	OURT, WERE DULY SWORN AND
24	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
25	THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY S	TATE THAT THE TESTIMONY
26	YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE MATT	ER IS THE TRUTH, THE
27	WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE	TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
28	ALL PARTIES: I DO.	

1 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. AS A REMINDER TO OUR PARTIES 2 ON COURT CONNECT, IF YOU CAN PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCE BEFORE SPEAKING SO THAT THE COURT AND THE COURT REPORTER 3 4 CAN BE AWARE OF WHO IS TALKING. AND PLEASE REMAIN ON THE 5 LINE FOR THE JUDGE TO TAKE THE BENCH. 6 7 (BRIEF PAUSE.) 8 9 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. SO BEFORE I GET 10 THE APPEARANCES OF EVERYBODY, I DO WANT TO MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT. JUST AS A REMINDER TO EVERYBODY, THERE ARE 11 12 TO BE NO PHONES UTILIZED, NO LAPTOPS OR ELECTRONIC 13 DEVICES, NO PICTURES OR RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDING. IF 14 YOU WANT TO TAKE NOTES, PAPER AND PEN ONLY. 15 DO NOT DISRUPT THE HEARING BY GESTURING, LAUGHING, WAIVING ARMS OR HANDS, OR OTHERWISE CREATING A 16 17 DISTRACTION. THIS ADVERSELY IMPACTS MY COURT REPORTER AND 18 MY CLERK, AND YOU WILL BE ASKED TO LEAVE THE PROCEEDING IF 19 YOU ARE DOING THAT. AND PLEASE DO NOT APPROACH THE COURTROOM STAFF. 20 21 OKAY. SO EVERYBODY PLEASE KEEP THOSE REMINDERS 22 IN MIND, AND WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO GET THE APPEARANCES 23 OF COUNSEL, AND THEN I'M GOING TO GET THE APPEARANCES OF 24 THE PARTIES, AND THEN WE'LL PROCEED. SO MR. ROSS, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO. GOOD 25 26 AFTERNOON.

28 THE COURT: AND ALSO, I'VE GOT AMANDA GOAD ON THE

MR. ROSS: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.

27

```
1
     TELEPHONE, I BELIEVE.
 2
         MS. GOAD: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
 3
        THE COURT: YES.
             AND MR. NELSON, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO. GOOD
 4
 5
    AFTERNOON.
 6
        MR. NELSON: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
 7
         THE COURT: AND MR. PEARSON, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO, I
 8
     BELIEVE, AS WELL. GOOD AFTERNOON.
 9
        MR. PEARSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
10
        THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN I'VE GOT MS. BRENNAN-KROHN
11
    ON VIDEO.
       MS. BRENNEN-KROHN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
12
13
        THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
14
             AND MR. JONES, I BELIEVE I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO AS
15
    WELL. GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU.
16
        MR. JONES: GOOD AFTERNOON, JUDGE PENNY.
17
        THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
             AND THEN, MR. INGHAM, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO.
18
19
    GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU.
        MR. INGHAM: YES. PARDON ME. YES. GOOD AFTERNOON,
20
21
    YOUR HONOR.
22
        THE COURT: YES.
23
             AND MS. WYLE, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO AS WELL.
24
        MS. WYLE: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
25
        THE COURT: YES.
26
             MS. COHEN, I'VE GOT YOU ON THE LINE AS WELL.
27
    GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU.
28
        MS. COHEN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
```

```
1
        THE COURT: YES.
 2
             AND MR. PARK, GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU.
 3
        MR. PARK: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
 4
        THE COURT: YES.
 5
             AND MS. THOREEN, GOOD AFTERNOON. I SEE YOU ON
 6
    VIDEO. GOOD AFTERNOON.
 7
        MS. THOREEN: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
 8
        THE COURT: OKAY.
 9
             AND MS. RIVERA, I'VE GOT YOU ON THE LINE.
10
        MS. RIVERA: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
11
        THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
12
             AND MS. WRIGHT, I'VE GOT YOU ON VIDEO. GOOD
    AFTERNOON TO YOU.
13
14
        MS. WRIGHT: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
15
        THE COURT: OKAY. AND MS. JENNIFER VANE, I'VE GOT YOU
16
    ON VIDEO.
        MS. VANE: YES, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON.
17
18
       THE COURT: OKAY.
19
             AND I'M GOING TO ALSO GET THE PARTIES CHECKED IN
20
    -- I'VE GOT TWO PEOPLE AT THE COUNSEL TABLE.
             MR. BRONSHTEYN, LET'S GET YOUR APPEARANCE.
21
22
        MR. BRONSHTEYN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. PRESENT.
23
        THE COURT: AND YOU ARE?
24
        MS. MONDIA: MARIE MONDIA, ON BEHALF OF JODI
25
    MONTGOMERY.
        THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
26
             ALL RIGHT. AND THEN ON THE PARTIES, I'LL GET THE
27
28
    APPEARANCES OF MS. SWAN.
```

```
1
              AND SO LET'S GO AHEAD AND START WITH MS. SPEARS,
 2
    I'VE GOT YOU ON THE PHONE THIS AFTERNOON.
 3
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 4
        THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. THANK YOU FOR JOINING US
    THIS AFTERNOON, MS. SPEARS.
 5
 6
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YOU'RE WELCOME.
 7
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
 8
             AND ALSO I'VE GOT -- LET'S SEE, MS. LYNNE SPEARS,
    I'VE GOT YOU ON -- I'M NOT SURE IF YOU'RE ON VIDEO OR THE
 9
    AUDIO, BUT I'VE GOT YOU ON THE LINE?
10
11
        MS. LYNNE SPEARS: YES, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON.
12
        THE COURT: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU.
13
             AND ALSO WE HAVE MR. JAMES SPEARS, YOU'RE ON THE
14
    LINE AS WELL?
15
        MR. SPEARS: YES, MA'AM. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
16
        THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU, TOO.
17
             AND I BELIEVE THERE'S A MR. KEVIN CAULEY ON THE
18
    LINE AS WELL?
19
        MR. CAULEY: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
20
21
                  (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN
22
                  THE COURT AND THE CLERK.)
23
24
        THE COURT: OH, MS. MONTGOMERY, I APOLOGIZE.
25
    MS. MONTGOMERY, I'VE GOT YOU ON -- I'M NOT SURE IF I'VE
26
    GOT YOU ON VIDEO OR AUDIO, BUT GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU.
27
        MS. MONTGOMERY: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
        THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. SO -- AND THEN I DO
28
```

```
1
     BELIEVE THERE IS SOMEBODY IN THE -- AND I WANT TO TALK TO
 2
    MS. SPEARS, MS. BRITNEY SPEARS FIRST.
              MS. SPEARS, THERE IS SOMEBODY -- MY CLERK ADVISED
 3
 4
    ME, THAT THERE IS SOMEONE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO INDICATED
 5
     THAT THEY MAY BE INTENDING TO REPRESENT YOU, BUT I JUST
 6
    WANT TO CONFIRM WITH YOU THAT THAT IS THE CASE. IT'S A
    MATTHEW ROSENGART. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS PERSON?
 7
 8
             MS. BRITNEY SPEARS?
 9
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: SORRY, THIS MUTE BUTTON. YES,
10
    MA'AM.
11
         THE COURT: YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THIS PERSON?
12
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YES. I WANT HIM TO REPRESENT ME,
13
    YES.
14
         THE COURT: SO LET ME GO AHEAD AND CALL HIM UP TO THE
15
    COUNSEL TABLE.
16
              SIR, MR. ROSENGART, YOU CAN COME ON UP.
17
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: UH-HUH.
18
        THE COURT: HE'S IN THE COURTROOM, MS. SPEARS, SO HE'S
    GOING TO COME ON UP TO THE COUNSEL TABLE.
19
20
        MR. ROSENGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
21
         THE COURT: YES. AND YOU WANT TO STATE YOUR
22
    APPEARANCE, SIR.
23
         MR. ROSENGART: YES, YOUR HONOR. MATHEW ROSENGART OF
24
     THE LAW FIRM OF GREENBERG TRAURIG, FOR BRITNEY SPEARS.
25
     THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
26
         THE COURT: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, SIR. SO I WANT TO
27
     HANDLE THIS ONE ISSUE FIRST BEFORE WE GET INTO THE OTHER
28
    MATTERS THAT ARE ON THE COURT'S CALENDAR. EVERYBODY
```

```
1
     KNOWS, I BELIEVE, WE HAVE 15 MATTERS ON THE COURT'S
 2
     CALENDAR THIS AFTERNOON, AND WE'LL GET TO THEM IN JUST A
 3
     MOMENT.
 4
              BUT, COUNSEL, MR. ROSENGART, MS. SPEARS SAID THAT
 5
     SHE'S BEEN IN TALKS WITH YOU, AND SHE'S INTERESTED IN
 6
     HAVING YOU REPRESENT HER, AND THAT'S, I BELIEVE, WHAT
 7
     YOU'RE INDICATING TO ME AT THIS TIME?
 8
         MR. ROSENGART: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
         THE COURT: OKAY. I WANT TO FIND OUT FROM YOU ABOUT
 9
     -- IN TERMS OF YOUR FIRM'S TRUST AND ESTATE PRACTICE.
10
11
     DOES YOUR FIRM HAVE THAT CAPABILITY?
12
         MR. ROSENGART: WE DO, YOUR HONOR.
         THE COURT: OKAY. AND IS IT A PRETTY BIG TRUST AND
13
14
     ESTATE SECTION THAT YOU HAVE IN YOUR FIRM?
15
        MR. ROSENGART: IT IS A VERY SUBSTANTIAL SECTION.
     IT'S -- I APPRECIATE THE QUESTION BECAUSE THERE'S BEEN SO
16
     MUCH MEDIA REPORTING ABOUT IT, BUT IT'S SOMETHING WE CAN
17
     SPEAK ABOUT SEPARATELY OR IN OPEN COURT NOW. IT'S QUITE
18
19
     SUBSTANTIAL, IT'S COAST TO COAST. I AM NOT REPRESENTING
    MS. SPEARS ALONE. AS THE COURT IS PROBABLY AWARE, I'M A
20
    LITIGATOR. I'M A TRIAL ATTORNEY. I'M A COMMERCIAL
21
22
    LITIGATOR.
23
              I BRING TO THE CASE NOT ONLY MY LAW FIRM, WHICH
    IS AN AMLAW 100 FIRM, BUT A TEAM OF ATTORNEYS IN REGARD TO
24
    THIS PARTICULAR CASE CONSISTING WITH MY COLLEAGUES ERIC
25
26
    ROWEN, R-O-W-E-N, SCOTT BERTZYK, B-E-R-T-Z-Y-K, LISA
27
    MC CURDY, M-C-C-U-R-D-Y, ALL OF WHOM HAVE SUBSTANTIAL
```

PROBATE EXPERIENCE, INCLUDING, YOUR HONOR, AS THE COURT

28

- 1 MAY BE AWARE, A SIX-MONTH TRIAL BEFORE JUDGE BECKLOFF IN
- 2 THIS COURTHOUSE IN A LARGE REMOVAL OF TRUSTEES IN
- 3 | CONNECTION WITH THE MARK HUGHES HERBAL LIFE CASE WHICH IS
- 4 QUITE WELL KNOWN AND QUITE SUCCESSFUL. AND WE'D BE
- 5 | PLEASED TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH ANY ADDITIONAL
- 6 INFORMATION IN A COURT FILING OR I CAN CONTINUE NOW.
- 7 THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
- 8 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THE OTHER THING
- 9 I JUST WANTED TO CONFIRM, COUNSEL, IS THAT IN TERMS OF
- 10 YOUR OFFICE, NO ONE ELSE THAT'S CONNECTED WITH THIS CASE,
- 11 OTHER THAN MS. SPEARS, HAS ENGAGED YOUR SERVICES. I JUST
- 12 WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S NOT A CONFLICT.
- 13 MR. ROSENGART: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
- 14 THE COURT: SO NEITHER MR. SPEARS NOR LYNNE SPEARS OR
- 15 ANYBODY ELSE HAS ENGAGED YOUR SERVICE OR -- ENGAGED YOUR
- 16 | SERVICES AND HAVE YOUR FIRM --
- 17 MR. ROSENGART: THAT IS A FAIR, ANTICIPATED, AND
- 18 EXCELLENT QUESTION, AND THE ANSWER IS NO.
- 19 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND WHAT I
- 20 | WOULD APPRECIATE, COUNSEL, IS IF YOU WOULD PROVIDE THE
- 21 | INFORMATION TO THE COURT ABOUT YOUR FIRM IN THE FORM OF
- 22 | SOME TYPE OF DECLARATION.
- MR. ROSENGART: I'D BE HAPPY TO. I HAVE A SET OF
- 24 MATERIAL WITH ME NOW I CAN SUBMIT TO THE COURT AFTER, BUT
- 25 | EITHER WAY, YOUR HONOR, WE CAN SUBMIT IT IN A FILING ALONG
- 26 WITH A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE.
- 27 THE COURT: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 28 MR. ROSENGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND I WANT TO ALSO INDICATE TO THE PARTIES, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE 15 MATTERS THAT ARE BEFORE THE COURT. AND MAYBE SOME OF THIS CAN BE DONE NOW THAT WE HAVE MR. ROSENGART INVOLVED. I KNOW THAT 5012, I BELIEVE THAT WAS YOUR APPLICATION, COUNSEL INGHAM, AS WELL AS LOEB AND LOEB, TO RESIGN AS MS. SPEARS' RETAINED COUNSEL, SO THAT MAY BE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN DEAL WITH TODAY, BUT I'M HAPPY TO GET YOUR THOUGHTS BEFORE I MAKE A RULING ABOUT THAT.

THE OTHER ISSUE I WANTED TO ADDRESS, BECAUSE I
THINK I CAN DISPOSE OF IT QUICKLY. MR. ROSS, I KNOW THAT
BESSEMER FILED A PETITION AT 5010, TO RESIGN. AND SO I
WANT TO DEAL WITH THAT ONE AS WELL. SO MR. ROSS, I DID -MR. ROSS: OKAY. YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YES. I DID SEE EVERYTHING, AND I KNOW
THAT THERE WAS SOME DELAY IN THE ORDER GETTING TO ME TO
SIGN, SO IT WAS SIGNED, YOU KNOW, MONTHS AFTER THE
HEARING. THE HEARING WAS IN NOVEMBER, AND THEN THE ORDER
DIDN'T GET TO ME UNTIL JUNE. I KNOW THERE WAS SOME MEET
AND CONFER, I BELIEVE, GOING ON, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, WITH
COUNSEL. AND SO ULTIMATELY THE ORDER DID GET TO ME BUT,
OF COURSE, NO LETTERS, OBVIOUSLY, HAVE ISSUED IN THIS CASE
FOR YOU TO SERVE.

AND SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO TODAY IS, I'M GOING
TO ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF BESSEMER, AND IT WILL BE
EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. I KNOW THAT YOU DID NOT TAKE ANY
CONTROL OF ANY ASSETS BECAUSE THE ORDER WAS JUST SIGNED, I
BELIEVE, ON JUNE 25TH OR THEREABOUTS. AND YOU HAD NO

```
LETTERS OR AUTHORITY TO ACT BECAUSE THE ORDER HAD NOT COME
1
2
    INTO PLAY. SO I DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS A NEED FOR AN
    ACCOUNTING BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T TAKE CONTROL OF ANY ASSETS.
3
    SO I'M GOING TO GRANT YOUR --
4
5
        MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, PARDON ME. PARDON ME FOR
6
     INTERRUPTING, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS SAMUEL INGHAM. I JUST
7
     RECEIVED A TEXT FROM MS. BRITNEY SPEARS THAT SHE WISHES TO
     SPEAK, AND IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME WHETHER SHE WISHES TO
8
9
     SPEAK BEFORE YOU RULE ON THE RESIGNATION OF BESSEMER
10
    TRUST.
11
        THE COURT: OH, OKAY. THAT'S FINE.
12
             AND MS. SPEARS ---
13
             MR. ROSENGART, YOUR CLIENT WANTS TO SAY
     SOMETHING. YOU'RE INVOLVED NOW, SO IS THAT OKAY WITH YOU?
14
15
        MR. ROSENGART: IT IS, YOUR HONOR.
16
        THE COURT: OKAY. SO MS. SPEARS, MS. BRITNEY SPEARS,
    MR. INGHAM INDICATED THAT HE RECEIVED A MESSAGE FROM YOU
17
    THAT YOU WANTED TO SPEAK. SO BEFORE I MAKE MY RULING, I
18
     DON'T KNOW IF THIS PERTAINS TO WHAT I'M DOING NOW, BUT I'M
19
     HAPPY TO HEAR WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY.
20
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY.
21
22
             HELLO.
        THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD.
23
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: I WANT TO SPEAK, BUT I WANT TO
24
    CLEAR THE COURTROOM BEFORE I SPEAK.
25
26
        THE COURT: I MISSED WHAT MS. SPEARS SAID.
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: I WOULD LIKE TO CLEAR THE
27
     COURTROOM BEFORE I SPEAK.
28
```

1 THE COURT: YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE COURTROOM --2 DID SHE SAY CLEARED? 3 MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 4 MR. BRONSHTEYN: THAT'S WHAT I HEARD, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY. SO DOES THIS INVOLVE --BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE CASES THAT ALLOW FOR THE 6 7 PUBLIC TO BE HERE, SO I NEED TO FIND OUT -- WELL, FIRST OF 8 ALL, LET ME FIND OUT FROM YOU, MS. SPEARS. DOES IT HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE RULING I'M GOING TO MAKE ON THE 9 10 BESSEMER PETITION TO RESIGN? MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: NO, IT DOESN'T. 11 THE COURT: IT DOES NOT. OKAY. SO LET ME FINISH THAT 12 13 PIECE. AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TALK WITH COUNSEL, 14 BECAUSE THERE IS CASE AUTHORITY TO KEEP A PROCEEDING OPEN. SO I WOULD NEED TO HEAR SOME ARGUMENT AND SOME AUTHORITY 15 FOR WHY I WOULD NEED TO CLEAR THE COURT. 16 SO MR. ROSS, I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND MAKE MY 17 RULING THAT YOUR PETITION IS GRANTED. AND SO BESSEMER IS 18 19 GETTING EXCUSED AT THIS TIME. 20 MR. ROSS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR. AND I 21 GATHER ALSO THAT, AS YOU NOTED A MOMENT AGO, THAT AN 22 ACCOUNTING WILL BE WAIVED. THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT. YES. 23 24 MR. ROSS: THANKS VERY MUCH, AND --25 THE COURT: YOU TOOK NO CONTROL OF ANY ASSETS. 26 MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN. MAY I BE 27 HEARD? THE COURT: WHO IS SPEAKING -- ASKING? 28

MS. THOREEN: VIVIAN THOREEN ON BEHALF OF MR. SPEARS.

THE COURT: YES. GO AHEAD.

MS. THOREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE DON'T HAVE ANY
OBJECTION TO BESSEMER'S RESIGNATION, BUT I HAVE A BIT OF A
HOUSEKEEPING ISSUE IN CONNECTION WITH THEIR RESIGNATION.
AND THAT IS THAT BECAUSE THE COURT SIGNED THE ORDER
APPOINTING BESSEMER, THE ORDER IS CUSTOMIZED SUCH THAT
BESSEMER AND MR. SPEARS ARE TO ACT CONJOINTLY. AND SO AS
BESSEMER RESIGNS, THE ORDER IS AMBIGUOUS AND A LITTLE BIT
CONFUSING. AND SO WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, YOUR HONOR, IS
JUST TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE A CLEAN RECORD, CLEAN ORDERS,
THAT AS PART OF THE ORDER GRANTING BESSEMER'S REQUEST TO
RESIGN, THAT I WOULD ADD TO IT OR PREPARE IT ALTOGETHER,
THAT THE COURT IS CONFIRMING THAT MR. SPEARS CONTINUES TO
SERVE AS MS. SPEARS' SOLE CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE.
THERE IS A PRIOR ORDER TO THIS EFFECT AND THIS WAS IN
PLACE WHEN MR. WALLET RESIGNED SOME TIME AGO.

AND SO ESSENTIALLY, YOUR HONOR, WHAT I AM
PROPOSING IS THAT WE WOULD REVERT TO THE TERMS OF THAT
2019 ORDER, BUT BRING IT UP TO DATE SINCE MR. SPEARS IS
NOT HER CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THAT WOULD BE THE CASE IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT BESSEMER IS STEPPING DOWN FROM THEIR ROLE, SO THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO REVERT BACK TO THE OTHER ORDER BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE THE ONLY OTHER ORDER THAT'S IN PLACE RIGHT NOW CONCERNING THE ASSETS.

MS. THOREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. WHAT I'M SUGGESTING,
JUST TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR, IS TO PRESENT A NEW ORDER THAT

```
WOULD INCLUDE BESSEMER'S RESIGNATION AND MR. SPEARS' SOLE
 1
 2
     APPOINTMENT AS THE CO-CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE WHICH
 3
     WOULD ALSO REFLECT THAT, AT LEAST AS OF TODAY,
     MS. MONTGOMERY IS SERVING AS MS. SPEARS' TEMPORARY
 4
 5
     CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON.
 6
         THE COURT: YES. WELL, THAT'S THE CURRENT STATE --
 7
         MR. ROSS: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S --
 8
         THE COURT: WHO'S SPEAKING?
 9
         MR. ROSS: ON BEHALF OF BESSEMER, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD
10
     BE HAPPY TO WORK WITH MS. THOREEN ON AN ORDER THAT'S
     ACCEPTABLE AND CONSISTENT WITH WHAT HAS JUST BEEN STATED.
11
12
         THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND PLEASE
13
     INCLUDE MR. ROSENGART IN THE LOOP FOR THAT ORDER AS WELL.
14
         MR. ROSS: I WILL, YOUR HONOR.
15
        MS. THOREEN: WILL DO, YOUR HONOR. AND MAY I ASK A
     QUESTION ABOUT MR. ROSENGART? I AM UNDERSTANDING THIS TO
16
17
     MEAN THAT THE COURT IS, THEN, APPOINTING MR. ROSENGART AND
     HIS FIRM AS MS. SPEARS' COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL; IS THAT
1.8
19
     CORRECT, YOUR HONOR? I DIDN'T KNOW IF I MISSED SOMETHING.
         THE COURT: OKAY. SO DID YOU WANT TO BE HEARD ON
20
21
     THAT, MR. ROSENGART?
22
         MR. ROSENGART: YOUR HONOR, BASED ON THE COURT'S
    COMMENTS OF THIS MORNING, THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING AS
23
24
    WELL. I'M HAPPY TO BE HEARD. THE ONLY THING I WOULD LIKE
    TO CLARIFY IS, THOUGH, ALTHOUGH MY FIRM IS EXTREMELY WELL
25
    EQUIPPED TO HANDLE THE MATTER, ADVERSE TO HOLLAND AND
26
27
    KNIGHT, AS AN AMLAW 100 FIRM, AS INDICATED IN THE
28
    SUBMISSION OF JODI MONTGOMERY, ALSO CONSISTENT WITH THE
```

SUBMISSION OF LYNNE SPEARS, WE AGREE WITH 50 PERCENT OF 1 2 THE SUBMISSION OF THE ACLU. THE 50 PERCENT THAT WE AGREE WITH IS MS. SPEARS DOES ABSOLUTELY HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO SELECT HER OWN ATTORNEY. WE BELIEVE THAT'S CLEAR 4 UNDER THE 5TH AMENDMENT, UNDER THE 6TH AMENDMENT. 5 BELIEVE IT'S CLEAR UNDER THE STATUTORY SCHEME UNDER THE 6 PROBATE CODE. WE BELIEVE AS AN INDIVIDUAL, AS A HUMAN 7 8 BEING WHO HAS DIGNITY AND AUTONOMY, SHE HAS THAT RIGHT. AND WE BELIEVE IT'S WELL WITHIN THE COURT'S DISCRETION 9 10 UNDER 1873 TO PERMIT MS. SPEARS TO SELECT HER OWN COUNSEL 11 FOR ALL OF THOSE REASONS.

WE ALSO BELIEVE, YOUR HONOR, THAT MS. SPEARS' POWERFUL, COMPELLING, HONEST, DECENT, AND HUMANE TESTIMONY AND STATEMENT OF JUNE 23RD SHOWS THAT SHE'S ABSOLUTELY MORE THAN CAPABLE OF HIRING HER OWN COUNSEL AFTER BEING THROUGH THIS FOR THAT 13 YEARS. THE ONLY POINT OF CLARIFICATION THAT I WOULD MAKE, YOUR HONOR -- AND I APPRECIATE THE COURT'S SOLICITOUSNESS, AND IT'S NICE MEETING YOUR HONOR -- IS THAT UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES, BEFORE THE FINAL RETENTION IS CONSUMMATED, OUR INTENT, SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S PERMISSION, WAS GOING TO BE TO WORK THROUGH LAURIANN WRIGHT, COUNSEL WITH JODI MONTGOMERY, IN ORDER TO FORMALIZE THE ENGAGEMENT IN AN ENGAGEMENT LETTER. SO WE DO HAVE -- YOUR HONOR, IF I JUST MAY READ IT IN OPEN COURT BRIEFLY, A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE PURSUANT TO WHICH MS. SPEARS WROTE ON JULY 7TH, OR CONFIRMED ON JULY 7TH, THE FOLLOWING:

"PURSUANT TO MY STATEMENT IN OPEN

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	COURT ON JUNE 23RD, 2021, MY RIGHTS
2	AND MY DESIRE TO END THE ABOVE-
3	REFERENCED CONSERVATORSHIP AS TO
4	MY FATHER, JAMES P. SPEARS, IT IS
5	MY DESIRE TO CHOOSE AND RETAIN MY
6	OWN COUNSEL AT GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
7	AS SET FOURTH ABOVE."
8	AND WE WILL FILE THIS WITH THE COURT, BUT JUST
9	FOR THE RECORD, THE NOTICE OF APPEARANCE CONTAINS THE
10	NAMES OF OUR TEAM. WE MAY SUPPLEMENT THE TEAM, BUT IT
11	IDENTIFIES THE INDIVIDUALS I IDENTIFIED ON THE RECORD THIS
12	MORNING. SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT CLARIFICATION,
13	YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
14	THE COURT: THANK YOU, COUNSEL.
15	MS. BRENNAN-KROHN: YOUR HONOR?
16	THE COURT: WHO IS SPEAKING?
17	MS. BRENNAN-KROHN: THIS IS ZOE BRENNAN-KROHN FOR THE
18	ACLU, YOUR HONOR. IF I MAY BE HEARD BRIEFLY ON THIS
19	MATTER?
20	THE COURT: SURE.
21	MS. BRENNAN-KROHN: IN RESPONSE TO MR. ROSENGART'S
22	REFERENCE TO THE AMICUS BRIEF FILED BY THE ACLU, OF COURSE
23	WE AGREE WITH YOUR HONOR'S APPROACH OF RECOGNIZING THAT
24	MS. SPEARS HAS THE RIGHT AND HAS CAPACITY TO CHOOSE HER
25	OWN LAWYER.
26	AND THE ONLY ADDITIONAL POINT THAT I WANT TO
27	RAISE IS THAT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT MS. SPEARS HAD
28	ENOUGH INFORMATION AND ACCESS TO MAKE AN INFORMED CHOICE

1 ABOUT THIS, AND THAT SHE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO 2 AS MANY LAWYERS AS SHE WANTED TO CONFIDENTIALLY, BY THE 3 PHONE, SHE HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT PROFILES OF LAWYERS ON 4 THE INTERNET, THAT SHE HAD UNLAUNDERED INTERNET ACCESS, 5 AND THAT SHE HAD ACCESS TO TRUST ADVISORS AS TO WHICH 6 ATTORNEYS WOULD BE A BEST FIT FOR HER. AND IF SHE HASN'T 7 HAD THAT OPPORTUNITY, WE WOULD ENCOURAGE THE COURT TO 8 PROVIDE HER THAT OPPORTUNITY TO SUPPORT HER DECISION 9 MAKING WHICH IS A WIDELY RECOGNIZED PROCESS THAT HELPS A 10 PERSON REACH AND COMMUNICATE THEIR OWN DECISIONS 11 CONSISTENT WITH THEIR VALUES. AND THE ACLU HAS OFFERED TO 12 PROVIDE THIS TO MS. SPEARS ON AN EXPEDITED BASIS AT NO 13 COST, IF MS. SPEARS WOULD LIKE, TO ENSURE THAT SHE HAD THE 14 OPPORTUNITY TO CHOOSE HER OWN LAWYER QUICKLY AND MEANINGFULLY, BUT ALSO TO ENSURE THAT THIS LAWYER IS 15 CONSISTENT WITH HER VALUES. 16 AND WE TAKE NO POSITION ON MR. ROSENGART. WE 17 18 JUST TAKE A POSITION ON THE PROCESS THAT MS. SPEARS WENT 19 THROUGH TO ENSURE THAT SHE FEELS COMFORTABLE THAT SHE HAD 20 ENOUGH TIME, ENOUGH OPPORTUNITY TO REACH HER OWN 21 CONCLUSION. AND IF SHE WOULD LIKE MORE TIME OR A NEUTRAL 22 SUPPORTER TO HELP HER REACH THAT DECISION, THEN WE'RE 23 HAPPY TO PROVIDE THAT. 24 MR. ROSENGART: YOUR HONOR, VERY BRIEFLY, IF I MAY? MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, PARDON ME. THIS IS SAMUEL 25 26 INGHAM AGAIN. PARDON ME FOR INTERRUPTING MR. ROSENGART, BUT MS. SPEARS HAS ASKED AGAIN IF SHE COULD ADDRESS THE 27

28

COURT.

1 MR. ROSENGART: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT: OKAY. BUT SHE'S ALSO ASKED THAT THE 3 COURTROOM BE CLEARED, AND SO I NEED SOME BASIS FOR THAT, BECAUSE THE COURTROOM AND THE COURTHOUSE AND THE 4 COURTROOMS ARE ALWAYS OPEN, SO I DON'T HAVE -- YOU KNOW, 5 THIS HAS JUST COME UP JUST NOW. I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING 6 BEFORE ME IN TERMS OF STATUTORY OR CASE LAW THAT WILL 7 8 ALLOW FOR THAT, OR ANYTHING ELSE THAT THE PARTIES WOULD WANT TO SHARE TO ALLOW ME TO MAKE A RULING IN THAT WAY. 9 10 MR. ROSENGART: WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION --11 MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD MAKE A SUGGESTION 12 HERE. I'M MORE THAN HAPPY -- I'M NOT SURE IF MS. SPEARS 1.3 IS ABLE TO COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY WITH MR. ROSENGART, SO I'M 14 MORE THAN HAPPY TO BE HER INTERFACE, AND SHE'S ABLE TO TEXT ME. HOWEVER, IF THE COURT'S GOING TO ADDRESS A 15 16 REQUEST TO CLEAR THE COURTROOM OR ANY OTHER ISSUES OF THAT 17 SORT, I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE COURT TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO WHO IS REPRESENTING THE 18 19 CONSERVATEE FOR THIS HEARING. 20 I HAVE TENDERED MY RESIGNATION. AND IF 21 MR. ROSENGART IS PRESENT AND THE COURT IS INCLINED TO HAVE 22 HIM APPEAR ON HER BEHALF, THEN I THINK THAT ISSUE CAN BE 23 RESOLVED, AND MY RESIGNATION AND THAT OF LOEB AND LOEB CAN

BE ACCEPTED BEFORE WE GO FURTHER DOWN THE ROAD OF ARGUING PROCEDURE IN THIS CASE.

THE COURT: OKAY.

24

25

26

27

28

SO, MS. SPEARS? BRITNEY SPEARS, CAN YOU HEAR ME? MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YES. AND I'LL SPEAK WITH IT

1 OPEN.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU CAN HEAR ME, OKAY? ALL SO THE ACLU FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF BECAUSE THEY RIGHT. WANT TO BE SURE THEY WERE -- THEIR ARGUMENT IS THAT YOU DEFINITELY HAVE THE RIGHT TO ENGAGE COUNSEL, BUT THEY ALSO WANT TO BE SURE THAT YOU HAVE MADE A SELECTION CONSISTENT WITH, MAYBE, INTERVIEWING OTHER PEOPLE, FINDING -- MAKING SURE THAT, YOU KNOW, THE FIRM THAT YOU'RE SPEAKING ABOUT DID EVERYTHING FOR YOUR PURPOSES, THAT YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THEM, AND THAT IT FITS YOUR NEEDS. AND SO THAT WAS THE CONCERN RAISED IN THEIR AMICUS BRIEF. SO WITHOUT GETTING -- HAVING YOU GET INTO ANY CONFIDENTIAL DISCUSSIONS WITH LAWYERS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE INTERVIEWED, BASED ON THE INQUIRY THAT WAS MADE BY THE ACLU, ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THE DECISION THAT YOU'VE MADE CONCERNING THE FIRM TO REPRESENT YOU, THAT MR. ROSENGART --

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YES, MA'AM, I AM.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY?

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: I'VE SPOKE -- I'VE SPOKEN WITH
HIM SEVERAL TIMES ON THE PHONE AND I'VE -- I'VE MET HIM,
SO, UM, YES, I'VE MADE -- IT IS MY DECISION. AND I WILL
SPEAK WITH THE COURTROOM OPEN, AND IF YOU WILL GIVE ME THE
OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT AS WELL.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU, MS. SPEARS.

SO SHE'S INDICATED THAT SHE'S COMFORTABLE WITH THE DECISION. AND I BELIEVE SHE HAS THE RIGHT TO ENGAGE COUNSEL, SO THE FIRM THAT MR. ROSENGART IS -- IS IT GREENBERG TRAURIG, IS THAT HOW YOU PRONOUNCE IT?

1 MR. ROSENGART: YES, YOUR HONOR, SUBJECT TO FINALIZING THE ENGAGEMENT, THAT'S CORRECT. AND THE ONLY POINT I WAS 2 3 GOING TO MAKE BEFORE MS. SPEARS SPEAKS IS IN REGARDS TO THE ACLU MOTION, AND MAYBE THIS SHIFT IS ALREADY SHELVED 4 5 SO IT'S MOOT. THE 50 PERCENT THAT WE WOULD, AS THE COURT, I BELIEVE JUST STATED, MS. SPEARS ABSOLUTELY HAS THE RIGHT 6 TO SELECT HER OWN COUNSEL. MS. SPEARS INDICATED TO ME, 7 8 WITHOUT GETTING INTO ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE 9 CONVERSATIONS, AS SHE JUST CONFIRMED WITH THE COURT, 10 CONSISTENT WITH WHAT SHE SAID ON JUNE 23RD, SHE DOES NOT PREFER THERE TO BE AN INTERMEDIARY IN THAT REGARD. SO 11 12 WITH THAT SAID, YOUR HONOR, I APPRECIATE THE COURT'S 13 RULING. 14 THE COURT: THANK YOU. MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN. MAY I 15 COMMENT BEFORE MS. SPEARS MAKES HER REMARKS? THIS IS 16 17 RELATED TO THE -- HER CHOICE-OF-COUNSEL ISSUE. 18 THE COURT: SURE. 19 MS. THOREEN: I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT MR. SPEARS WANTS 20 HIS DAUGHTER TO HAVE COUNSEL. AND HE AGREES THAT IT'S NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE FOR HER TO HAVE COUNSEL WHO WILL 21 22 ADVOCATE FOR HER, AND THAT SHE BE INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS 23 OF CHOOSING HER COUNSEL. AND IF THE COURT IS APPOINTING 24 MR. ROSENGART AND HIS FIRM, I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH 25 HIM TO TRY TO RESOLVE THESE MANY PENDING AND COMPLEX 26 ISSUES. SO I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT AND LET THE 27 RECORD REFLECT THAT MR. SPEARS DOES IN NO WAY OBJECT TO 28 THIS BECAUSE HE DOES WANT HIS DAUGHTER TO HAVE COUNSEL WHO

1 | WILL ADVOCATE FOR HER.

THE COURT: THANK YOU, MS. THOREEN.

SO MR. INGHAM, DO YOU WANT ME TO DEAL WITH YOUR PETITION LATER ON IN THE PROCEEDING, BECAUSE I CAN STILL DEAL WITH IT TODAY.

MR. INGHAM: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO MAKE A
HOUSEKEEPING ANNOUNCEMENT, AND THIS IS AS MUCH FOR THE
BENEFIT OF MR. ROSENGART AS ANYONE, NOT TO MENTION THE
BENEFIT OF MY CLIENT, AND THEN ASK FOR SOME GUIDANCE FROM
THE COURT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. INGHAM: I WASN'T IN ANY WAY SUGGESTING THAT I WANTED TO CONTINUE AS AN INTERMEDIARY BETWEEN MS. SPEARS AND HER NEW COUNSEL. I ONLY MADE THE OBSERVATION THAT SHE HAS MY TELEPHONE NUMBER AND IS TEXTING ME AS THE HEARING GOES ON. AND SO DEPENDING ON HOW THE COURT DEALS WITH MY RESIGNATION, I THINK IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE, WITHOUT MY MEANING TO BE RUDE TO MY CLIENT, IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE FOR HER TO INTERRUPT ON HER OWN RATHER THAN HAVE ME DO HER INTERRUPTING FOR HER. AND I THINK THE COURT HAS SHOWN A LOT OF INDULGENCE IN THAT RESPECT, AND I DON'T SEE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: I WAS TEXTING YOU BASICALLY
BECAUSE, UM, MY PHONE WASN'T CONNECTING TO, UM, MY LAWYER.
SO I JUST -- I WANTED TO GO AHEAD AND -- I WASN'T
CONNECTING TO HIM. I DIDN'T NEED TO TEXT YOU, THOUGH.

MR. INGHAM: OH. WELL, I'M HAPPY -- ANY WAY THAT I

CAN HELP IS FINE, BUT I THINK IT WOULD PROBABLY BE
APPROPRIATE IF MS. SPEARS JUST ADDRESSES THE COURT -MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: WELL, DIRECTLY, YEAH.

MR. INGHAM: -- AND SHE LEAVES ME OUT.

THE OTHER HOUSEKEEPING ISSUES THAT I JUST WA

THE OTHER HOUSEKEEPING ISSUES THAT I JUST WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT FOR MR. ROSENGART, BECAUSE HE WASN'T PRESENT AT THE LAST HEARING, I DID ARRANGE FOR MS. SPEARS TO APPEAR BY TELEPHONE COURT CONNECT FOR THIS HEARING. SHE IS WELCOME, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, SINCE I HAVEN'T BEEN DISCHARGED YET, TO SAY WHATEVER SHE LIKES. I HAVE NOT IN ANY WAY ATTEMPTED TO CONTROL OR FILTER OR EDIT ANYTHING THAT SHE HAS TO SAY. WHATEVER SHE SAYS ARE HER OWN WORDS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY WILL BE. SHE HAS HER OWN INDEPENDENT PHONE CONNECTION. I WILL NOT INTERRUPT HER AT ANY POINT. ONCE SHE STARTS SPEAKING, IRRESPECTIVE OF WHAT SHE SAYS, I WILL NOT IN ANY WAY ATTEMPT TO STOP HER FROM SPEAKING OR TEXT HER OR DO ANYTHING ELSE TO INTERFERE WITH HER COMMUNICATION.

AND WITH THAT SAID, IF THE COURT IS INCLINED TO

LET HER SPEAK UP WHEN SHE WISHES TO SPEAK UP, I THINK IT

WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF LOEB AND

LOEB AND MYSELF AT THIS POINT, SUBJECT TO SOME PROCEDURAL

THINGS IN TERMS OF PENDING FEE PETITIONS. BUT I THINK IT

ISN'T REALLY BENEFICIAL -- IT ISN'T IN THE CONSERVATEE'S

BEST INTEREST TO HAVE TWO PEOPLE ON THE HOOK AT THE SAME

TIME. AND SHE HAS EXPRESSED A CLEAR PREFERENCE FOR NEW

COUNSEL. AS I SAID AT THE LAST HEARING --

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: -- AND I SAID MYSELF AS WELL WHY

```
1
    I WAS, UM, TEXTING YOU BECAUSE I DIDN'T HAVE HIS NUMBER IN
2
    MY PHONE.
3
        MR. INGHAM: I ABSOLUTELY HONOR HER REQUEST TO HAVE
4
    NEW COUNSEL, AND SO AT THIS POINT, I THINK IT WOULD BE
5
    APPROPRIATE TO HAVE THE COURT ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF
6
    MYSELF AND OF MY ASSOCIATED COUNSEL, LOEB AND LOEB.
7
        THE COURT: OKAY. AND MR. INGHAM, I BELIEVE YOUR
    PETITION IS AT 5012; IS THAT CORRECT, OR NO?
8
9
        MR. INGHAM: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10
        THE COURT: OKAY. SO THE PETITION AT 5012 IS GOING TO
11
    BE GRANTED. I'M GOING TO GRANT THAT PETITION. AND AT
12
    THIS TIME, I'M GOING TO DISCHARGE MR. INGHAM, AND LOEB AND
13
    LOEB IS RELEASED AS WELL WITH THE COURT'S THANKS.
             OKAY. THANK YOU.
14
15
        MR. INGHAM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
        THE COURT: SURE. SO --
16
       MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THANK YOU.
17
       MR. NELSON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
18
19
        THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. NELSON.
20
        MR. ROSENGART: WITH REGARD TO THE SEALING ISSUES,
     YOUR HONOR, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, AND THE COURT WILL
21
     FORGIVE ME -- THIS IS OBVIOUSLY MY FIRST APPEARANCE IN
22
     THIS CASE -- IS THAT THERE IS PRECEDENT FOR IT IN THIS
23
24
    VERY CASE. THE REASONS MS. SPEARS WOULD LIKE THE
25
    COURTROOM CLEARED ARE TO RESPECT HER PRIVACY. SHE MAY
26
    TALK ABOUT MEDICAL ISSUES, HIPAA ISSUES, PRIVACY ISSUES
    CONCERNING MINOR CHILDREN --
27
```

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: (INAUDIBLE.)

```
MR. ROSENGART: -- PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. I BELIEVE
 7
     MR. SPEARS HIMSELF HAS MOVED FOR SEALING ORDERS IN THE
 2
     PAST THAT WERE GRANTED.
 3
         MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: ACTUALLY, I DON'T MIND IF IT'S
 4
     OPEN. IT'S FINE.
 5
 6
         THE COURT: OKAY. SHE'S SAYING SHE DOESN'T MIND IF
 7
     IT'S OPEN.
 8
         MR. ROSENGART: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE
 9
     PRECEDENT FOR IT TO BE SEALED, BUT IF MS. SPEARS WOULD
10
     LIKE TO MAKE A STATEMENT IN OPEN COURT, THAT'S FINE.
11
         THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE'VE GOT A NUMBER OF OTHER
    MATTERS ON THE CALENDAR, BUT IF MS. SPEARS WANTS TO MAKE A
12
13
     STATEMENT, I'M HAPPY TO HAVE HER MAKE A STATEMENT. AND
     THEN WE CAN FIGURE OUT WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING WITH
14
15
    THE BALANCE OF THE CALENDAR MATTERS THAT ARE BEFORE ME.
16
              SO MS. BRITNEY SPEARS, IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING YOU
17
    WANTED TO SAY --
18
       MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: YES.
19
        THE COURT: YES. I'M HAPPY TO HAVE YOU TALK AT THIS
20
    TIME.
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: OKAY. THANK YOU. SO I'M HERE,
21
22
    MA'AM, TODAY -- YOUR HONOR, EXCUSE ME, TO SHOW YOU WHO I
     WANT AS MY LAWYER -- I HAVE THIS WRITTEN DOWN, SO BEAR
23
24
    WITH ME -- TO SHOW YOU WHO I WANT AS MY LAWYER AND TO
25
    REMOVE MY DAD ALTOGETHER. I ALSO, AGAIN, WANT TO PETITION
26
    THE COURT TO END THE CONSERVATORSHIP, BUT ONLY IF I DON'T
27
    HAVE TO BE EVALUATED. I'M NOT SURE WHY MY LAWYER DIDN'T
28
    HAVE AN ANSWER FOR ME ON THAT. I ALSO DO KNOW -- MY
```

PREVIOUS LAWYER, NOT THIS LAWYER NOW. I ALSO KNOW THAT BY
LAW YOU CAN ELIMINATE THE EVALUATION IF YOU CHOOSE. IN
SOME STATES THAT IS PERMITTED. I JUST WANT YOU TO
UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH OF MY TIME IT HAS TAKEN BY FORCING ME
TO DO THESE STUPID PSYCH TESTS AND NOTHING COMES OUT OF
IT.

THE LAW IS DIFFERENT ON THIS SITUATION AND I'VE SPOKEN TO PEOPLE WHERE THEY ARE ABLE TO END IT WITHOUT BEING EVALUATED. MAYBE THE LAW IS DIFFERENT HERE, I HAVE NO IDEA. EITHER WAY, I DON'T WANT TO PETITION IF THAT'S THE CASE. I'M NOT WILLING TO SIT WITH ANYBODY AT THIS POINT TO BE EVALUATED.

I'M REQUESTING TODAY TO GET MY DAD OUT AS THE CONSERVATOR.

I ALSO DID A MOCKUP OF MY SCHEDULE ON OUR LAST -MY LAST -- THREE WEEKS AGO WHEN I SPOKE TO YOU. SO MY DAD
WANTS TO INVESTIGATE WHAT I DID IN THE PAST WHEN I WAS IN
THAT PLACE. BUT, UM, I DID A MOCKUP OF MY SCHEDULE WHEN I
WAS THERE, AND I KNOW THE PROGRAMS THAT I WAS THREATENED
BY MY OWN PEOPLE IF I DIDN'T, IT WOULD BE WORSE FOR ME.
MA'AM, THERE SHOULD BE NO THREATS AT ALL TO ME EVER. IF I
DON'T WANT TO DO WHAT THEY SAY, AND I SAY NO TO A SHOW, I
SHOULD NEVER BE THREATENED. BUT WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IS
WRONG, AND I DID GO TO THIS MADE-UP PROGRAM. THE PROGRAM
WAS DEAD WRONG. IT WAS WRONG THE HOURS I HAD TO BE
AVAILABLE. I DID HAVE SOME 30-MINUTE BREAKS IN BETWEEN
SOME OF THE MEETINGS, BUT I DID HAVE 25-HOUR-A-WEEK
WORKDAYS. THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE DO WITH MOST PART-TIME JOBS.

I ALSO HAVE SERIOUS ABANDONMENT ISSUES. WHEN I WAS YOUNGER, MY MOM COULDN'T LEAVE THE WINDOW AT DANCE FOR THREE YEARS WHEN -- FOR THREE YEARS. I HAD TO SEE HER FACE THERE BECAUSE I WOULD LOSE IT IF SHE LEFT ONE TIME. SO WHEN I WAS 10 YEARS OLD, ONE TIME SHE WENT TO TARGET AND I WENT TO MY MEETING. I WAS ALWAYS EXTREMELY SCARED OF MY DAD AND I ALWAYS THOUGHT HE WAS GOING TO SHOW UP DRUNK SOMEWHERE AND EMBARRASS ME. AT THE PLACE I HAD TO SIT IN THE WINGS AT THAT PLACE FOR A MONTH BY MYSELF SEEING FIVE PEOPLE FOR MEETINGS; THE CHEF, A NURSE IN THE MORNING, AND AT NIGHT

ONCE SECURITY HAD CHANGED. THAT'S NINE PEOPLE WHO LEFT ME DAILY. NINE PEOPLE. OH, AND I FORGOT MY CHILDREN AND MY BOYFRIEND GOT LEFT IN THE CAR AND, UM, AT LEAST TWICE.

AND SO THAT'S WEEKLY, THAT'S 63 TIMES A WEEK I WAS LEFT IN THAT TRAILER HOUSE. 63 TIMES. NO, I WAS NOT ALONE, BUT THEY ALL LEFT ON THEIR SHIFT. I COULDN'T EVEN LEAVE OR EVEN GO OUT THE FRONT DOOR. TALK ABOUT EXTREME ABANDONMENT ISSUES. THEY KNEW I WAS VULNERABLE AND SCARED AND IT'S NOT OKAY.

WHEN THEY DREW BLOOD, I WOULD HAVE TO USE THREE DIFFERENT NEEDLES SOMETIMES TO GET THE RIGHT VEIN, MA'AM, AND I DIDN'T WANT TO GIVE BLOOD OR BE ON LITHIUM.

THEY TOOK MY HAIR VITAMINS AWAY. WHY TAKE
SOMEONE'S HAIR VITAMINS AWAY? IT'S WITH THE PRETTY
PACKAGING, ALL THE BOXES THAT ARE PAINTED, THAT I BOUGHT
MYSELF, AND THREW ALL THE PACKAGING AWAY SO I COULDN'T SEE
THE PRETTY PACKAGING. MA'AM, THAT'S NOT ABUSE, THAT'S

JUST FUCKING CRUELTY. AND EXCUSE MY LANGUAGE, BUT IT'S

THE TRUTH. AND THERE'S A MILLION-DOLLAR QUESTION. WHY

WOULD THEY DO THAT? I HAVE NO IDEA. SO HONESTLY I

STARTED HONESTLY JUST TO THINK THEY WERE TRYING TO KILL

ME. NOT ONLY COULD I NOT TAKE MY \$40 PINK HAIR VITAMINS.

BUT I COULDN'T EVEN SEE THE PACKAGING FOR IT.

DOWN.

THEY TOOK COFFEE AWAY FROM ME WHICH I STILL HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO DRINK. MY LEVEL OF PRIVACY STARTED TO CHANGE THREE TIMES A DAY, AND I DIDN'T HAVE A SAY IN IT EXCEPT FOR ANYTHING WHAT I ATE BESIDES THE WEEKENDS WHEN I COULDN'T EVEN EAT A HAMBURGER OR FRENCH FRIES EXCEPT TOWARDS THE END.

I'M HERE TO GET RID OF MY DAD AND CHARGE HIM FOR CONSERVATORSHIP ABUSE. AND FRANKLY, I'M NOT REALLY SURE HOW IMMEDIATE RESTRAINING ORDERS PLACED ON MY DAD AFTER BREAKING A HUGE DOOR DOWN TO GET TO MY SON AND SHAKING HIM AND WE ALL HAVE TO THINK AND WONDER IF MY DAD IS ABUSIVE TO ME.

I'M IN THE PROCESS OF GETTING A NEW LAWYER AT THE MOMENT, BUT IN THE MEANTIME, I'M REPRESENTING MYSELF, AND I WANT TO PRESS CHARGES FOR ABUSE ON BEHALF OF THIS CONSERVATORSHIP TODAY, ALL OF IT, AND REMOVING MY CONSERVATOR, MEANING IT'S BETTER THAN TRYING TO INVESTIGATE MY SITUATION OR MY CAPACITY OR MY -- OBSERVING MY BEHAVIORS THE PAST 13 THAT THEY'VE DONE. I WANT AN INVESTIGATION ON MY DAD --

THE REPORTER: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE HER SLOW

THE COURT: MS. SPEARS. MS. SPEARS. MY COURT
REPORTER IS ATTEMPTING TO TAKE DOWN EVERYTHING YOU'RE
SAYING, BUT YOU HAVE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT SLOWER SO THAT
SHE CAN CAPTURE YOUR WORDS.

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: GOT IT -- AND REMOVE HIM AS CONSERVATOR, MEANING INSTEAD OF HIM TRYING TO INVESTIGATE MY SITUATION OR MY CAPACITY OR OBSERVING MY BEHAVIOR FOR THE PAST 13 YEARS, I WANT AN INVESTIGATION ON MY DAD.

ALSO, MY LICENSE WAS TAKEN AWAY FOR EIGHT MONTHS
MAKING -- BECAUSE AN OFFICER PULLED ME OVER AND I WAS
GOING 10 MILES OVER THE SPEED LIMIT. HE DIDN'T GIVE ME A
TICKET. HE SAID, "MA'AM, YOU JUST NEED TO SLOW DOWN." MY
DAD, AFTER THAT SAID I COULDN'T DRIVE FOR EIGHT MONTHS.
I'M THINKING, "IS SECURITY EVER GOING TO GIVE ME MY KEYS
TO MY GARAGE?" I MEAN, THEY KEPT MY KEYS FOREVER, HOLDING
ME UP IN MY HOME AGAIN. MY LAWYER DIDN'T FIGHT FOR ME FOR
EIGHT MONTHS. SO I SAID MYSELF, TO MY LAWYER, AFTER
EIGHT MONTHS, I SAID, "GET SOMEONE HERE TO TEST ME AND
SHOW I'M AN AMAZING DRIVER." THE OFFICER WHO CAME HERE TO
DRIVE ME HIMSELF CAME TO BAT FOR ME. THEY FINALLY AGREED
AFTER THREE MONTHS OF ME ASKING, WHICH WAS JUST
FIVE MONTHS AGO, I WAS ABLE TO START DRIVING AGAIN.

THIS CONSERVATORSHIP IS LITERALLY ALLOWING MY DAD TO RUIN MY LIFE. NOBODY, EVEN IF THEY DID GET A TICKET, SHOULD HAVE THEIR KEYS BE TAKEN AWAY THAT LONG. AND MY LAWYER DIDN'T FIGHT FOR ME NOT ONE TIME. I WANT TO GET MY DAD REMOVED, MA'AM. MA'AM, THAT IS ABUSE, AND WE ALL KNOW IT AND THAT'S NOT OKAY THAT THIS -- ONE THING I LEFT OUT

WHICH HAPPENED ONLY FIVE MONTHS AGO WHICH WAS, I STARTED DRIVING AGAIN.

UM, SO AGAIN, I'M HERE TO PRESS CHARGES FOR MY
ABUSE BECAUSE I'M ANGRY AND I WILL GO THERE. AND SO I
KNOW WE'RE OUT OF TIME, BUT ONE MORE THING. I KNOW YOU'RE
PROBABLY WONDERING WHY I WOULDN'T JUST GET IT OVER WITH
AND BE EVALUATED. WELL, IT'S BECAUSE WHEN I EVEN DID -BEFORE I WAS SENT TO THAT PLACE, I HAD DONE THREE OTHER
PSYCH TESTS THAT WERE AGAINST MY WILL WHILE I WAS WORKING
THROUGH A CIRCUS AND ALL MY TOURS. THEY SAID, "IF YOU DO
WELL, WE'LL END IT." I DID THESE TESTS AND HAD TO GO TO
THAT BUILDING IN BEVERLY HILLS TWO HOURS AT A TIME AND I
DID THOSE WHILE WORKING IN THE MIDDLE OF A TOUR LIKE FOUR
OR FIVE YEARS, AND THEY DID NOTHING --

THE REPORTER: YOUR HONOR, I NEED HER TO SLOW DOWN.

THE COURT: MS. SPEARS. MS. SPEARS, EXCUSE ME. IF

YOU CAN JUST SLOW YOUR SPEECH DOWN A LITTLE BIT SO THAT MY

REPORTER CAN BE SURE TO GET ---

MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: I APOLOGIZE. OKAY. THEY DID

NOTHING UNDER THE CONSERVATORSHIP. THEY DIDN'T END IT. I

JUST KEPT WORKING. IT WAS JUST A WAY TO KEEP ME WORKING,

SO I'M NOT WILLING TO TAKE THE CHANCE AND PETITION THE

CONSERVATORSHIP TO END IT FOR PEOPLE TO SIT ME DOWN AND

QUESTION MY INTELLIGENCE FOR THE MILLIONTH TIME. I HAVE

TOO MUCH PRIDE. SO, YES, I WOULD RATHER JODI STAY IN

PLACE AT THIS POINT WITH ME, WITH MY FUTURE GOALS TO MAKE

DIFFERENT RULES -- AND MAKE DIFFERENT RULES THAT BENEFIT

ME.

AND ALSO, IF YOU DON'T BELIEVE THE HOURS THAT I WORKED AND YOU NEED AN INVESTIGATION, I HAVE A MOCKUP SCHEDULE IN MY HOME -- IN MY HAND RIGHT NOW. I CAN GIVE IT TO YOU OR SEND IT TO YOU THROUGH MY LAWYERS. AND IF THE LAWYERS WANT TO CRITIQUE IN DETAIL THE HOURS THAT I WORKED FOR 4 TO 5, SOMETIMES 6 HOURS A DAY WHICH I ALWAYS COMPLAINED WHEN IT WAS 6 HOURS A DAY. BUT I HAD TO BE AVAILABLE TO THEM 10 HOURS FROM 8:00 TO 6:00. SINCE I COULDN'T LEAVE OR MOST PEOPLE WENT ON BREAK, I HAD TO BE THERE FOR 10 HOURS. WELL, REALLY FOR A MONTH, BUT IN BETWEEN WHICH ACTUALLY MEANS THAT'S 70 HOURS A WEEK. THAT'S MORE HOURS THAN A REAL JOB. THAT'S ILLEGAL NO MATTER WHAT THESE STUPID PEOPLE TRY TO CLAIM.

AND NO, I'M NOT A PERFECT PERSON, BUT I WILL TELL YOU A LOT OF MY MISTAKES AND BREAKDOWNS AND ANGRY TANTRUMS WERE ONLY BROUGHT BECAUSE OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH WAS THEIR GOAL ALWAYS TO TRY TO MAKE ME FEEL LIKE I'M CRAZY, WHICH I'M NOT. AND IT'S NOT OKAY. AGAIN, I REPEATED WHAT THEY HAVE DONE TO ME.

ALSO, THE THINGS THAT MADE ME THE CRAZIEST WAS
THAT MY FAMILY NEVER CARED AND ASKED WHAT THEY WERE MAKING
ME DO DAILY. BUT NOW, THREE YEARS LATER AFTER BEING OUT
OF THAT PLACE, AND I CALLED, UM, MY DAD AFTER AND SHOWED
PROBABLY WHAT I DID DO, HE FILED FOR AN INVESTIGATION TO
BE DONE ON WHAT I WAS MADE TO DO, WHEN AT THE TIME, WHEN I
WAS THERE, HIM AND MY WHOLE FAMILY WERE AT MY BEACH HOUSE
IN JACKSON, FLORIDA THAT I BOUGHT. HE NEVER CARED TO ASK
WHAT WAS GOING ON THEN.

```
1
             THEY ALSO NEVER LET ME KNOW WHEN, UM, WHEN I
    COULD LEAVE. MY DAD CALLED THE JERK AT THAT PLACE AND I
2
3
    ASKED HIM, "CAN YOU PLEASE TELL THEM TO LET ME GO HOME."
 4
    AND HE SAID, "SOMETHING MUST BE WRONG WITH YOU IF YOU WANT
 5
    TO KNOW WHEN YOU GET TO GO HOME." MY BEST FRIEND WAS AN
    ASSISTANT IN THE WHOLE PLACE WAS A LOVELY LADY. AND SHE
 6
7
    ALWAYS HAD TO GET A THERAPY DOG WHO HELPS PEOPLE, UM, WITH
    ANXIETY TO SIT WITH ME (CRYING) --
9
        THE COURT: IT'S OKAY. IF YOU NEED A MOMENT, IT'S
10
    JUST FINE.
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: (CRYING) NO. UM, THE DOG WOULD
11
12
    HAVE TO SIT WITH ME EVERY TIME I WOULD COME IN. ALL I'VE
13
    SAID IN THE PAST MONTH, IF IT'S NOT ABUSE, I'M SORRY, I
14
    DON'T KNOW WHAT IS. MY DAD NEEDS TO BE REMOVED TODAY.
    AND I WILL BE OKAY WITH JODI HELPING ME WITH FUTURE GOALS
15
    AND HELPING ME TO JUST GET FUCKING CONFIDENCE GETTING BACK
16
17
    INTO THE REAL WORLD BECAUSE I REALLY NEED IT. SO AGAIN, I
    WANT HIM REMOVED AND I WANT A COURT-APPOINTED LAWYER WHICH
18
     I'VE ALREADY TOLD YOU. AND I WANT AN INVESTIGATION DONE
19
     ON HIM. AND, UM, UM, AND I THINK THAT'S IT.
20
        THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU, MS. SPEARS. I
21
22
     KNOW THAT THERE IS -- SO MUCH OF WHAT YOU SAID IS HARD TO
     TALK ABOUT, AND ESPECIALLY AROUND PEOPLE THAT YOU DON'T
23
24
     EVEN KNOW. SO I KNOW IT TOOK A LOT FOR YOU TO SHARE THAT,
     AND I DO APPRECIATE IT. SO THANK YOU.
25
        MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THANK YOU. BYE.
26
27
        THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
             LET ME ASK YOU, MR. ROSENGART, THERE'S QUITE A
28
```

```
1
    NUMBER -- AND YOU MAY BE AWARE OF THIS JUST THROUGH
2
    CONVERSATIONS WITH SOME OF THE OTHER LAWYERS. THERE ARE
3
    QUITE A FEW MATTERS ON THE COURT'S CALENDAR, YOU KNOW, AND
    I WOULD SAY I DON'T WANT YOU TO TALK ABOUT WHAT YOUR OWN
4
5
    STRATEGY AND EVERYTHING IS GOING TO BE. I ANTICIPATE THAT
    YOU WILL BE FILING PETITIONS THAT WILL BE BEFORE THE
6
7
    COURT. BUT I WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHAT WE HAVE ON THE
8
    COURT'S CALENDAR SO THAT YOU KNOW.
9
        MR. ROSENGART: I APPRECIATE IT, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE
10
    BEEN THROUGH THE 33 PAGES OF PROBATE NOTES AND SO FORTH,
11
    AND SUBJECT TO COUNSELS' VIEWS AND THE COURT'S VIEWS, MY
12
    VIEW, GIVEN THAT WE JUST ENTERED THE CASE WITH REGARD TO
13
    THE ACCOUNTING ISSUES AND SORT OF GARDEN-VARIETY ISSUES
14
    THAT MAKE UP GARDEN-VARIETY PROBATE CASES. IN LIGHT OF
15
    MR. INGHAM'S RESIGNATION AND MY FIRM'S ON BOARD, SUBJECT
    TO FORMALIZING ENGAGEMENT THROUGH MS. MONTGOMERY, MY
16
17
    SUGGESTION IS WE DEFER THESE ACCOUNTING ISSUES AND RELATED
18
    ISSUES UNTIL A SUBSEQUENT HEARING.
19
        THE COURT: OKAY. I WOULD SAY, ALSO, JUST FOR A BIT
20
    OF HOUSEKEEPING -- I'LL REFER BACK TO THAT IN JUST A
21
    MOMENT.
22
             MS. WRIGHT, I WOULD, YOU KNOW, IN LIGHT OF WHAT
23
    HAS TRANSPIRED TODAY IN TERMS OF MR. ROSENGART GETTING ON
24
    BOARD, I BELIEVE THAT YOUR PETITION IS 5014 SHOULD BE
```

MS. WRIGHT: THAT IS CORRECT. NO OBJECTION TO US.

AND AGAIN, THIS IS MS. WRIGHT SPEAKING. I WOULD JUST ASK

IF WE HAVE TIME WE TO LOOK AT NUMBER 5013, AND THAT IS

DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

25

26

27

1 GETTING MY CLIENT SOME SECURITY WHILE SHE MAKES SECURITY
2 IMPROVEMENTS TO HER HOME.

1.7

AND I'D JUST TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY, I WANT TO SAY, MS. SPEARS, YOU'VE JUST -- YOU'VE BEEN SO BRAVE WHICH IS HEARTBREAKING TO HEAR YOU SPEAK. AND MY CLIENT, MS. MONTGOMERY, JUST LOOKS FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU, AND SHE IS REALLY GETTING YOU HAPPY AND HELPING YOU WITH YOUR FUTURE AND WHERE YOU WANT TO GO. IT'S JUST HEARTBREAKING TO HEAR YOU SAYING -- WE'RE HERE TO HELP, OKAY?

THE COURT: AND THANK YOU. I KNOW IT HAS TO BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR HER.

AND I WOULD ALSO SAY TO THE COUNSEL FOR THE ACLU,
IN LIGHT OF WHAT HAS TRANSPIRED TODAY, I THINK YOUR
PETITION CAN BE DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

MS. BRENNAN-KROHN: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE CAN ACCEPT
THAT. AND WE HOPE THAT MS. SPEARS KNOWS THE OFFER REMAINS
OPEN IF SHE WISHES TO CONTACT US AT ANY TIME.

THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU.

MS. BRENNEN-KROHN: AND FOR HER SAKE.

THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SO MR. ROSENGART, AND ALSO MS. -- I ALSO WANT TO TALK WITH COUNSEL FOR MR. SPEARS, MS. THOREEN. 5013 IS THE PETITION FOR ADDITIONAL SECURITY EXPENSES, AND THAT'S THE ONE THAT MS. WRIGHT ASKED THAT WE TALK ABOUT, AND THEN MAYBE WE CAN DEFER THE OTHER ONES IF WE CAN GO THROUGH THEM AND SEE WHAT'S THERE. THERE WAS AN OBJECTION RAISED BY MR. SPEARS THROUGH MS. THOREEN CONCERNING THE REQUEST

FOR ADDITIONAL SECURITY FUNDS FOR MS. MONTGOMERY.

MS. THOREEN: VIVIAN THOREEN, YOUR HONOR. YES, WE DID FILE AN OBJECTION. IF THE COURT HASN'T OFFICIALLY APPOINTED MR. ROSENGART AND HIS FIRM, TO THE EXTENT HE CONSENTS OR HAS NO OBJECTION, I WOULD WITHDRAW OUR OBJECTIONS. MR. SPEARS IS CHARGED, AS THE COURT AND PARTIES ARE WELL AWARE, TO MAKE DECISIONS THAT ARE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF HIS DAUGHTER AND TO MANAGE HER ESTATE IN AN APPROPRIATE WAY.

ONE OF THE ATTEMPTS THAT COUNSEL WAS TRYING TO DISCUSS WAS TO FIGURE OUT WHETHER THERE WOULD BE A CONSENT ON THE PART OF MS. SPEARS, AND FOR VARIOUS REASONS WE DIDN'T GET TO THAT POINT. BUT GIVEN THE EXPENSE OF A 24/7 LIVE SECURITY FOR ONE PERSON -- AND THIS IS NOT TO DIMINISH IN ANY WAY THE THREATS THAT MS. MONTGOMERY IS RECEIVING -- BUT THERE ARE MANY OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THE SAME, BUT I WOULD SUBMIT TO THE COURT, OR FAR WORSE SITUATIONS IN TERMS OF THE QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF THREATS, INCLUDING MINOR CHILDREN, YOUR HONOR, WHO HAVE, OF COURSE, NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS MATTER. AND SO IT WOULD BE PUTTING MR. SPEARS IN A VERY DIFFICULT POSITION OF ESSENTIALLY PRIORITIZING THE SAFETY OF ONE PERSON OVER MANY OTHERS.

AND IN FULL TRANSPARENCY, YOUR HONOR, THE MINOR CHILDREN WHO ARE THREATENED WITH DEATH, TOGETHER WITH THEIR FAMILY, ARE HIS GRANDCHILDREN. THE CHILDREN OF HIS OTHER DAUGHTER, ONE OF WHOM IS UNDER THE AGE OF 5. AND SO I'M HAPPY TO HAVE THE COURT EVALUATE THIS REQUEST. AND TO

THE EXTENT, AGAIN, THAT I SAID MR. ROSENGART -- I THINK I

SAID MR. ROSENBLAT ORIGINALLY, I APOLOGIZE -- TO THE

EXTENT THAT MR. ROSENGART CONSENTS ON MS. SPEARS' BEHALF,

WE WILL WITHDRAW OUR OBJECTIONS.

MS. WRIGHT: THIS IS MS. WRIGHT SPEAKING. IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE THE HEARING WILL GO INTO THE DETAILS

MS. MONTGOMERY SET UP. AND IF WE DO, I WOULD ASK THAT THE HEARING GO UNDER SEAL FOR THAT PORTION. BUT JUST IN SHORT, WHAT OUR PAPERS SAY IS MS. MONTGOMERY IMMEDIATELY STARTED MAKING SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS TO HER HOME, AND THOSE ARE STILL IN PROGRESS. WE ONLY WANTED THE SECURITY DURING THAT TIME PERIOD WHERE SECURITY UPGRADES WERE BEING MADE, NOT FOREVER.

AND LOOK, IT'S HEARTBREAKING THAT THERE IS ANY DEATH THREATS, JUST REALLY HEARTBREAKING. AND IT'S ALSO HEARTBREAKING THAT THE CONSERVATEE HAS SERIOUS SECURITY CONCERNS OF HER OWN. ANYONE WHO IS ON THE TEAM IS AWARE OF THEM. SHE HAS DAUGHTERS, AND WHO KNOWS WHO THEY WILL TURN TO IN THIS SITUATION.

BUT I DO THINK THERE IS A DETRIMENT THAT MY
CLIENT DOES SERVE AS TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON.
SHE IS A PARTY TO THIS CASE AND SHE'S JUST ASKING FOR
SECURITY IN THE SHORT-TERM. AND MR. ROSENGART, I DON'T
THINK HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO READ OUR REPLY, SO WHAT WE
DID IS JUST SAY, AUTHORIZE \$50,000 FOR NOW, AND
MS. MONTGOMERY WILL ALLOCATE IT AS SHE SEES FIT AND TRY TO
MAKE IT STRETCH AS FAR AS POSSIBLE. THERE IS CERTAINLY NO
INTENT TO ASK FOR 24/7 LIVE SECURITY FOREVER. WE'RE JUST

TRYING TO GET TO A POINT WHERE SHE CAN MAKE SECURITY 1 IMPROVEMENTS TO HER HOME. SO WE'D ONLY ASK FOR 50,000 2 FLAT. AND OBVIOUSLY, I PUT THIS IN THE PAPERS; THE 3 ATTORNEYS' FEES IN THIS CASE ARE VERY, VERY LARGE. AND I 4 5 DO HAVE CONCERNS THAT 3 MILLION DOLLARS IN ATTORNEY FEES CAN BE RACKED UP, BUT MY CLIENT CAN'T GET 50,000 IN 6 7 SECURITY APPROVED. IT SEEMS THAT THE PRIORITIES ARE NOT 8 RIGHT. I ALSO WANTED TO UPDATE THAT'S 50,000 FLAT, THAT'S 9 ALL I'LL ASK FOR. IF WE NEED MORE, WE CAN ALWAYS COME 10 BACK. 11 MR. ROSENGART: YOUR HONOR, EVERYTHING I'VE HEARD 12 SOUNDS VERY REASONABLE TO ME, PARTICULARLY THE \$50,000 13 FLAT FEE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION; ESSENTIALLY UP, 14 ESSENTIALLY DOWN, I ASSUME. THE ONLY ISSUE THAT I HAD IS 15 I'D LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH MS. SPEARS, WHICH I 16 HAVE NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO ON THIS ISSUE. SO MY 17 SUGGESTION IS, AFTER I HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. WE COULD SUBMIT A FILING OR, PERHAPS, DO IT INFORMALLY IN A 18 CONVERSATION WITH MS. WRIGHT OR MS. THOREEN, WHATEVER THE 19 COURT'S PLEASURE. 20 21 THE COURT: OKAY. 22 MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN. 23 THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD. 24 MS. THOREEN: I'D BE HAPPY TO WORK WITH MS. WRIGHT, 25

MS. THOREEN: I'D BE HAPPY TO WORK WITH MS. WRIGHT,
AND I THINK WE CAN EASILY PREPARE A STIPULATION AND ORDER
ADDRESSING ALL OF OUR CONCERNS, AND THAT WOULD CERTAINLY
EXPEDITE THE RESOLUTION OF THIS ISSUE.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THAT MIGHT BE --

26

27

MS. WRIGHT: THIS IS MS. WRIGHT. I WOULD JUST ASK --1 2 I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: NO, THAT'S OKAY. 3 MS. WRIGHT: I WOULD JUST ASK IF WE CAN GET THAT DONE 4 PRETTY QUICK, IF WE CAN AGREE ON CERTAIN TIME CONFIRMED. 5 MY CLIENT FEELS UNSAFE, AND RIGHTLY SHE SHOULD. AND, YOUR 6 HONOR, I HAVE MY ASSOCIATE HERE. I DON'T THINK THE COURT 7 8 WANTS TO GO INTO ALL THESE ISSUES, BUT WE DO HAVE PRINTOUTS INVOLVING DEATH THREATS. AND IT'S A DIFFICULT 9 WAY TO WORK AND DO WHAT SHE NEEDS TO DO FOR MS. SPEARS. 10 MR. ROSENGART: LET ME JUST UNDERSCORE, I THINK WE CAN 11 RESOLVE THIS ISSUE TOMORROW. IT'S REALLY AN ISSUE OF ME 12 HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THE ISSUE WITH 13 MS. SPEARS. I AGREE WITH MS. WRIGHT THAT \$50,000 IS A 14 FLAT FEE RELATIVE TO THE MONIES THAT HAVE BEEN SPENT HERE, 15 16 IT'S OBVIOUSLY A VERY, VERY SMALL AMOUNT. SO MY HOPE IS 17 THAT WE CAN RESOLVE THE ISSUE AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. 18 THE COURT: SO WHAT I WOULD NEED TO DO, THOUGH, BECAUSE I HAVE A PETITION IN FRONT OF ME, THIS PROCEDURE 19 20 FOR THE PROBATE, FOR THE COURT'S PURPOSES, IF YOU WANT ME TO TRAIL THIS ONE MATTER OVER TO MONDAY, IT WOULD JUST BE 21 22 ON MY REGULAR CALENDAR. AND, REALLY, THE ONLY PARTIES WHO 23 WOULD NEED TO BE INVOLVED WOULD BE YOU, MS. WRIGHT, 24 MS. THOREEN, AND MR. ROSENGART ON THIS PARTICULAR MATTER. 25 YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN. I WANT TO MS. THOREEN: 26 CLARIFY SOMETHING REGARDING THE URGENCY OF THIS REQUEST. 27 SECURITY IS BEING PROVIDED TO MS. MONTGOMERY 24/7 AS WE 28 SPEAK, AND IT HAS BEEN IN PLACE. THE ORDER WOULD SIMPLY

```
1
     BE A FORMALITY. SO WHILE I APPRECIATE AND I'M HAPPY TO
    WORK EXPEDITIOUSLY, BECAUSE IT'S ALREADY IN PLACE AND JUST
 2
 3
     A MATTER OF ALLOCATING THE FUNDS, I APPRECIATE THE COURT'S
    WILLINGNESS TO HAVE A FURTHER HEARING, BUT I BELIEVE
 4
 5
     COUNSEL CAN PUT TOGETHER SOMETHING AND SUBMIT IT TO THE
     COURT, ESPECIALLY IF MS. WRIGHT IS SAYING THAT IT'S JUST A
 6
 7
     ONE-TIME FLAT FEE.
         THE COURT: MS. THOREEN, I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT THE
 8
     ISSUE FOR ME IS, IS THAT I'VE GOT TO DO SOMETHING WITH THE
 9
10
     PETITION. SO IF I'M GRANTING THE PETITION, THAT'S FINE.
     BUT IF THERE ARE OTHER NUANCES THAT NEED TO BE WORKED OUT,
11
     THEN I NEED TO PUT IT OVER TO ANOTHER DAY SO THAT IT'S
12
     REFLECTIVE OF WHAT THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED TO.
13
         MS. WRIGHT: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MS. WRIGHT. THANK
14
     YOU FOR OFFERING TO TRAIL IT TO MONDAY. I WOULD ASK THAT
1.5
     WE JUST DO THAT. THERE IS STILL URGENCY, YES. THANK YOU
16
     VERY MUCH THAT MR. SPEARS GOT SECURITY OUT THERE, BUT MY
17
     CLIENT IS PERSONALLY LIABLE FOR IT UNTIL WE CAN GET AN
18
     ORDER, AND SHE CAN'T AFFORD THAT. SHE'S THE LEAST-PAID
19
     PERSON OF ANYONE ON THIS CALL. SHE NEEDS TO GET HER
20
21
     SECURITY AND, NO, SHE'S NOT GOING TO HAVE SOME SEVERE
     FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCE AS A RESULT. SO I WOULD REALLY
22
23
     APPRECIATE THAT.
         MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN. MONDAY IS
24
25
     FINE. AND AGAIN, FOR CLARITY, THE CONSERVATORSHIP ESTATE
     IS PAYING FOR THE SECURITY EXPENSES. BUT I THINK MONDAY
26
```

THE COURT: OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO TRAIL IT. MY CLERK

WOULD BE A FINE DAY TO PUT IT OVER TO.

27

- 1 IS JUST CHECKING MY CALENDAR FOR MONDAY, AND MY 1:30 IS
- 2 PRETTY MUCH GONE. BUT I COULD GIVE YOU A THREE O'CLOCK
- 3 | SLOT. HOW IS THREE O'CLOCK ON MONDAY FOR A CALL ON THE
- 4 DISPOSITION OF 5013?
- 5 MS. THOREEN: VIVIAN THOREEN. THAT WORKS FOR ME, YOUR
- 6 HONOR.
- 7 MS. WRIGHT: MS. WRIGHT. THAT WORKS.
- 8 MR. ROSENGART: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.
- 9 THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE'LL PUT THAT ONE OVER TO THEN.
- 10 AND THEN THE OTHER MATTERS ARE ACCOUNTINGS, AS
- 11 YOU'VE MENTIONED BEFORE MR. ROSENGART, AND WE'VE ALSO GOT
- 12 | THE PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF SUCCESSOR CONSERVATOR OF
- 13 | THE PERSON. I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR CLIENT'S THOUGHTS ARE
- 14 ABOUT MS. MONTGOMERY STAYING ON.
- MR. ROSENGART: IF I HEARD THE COURT CORRECTLY, YOU'RE
- 16 ASKING ABOUT MY CLIENT'S VIEWS AND CONCERNS OF
- 17 MS. MONTGOMERY STAYING ON; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 18 THE COURT: YES.
- MR. ROSENGART: I BELIEVE THAT MY CLIENT SAID THAT HER
- 20 WISHES WERE THAT MS. MONTGOMERY STAY ON.
- 21 THE COURT: OKAY.
- 22 MR. ROSENGART: I BELIEVE THAT'S WHAT SHE SAID THIS
- 23 AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. AND THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT
- 24 I'VE HEARD AS WELL.
- 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO DEPENDING ON WHEN WE COME
- 26 BACK, I MIGHT NEED TO MAKE SOME ORDERS EXTENDING THE
- 27 LETTERS FOR MS. MONTGOMERY.
- 28 OKAY. THE OTHER MATTERS ARE FEE PETITIONS FOR

1 MR. INGHAM FOR A SEVERAL-YEAR PERIOD, A PETITION FOR FEES TO MR. SPEARS' LAWYERS. A PETITION FOR FEES TO 2 3 MS. MONTGOMERY AND HER COUNSEL. THERE IS A PETITION FOR 4 INSTRUCTIONS. 5 I HAVE TO TELL YOU, MS. THOREEN, YOU KNOW, THAT 6 IS REALLY NOT A PROPER PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS. THE 7 5009. SO MY INCLINATION IS TO DENY THAT. I'M JUST LETTING YOU KNOW THAT'S MY INCLINATION ON THAT ONE. 8 9 AND ALSO, MR. GLADSTONE, OR MR. BRONSHTEYN, I THINK THAT THE PETITION FILED BY LYNNE SPEARS TO HIRE 10 11 LEGAL COUNSEL FOR HER DAUGHTER, THAT CAN BE DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE IN LIGHT OF WHAT RESOLVED TODAY. 12 13 MR. BRONSHTEYN: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. MR. JONES: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. 14 MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, VIVIAN THOREEN. I WOULD 15 16 LIKE TO BE HEARD ON 5009. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. LET ME FINISH UP MY HOUSEKEEPING SO MY CLERK IS -- WE CAN STAY ON THE SAME PAGE. 18 19 MS. THOREEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SO 5009 CAN BE DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. 20 AND WE'VE TAKEN CARE OF 5012. AND 5013 IS GETTING TRAILED 21 22 TO MONDAY. 5012 IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND SO IS 23 5015. OKAY. SO GO AHEAD, MS. THOREEN. 24 MS. THOREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU. I RESPECT 25

MS. SPEARS' ABILITY TO COME INTO COURT AND TO PROVIDE
TESTIMONY TODAY AS WELL AS ON JUNE 23RD, BUT AS THE COURT
AND THE PARTY ARE WELL AWARE, NO ONE ELSE HAS BEEN

PROVIDED THE OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO OR PROVIDE THEIR 1 2 PERSPECTIVE. AND MY CONCERN IS THAT WHILE MS. SPEARS GAVE 3 VERY IMPACTED TESTIMONY, AND SHE TOUCHED ON SIGNIFICANT 4 ISSUES, THEY ARE REALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT ONES, AND MY CONCERN IS THAT THERE IS -- THERE ARE A LOT OF 5 MISSTATEMENTS, MISUNDERSTANDINGS, AND WHETHER IT'S BECAUSE 6 7 OF A LACK OF RECOLLECTION, WHETHER THERE HAS BEEN 8 MISINFORMATION, WHETHER IT'S BEEN A LACK OF CORRECTING, 9 UNDERSTANDING, SO THESE ARE REALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT 10 ISSUES. AND CERTAINLY I THINK IT WARRANTS AN ANALYSIS 11 INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE SERIOUS CHARGES THAT ARE BEING 12 MADE. 13 IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR, AND THIS GOES TO CALENDAR NUMBER 5002, I APPRECIATE THAT THAT IS BEING 14 15 CONTINUED. THAT'S MR. INGHAM'S PETITION TO APPOINT 16 MS. MONTGOMERY AS MS. SPEARS' PERMANENT CONSERVATOR OF THE 17 PERSON. BUT THAT DOCUMENT -- BUT IT'S PENDING. THAT'S 18 PART AND PARCEL WHY MR. SPEARS FILED THE PETITION FOR 19 INSTRUCTIONS. YOU KNOW, ON JUNE 23RD, FOR EXAMPLE, THE 20 COURT LISTENED TO MS. SPEARS' TESTIMONY, AND SHE SAID NO 21 FEWER THAN SEVEN TIMES THAT SHE WANTED TO TERMINATE THE 22 CONSERVATORSHIP ALTOGETHER, WHETHER SHE SAID IT IN SUBSTANCE OR USING THE WORDS "ENDING THE CONSERVATORSHIP." 23 24 SO WE'VE GOT THAT ON ONE END OF THE SPECTRUM. 25 AND THEN THERE ARE OTHER -- THERE WERE HARSH 26 WORDS THAT WERE SAID ABOUT MANY PEOPLE. AND MY CONCERN IS 27 THAT, YOU KNOW, I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MS. SPEARS HAD SOME 28 COMMENTS ABOUT HER FATHER, BUT AT THE SAME TIME, SHE MADE

SOME SERIOUS CLAIMS AGAINST MS. MONTGOMERY REGARDING BEING FORCED TO ENGAGE IN CERTAIN MEDICAL TREATMENTS, BEING FORCED TO GO TO CERTAIN VENUES WHERE SHE FELT THAT HER PRIVACY WAS NOT BEING RESPECTED, WHERE SHE WAS EMBARRASSED AND DEMORALIZED, THAT SHE HAD NOT BEEN PROVIDED WITH ANY KIND OF SELF-CARE FOR A YEAR THAT INCLUDES ACUPUNCTURE. HAIRSTYLING, OR MASSAGES. SHE CLAIMED THAT SHE HAS BEEN PREVENTED FROM SEEING HER FRIENDS, AND THAT SHE IS NOT PERMITTED TO HAVE A BABY BECAUSE SHE'S GOT THIS DEVICE IMPLANTED IN HER, AND, YOU KNOW, THOSE ARE REALLY SERIOUS CLAIMS.

AND YET JUST A FEW DAYS AFTER THAT, WE HAVE REPRESENTATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY THAT MS. SPEARS WANTS MS. MONTGOMERY TO CONTINUE SERVING AS HER CONSERVATOR. AND THEN AGAIN WITHIN THE SAME TIME FRAME, THERE IS A TEXT MESSAGE ATTACHED TO A FINANCIAL NOTE WHERE MS. SPEARS SAID THAT SHE WOULD LIKE MS. MONTGOMERY TO SERVE AS THE CO-CONSERVATOR OF HER PERSON.

SO YOUR HONOR, THE QUESTIONS THAT MS. SPEARS'
TESTIMONY RAISES BOTH FROM JUNE 23RD AND TODAY COUPLED
WITH THE 180 THAT WE'RE HEARING IN THE SPAN OF JUST A
COUPLE WEEKS, REALLY -- IN ADDITION TO THE FACT THAT MANY
OF HER CHARACTERIZATIONS OR MEMORIES ARE JUST INCORRECT -I THINK THAT IS REALLY SIGNIFICANT, AND SOMETHING THAT THE
COURT AND THE CONSERVATORS AND COUNSEL SHOULD TAKE INTO
CONSIDERATION AS WE TRY TO MOVE FORWARD AND RESOLVE THESE
OUTSTANDING ISSUES.

THE SECOND ISSUE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S VERY

- 1 | SIGNIFICANT, AND THEY RELATE TO MS. SPEARS' TESTIMONY IS
- 2 REGARDING, YOU KNOW, HER DESIRE TO HAVE A BABY, FOR
- 3 EXAMPLE. THE PETITION TO APPOINT MS. MONTGOMERY AS
- 4 MS. SPEARS' CONSERVATOR ALLEGES THAT THERE IS AN ORDER
- 5 THAT MS. SPEARS DOES NOT HAVE THE CAPACITY TO MAKE
- 6 INFORMED MEDICAL DECISIONS, YET NO SUCH ORDER EXISTS.
- 7 THAT IS SOMETHING THAT ABSOLUTELY NEEDS TO BE
- 8 | INVESTIGATED, ESPECIALLY WHEN VIEWED NEXT TO HER TESTIMONY
- 9 FROM JUNE 23RD, FOR EXAMPLE. SO IF NO SUCH ORDER EXISTS,
- 10 | THEN THE COURT WOULD NEED TO MAKE CERTAIN FINDINGS
- 11 | REGARDING MS. SPEARS' ABILITY TO MAKE THESE TYPES OF
- 12 DECISIONS.
- AND I'M NOT SURE THAT THE PETITION -- YOU KNOW,
- 14 | THE PETITION, IF GRANTED, WOULD BE TAKING AWAY SOMETHING
- 15 | FROM MS. SPEARS THAT I'M UNSURE SHE'S AWARE SHE'S GIVING
- 16 UP. AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT SHE MIGHT NOT NEED OR WANT TO
- 17 | GIVE UP.
- 18 | SO SAID A DIFFERENT WAY, I'M NOT SURE THAT AT
- 19 THIS POINT MS. SPEARS UNDERSTANDS THAT SHE CAN, IN FACT,
- 20 MAKE MEDICAL DECISIONS AND HAVE BIRTH CONTROL DEVICES
- 21 | IMPLANTED OR NOT. AND I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT HAS BEEN
- 22 EXPLAINED TO HER. SO THIS IS PART AND PARCEL OF WHY, YOUR
- 23 | HONOR, MR. SPEARS FILED THIS PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS.
- 24 AND IF THAT WAS THE INCORRECT PLEADING OR FORMAT OR
- 25 | CAPTION IN WHICH TO RELAY THE CONCERNS TO THE COURT, THEN
- 26 | WE'LL TRY AGAIN.
- 27 BUT I THINK THESE ARE SERIOUS CLAIMS THAT NEED TO
- 28 BE INVESTIGATED. AND CONSISTENT WITH THE LAST TIME

MS. SPEARS WAS IN COURT IN 2019, THE COURT TOOK STEPS TO EVALUATE AND ANALYZE AND INVESTIGATE THE TESTIMONY THAT SHE GAVE AT THAT TIME AS WELL. SO I THINK THIS IS APPROPRIATE IN THAT WE OWE IT TO MS. SPEARS AS WELL AS TO THE CONSERVATORS TO REALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS THAT IS AT THE ROOT OF THIS AND UNDERSTAND WHAT HER DESIRES ARE. IT'S JUST A VERY SHORT TIME FRAME IN WHICH TO HAVE A DRAMATICALLY DIAMETRICALLY OPPOSED STATE OF DESIRES.

AND BECAUSE MR. SPEARS IS NOT INVOLVED IN ANY WAY WHATSOEVER WITH MS. SPEARS' DAY-TO-DAY PERSONAL CARE OR HER MEDICAL, AND THAT'S CONSISTENT, YOUR HONOR, WITH YOUR HONOR'S ORDER THAT PROHIBITS MR. SPEARS FROM HAVING ANY INFORMATION REGARDING MS. SPEARS' MEDICAL ISSUES. IN FACT, MS. MONTGOMERY IS TO PROTECT MS. SPEARS' MEDICAL PRIVACY AT ALL TIMES, THAT'S WHAT THE ORDER SAYS. SO I THINK WE REALLY NEED TO TRY TO UNDERSTAND AND PIECE TOGETHER WHAT THE DESIRES AND WHAT THE TRUTH IS.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.

MS. WRIGHT, DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING YOU WANTED TO ADD? I KNOW THAT YOU FILED A PETITION.

MS. WRIGHT: SO THIS IS MS. WRIGHT SPEAKING. I THINK

-- SO LET'S TAKE THE PIECE ABOUT HOW DO WE LOOK AT WHAT

MS. SPEARS HAS SAID AND THAT THERE MAY BE INACCURACIES

THERE AND WHAT IS THE PROCESS WITH HOW WE DEAL WITH THAT.

AND I DON'T THINK IT'S A SECRET THAT WE'RE DEALING WITH

SOMEONE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS; THAT HER MEDICAL PRIVACY

NEEDS TO BE RESERVED. SHE HAS HIPAA RIGHTS. THIS IS NOT

THE FORUM IN WHICH TO DISCUSS THAT. AND I THINK IT'S

REALLY IMPORTANT TO BRING IN A THERAPEUTIC PROCESS.

WHAT I SAW FILED BY MR. SPEARS IS REQUESTS FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING. WHERE WOULD THAT LEAD? INTO MS. SPEARS BEING DEPOSED, BEING CROSS-EXAMINED ON THE STAND ABOUT WHETHER SHE WAS TELLING THE TRUTH ON JUNE 23RD? IS THAT GOING TO HELP HER? WHERE IS THIS ALL LEADING TO?

I THINK WE NEED TO WORK AS A TEAM FOR HER BEST
INTEREST AND TO HELP HER GET BETTER AND TO SUPPORT HER AND
NOT PUT HER ON THE STAND WITH CROSS-EXAMINATION. THIS
COURT HAS A ROBUST COURT INVESTIGATION SYSTEM. AS FAR AS
I'VE KNOWN, WE'VE BEEN ON THIS CASE FOR TWO YEARS, THE
COURT INVESTIGATOR HAS BEEN OUT ONCE A YEAR, IF NOT MORE,
FULLY INFORMING THIS COURT ABOUT WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON.

AND I KNOW WHAT MY CLIENT'S BEEN DOING HAS BEEN AMAZING. SHE DID HER BEST WITH SPECIAL SERVICES DURING THIS PANDEMIC. IT WAS HARD FOR EVERYBODY. AND, YOU KNOW, OUR CONSERVATEE DOES HAVE A PRE-EXISTING CONDITION THAT PUT HER AT RISK, A HIGHER RISK FOR COVID, SO EXTRA PRECAUTIONS HAD TO BE TAKEN, JUST LIKE ANYBODY ELSE IN THAT GROUP. SO PERSONAL SERVICE PEOPLE HAD TO BE TESTED BEFORE THEY CAME IN, THEY HAD TO, AND MAKE SURE THEY WERE COVID FREE. AND MS. SPEARS DID NOT GET COVID. SHE REMAINED SAFE DURING THE PANDEMIC.

AND SHE DID CONTINUE TO HAVE PERSONAL SERVICES,

MR. SPEARS IS WELL AWARE OF THAT BECAUSE HE WROTE THE

CHECK FOR THEM ALL. THERE WERE NAILS, THERE WERE HAIR.

EVERYTHING WE POSSIBLY COULD DO. THERE WERE MASSAGES. WE

KEPT UP AS MUCH AS WE COULD, AND TO KEEP MS. SPEARS SAFE.

SO I THINK THERE'S A BIGGER ISSUE HERE ABOUT THE PROCESS

IN WHICH WE DEAL WITH MS. SPEARS' COMPLAINTS.

AND REMEMBER, YOUR HONOR, LAST TIME WE WERE HERE,
AFTER JUNE 23RD, WE SAID WE'D BE PUTTING TOGETHER A CARE
PLAN. AND WE'VE BEEN VERY BUSY ON THAT WITH A MEDICAL
TEAM. AND I THINK THAT'S THE BEST WAY TO DEAL, AT LEAST
WITH THESE CURRENT CONCERNS THAT MS. SPEARS HAS. I CAN'T
SPEAK ABOUT THE PAST WHEN WE WEREN'T AROUND. I DON'T KNOW
WHAT HAPPENED WHEN MS. SPEARS WAS ON TOUR. WE WEREN'T
THERE THEN. THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE. AND I THINK THE
COURT SYSTEM HAS A PROCESS FOR THAT IF MS. SPEARS WANTS TO
BRING CLAIMS ABOUT WHAT SHE THINKS EXISTS, SHE NOW HAS A
LAWYER WHO CAN BRING THOSE, AND THERE IS A PROCESS THAT
GOES WITH THAT. WE JUST DON'T DO INVESTIGATIONS RANDOMLY
AND HAVE EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS BASED ON WHAT? DUE PROCESS
REQUIRES MORE THAN THAT, RIGHT?

SO THE CARE -- WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO

PRESENTING THAT TO THIS COURT. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO

PRESENT IT WITH A MOTION TO SEAL, AND I'M CONTEMPLATING

WE'RE GOING TO ASK THAT THE MOTION TO SEAL BE SEALED, AND

POSSIBLY DISCUSS IN CAMERA, BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED TO

CAREFULLY BALANCE WHAT COMES OUT IN THE PUBLIC.

OBVIOUSLY, THERE IS A LOT OF PUBLIC INTEREST ABOUT THIS

CASE, BUT MS. SPEARS DESERVES HER PRIVACY.

SHE WANTED TO TALK IN PRIVATE TODAY, AND WE
REALLY WANT TO FIGHT AND BE HER ADVOCATE FOR HER PRIVACY.

AND WE ALSO WANT TO FIGHT AND BE HER ADVOCATE TO AVOID HER

BEING EVALUATED; THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE WANTS TO DO. AND TO
AVOID HER SITTING ON THE STAND TO BE CROSS-EXAMINED
BECAUSE THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE WANTS TO DO BECAUSE THAT MIGHT
NOT BE THERAPEUTICALLY BEST FOR HER EITHER. SHE HAS US
COMPLETELY IN THE SEAT OF BEST INTEREST. AND I THINK OUR
CARE PLAN REALLY IS GOING TO ADDRESS EVERYTHING THAT
RELATES TO MS. MONTGOMERY, PERIOD, AS TEMPORARY

CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON.

WE HAVE ANSWERS FOR EVERYTHING, AND WE'RE HAPPY
TO GIVE THEM TO THIS COURT. AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, WHAT
THE CARE PLAN IS GOING TO DO IS GIVE A PATH OF WHAT THE
MEDICAL TEAM RECOMMENDS NEEDS TO BE DONE TO GET MS. SPEARS
BETTER AND TO GET HER TO THE POINT WHERE SHE DOESN'T NEED
THE CONSERVATORSHIP ANYMORE. THAT'S WHAT THE GOAL IS.
IT'S ALWAYS BEEN THE GOAL. AND WE'RE GOING TO KEEP
WORKING ON THE GOAL.

AND, YOU KNOW, WHAT'S NEW TODAY IS YOU HAVE A NEW ATTORNEY HERE FOR MS. SPEARS. I THINK HE'S JUST COME IN.

MR. ROSENGART, CAN WORK WITH HIS CLIENT. HE CAN FILE A

SUPPLEMENT TO THE PETITION FOR APPOINTING MS. MONTGOMERY,

AND CLARIFY IF MS. SPEARS ACCEPTS THE NOMINATION OF MY

CLIENT. MY CLIENT IS WILLING TO SERVE FOR AS LONG AS

MS. SPEARS WANTS HER, AS LONG AS THE COURT WANTS HER. IF

ANYONE NO LONGER WISHES HER TO SERVE, SHE WILL -- SHE'S

HAPPY TO STEP DOWN, NOT A PROBLEM. IT'S NOT AN EASY CASE

TO GET APPOINTED ON, LET ME TELL YOU. BUT SHE FEELS

STRONGLY THAT SHE NEEDS TO BE HERE FOR MS. SPEARS AND

ADVOCATE FOR HER BEST INTEREST.

AND, YOU KNOW, IT'S ALSO BEEN A PRETTY STRONG
RECOMMENDATION BY THE MEDICAL TEAM, THAT MR. SPEARS, HER
FATHER, NEEDS TO BE OFF OF THE CONSERVATORSHIP. IT'S NOT
GOOD FOR HER EITHER. AND YOU CAN HEAR HOW IMPASSIONED
MS. SPEARS IS ABOUT THAT. IT REALLY DOES UPSET HER, AND
THAT, YOU KNOW, I'M SURE MR. ROSENGART WILL BE CRANKING UP
THAT PETITION AND THAT PETITION WILL BE FILED, AND WE'LL
GET THERE, RIGHT? WE'LL GET THERE AND THERE WILL BE
EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS ON THAT IF WE CAN'T GET IT SETTLED,
RIGHT.

SO WE LOOK FORWARD TO THE CARE PLAN AND WE'RE TALKING TO THE MEDICAL TEAM. I THINK WE NEED ANOTHER 45 DAYS, ESPECIALLY SINCE IT NEEDS TO COME WITH A MOTION TO SEAL THAT WILL BE FAIRLY DETAILED ABOUT WHAT WE SEAL AND WHAT WE DON'T, AND WE'LL WORK WITH MR. SPEARS' ATTORNEY ON THAT. WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL ABOUT WHAT WE LET OUT INTO THE PUBLIC SPHERE.

AND LASTLY, MS. THOREEN MENTIONED THAT SHE DIDN'T BELIEVE HER CLIENT HAS ANY INVOLVEMENT IN THE DAY-TO-DAY AFFAIRS OF MS. SPEARS. WELL, HE'S NOT APPROVED EVERYTHING. EVERYTHING COSTS MONEY. I PUT THAT IN MY PLEADING. NOTHING GETS APPROVED; THE TRIPS THE VACATIONS, AN INCREASE IN SERVICES.

THE BOTTOM LINE IS, THE CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE AND THE CONSERVATOR OF THE PERSON HAS TO WORK AS A TEAM OR THIS ISN'T GOING TO WORK. AND WE ALL NEED TO GET TO MEDIATION PRETTY SOON, OR AGAIN, THIS ISN'T GOING TO WORK. WE ALL NEED TO BE A TEAM, AND WE ALL NEED TO BE FOCUSED ON

1 MS. SPEARS' BEST INTEREST BECAUSE THIS IS A 2 CONSERVATORSHIP, IT'S WHAT IT'S ABOUT. 3 SO ANYWAY, YOUR HONOR, I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT 4 CARE PLAN AND PRESENTING IT TO YOU AND GETTING YOU THE 5 ANSWER THE COURT WANTS ABOUT WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON THE LAST 6 TWO YEARS. ABSOLUTELY NO PROBLEM, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO 7 FILING IT. 8 MR. JONES: YOUR HONOR? YOUR HONOR? 9 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. LET ME HEAR FROM YOU, 10 MR. JONES. AND I'LL HEAR FROM YOU, MR. ROSENGART. 11 MR. ROSENGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. VERY BRIEFLY, 12 MS. WRIGHT SAID IT QUITE WELL. COMING IN LATE, ONE THING 13 THAT'S BECOME APPARENT TO ME IN THE SHORT TIME THAT WE'VE 14 BEEN ON THIS CASE IS THAT THIS IS NOT WORKING. WE KNOW 15 THAT. WHAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE AT THE HEART OF THIS 16 PROCEEDING HAS BEEN LOST. WHAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE AT THE 17 HEART OF THIS PROCEEDING IS WHAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST 18 OF THE CONSERVATEE? I FRANKLY WAS APPALLED BY WHAT I 19 HEARD FROM MS. THOREEN. THE GOAL HERE IS NOT TO PUT 20 MS. SPEARS ON TRIAL. THE GOAL IS TO END -- WHAT SHOULD BE TO END THE CONSERVATORSHIP. 21 22 THERE WAS NO REASON, WITH RESPECT, YOUR HONOR, 23 FOR THIS TO HAVE BEEN MADE PERMANENT IN THE FIRST PLACE. 24 TENTATIVELY, WE HAVE QUESTIONS OF A LAW FIRM THAT WE'VE 25 BEEN LOOKING INTO IN REGARD TO WHETHER OR NOT THIS WAS

28 | THAT COULD HAVE BEEN DEALT WITH DISCREETLY. AND A

EVEN A PROPER FORUM. AND I MEAN THAT WITH RESPECT TO THE

COURT. TO THE EXTENT THERE WAS A MENTAL ISSUE IN 2008,

26

CONSERVATORSHIP PROBABLY WAS NOT NECESSARY IN THE FIRST INSTANCE. THAT'S SOMETHING WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING INTO.

б

BUT RATHER THAN EXTENDING IT, AND LITIGATING AND BRINGING MS. SPEARS INTO COURT, WHICH WOULD VIOLATE ALL SORTS OF NORMS AND LAWS AND PRIVACY ISSUES, WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD. AND FRANKLY, GIVEN WHAT MS. SPEARS SAID IN OPEN COURT ON JUNE 23RD, AND HERE TODAY, THERE IS A REAL QUESTION AS TO WHY MR. SPEARS DOES NOT VOLUNTARILY STEP ASIDE TODAY. TODAY. WHY IS HE STILL INVOLVED IN THIS CONSERVATORSHIP? IS THERE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST? IS HE HERE FOR FINANCIAL REASONS?

ONE THING THAT CANNOT BE DISPUTED -- AND I SEE 10 PEOPLE ON A SCREEN, AND IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO GET 10 LAWYERS TO AGREE ON ANYTHING -- BUT THERE ARE PLENTY OF OTHER PEOPLE LIKE MS. MONTGOMERY WHO COULD COME IN AS A CO-CONSERVATOR OR CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE, OTHER THAN MR. SPEARS. DOES ANYBODY REALLY BELIEVE THAT MR. SPEARS' CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT IN THIS CASE IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF BRITNEY SPEARS AFTER WHAT WE'VE HEARD? THAT'S THE ISSUE, YOUR HONOR. AND THAT'S WHAT WE HOPE TO SHINE A LIGHT ON SO WE CAN MOVE FORWARD RATHER THAN LOOKING BACK.

SO YES, WE WILL BE FILING PETITIONS. WE WILL BE FILING A PETITION AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. WHAT WE ACTUALLY ASK IN OPEN COURT HERE TODAY, WHETHER OR NOT MR. SPEARS WILL VOLUNTARILY STEP ASIDE, WE BELIEVE HE SHOULD IN THE BEST INTEREST OF HIS DAUGHTER. MS. THOREEN HAS SAID PUBLICLY THAT HE LOVES HIS DAUGHTER. IF HE LOVES

HIS DAUGHTER, IT IS TIME TO STEP ASIDE AND MOVE ON SO MS. SPEARS CAN MOVE FORWARD TOWARD A PRODUCTIVE AND HEALTHY LIFE. AS SHE SAID, SHE WANTS HER LIFE BACK. THAT WOULD BE THE FIRST STEP TOWARD ALLOWING HER TO HAVE HER LIFE BACK. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THANK YOU. AND THEN MR. JONES, I KNOW YOU WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING.

MR. JONES: YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ON
BEHALF OF INTERESTED PARTY, LYNNE SPEARS, I AGREE ENTIRELY
WITH MR. ROSENGART, AND I'M VERY HAPPY TO SEE HIM HERE
TODAY. BUT WHAT I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO CONSIDER IS -FOLLOWING UP WITH HIS COMMENTS -- IS THAT THIS SYSTEM IS
BROKEN. THIS IS LAWYERS GONE WILD. THIS IS NOT ACTING IN
THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CONSERVATEE IN THE SLIGHTEST BIT.
AND WHAT MS. LYNNE SPEARS HOPES THE COURT WILL CONSIDER IS
TO GIVE MR. ROSENGART ENOUGH TIME TO GET BACK TO THE COURT
AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. LET'S NOT LET THE CONSERVATORS MOVE
AWAY FROM WHAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CONSERVATEE,
WHO WE'VE NOW HEARD FROM TWO TIMES. THIS IS, FRANKLY,
SHAMEFUL THAT WE SEE TWO CONSERVATORS THAT ARE KIND OF
GOING AT EACH OTHER. IT IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF
THIS CONSERVATEE, AND NEVER HAS BEEN.

SO YOUR HONOR, WHAT THIS -- WHAT MS. LYNNE SPEARS WOULD REQUEST IS, OF COURSE, IN CONSULTATION WITH MR. ROSENGART IN HIS EFFORTS TO GET UP TO SPEED. THIS IS NO EASY TASK TO TAKE. IF, WHEN WE GET BACK INTO THIS COURTROOM, THAT WE HAVE DIRECTION FROM THE COURT THAT WE

ARE TO WORK TOGETHER IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE
CONSERVATEE, WHEN THAT HAPPENS, MS. LYNNE SPEARS,
BRITNEY'S MOTHER, IS GOING TO BE HAPPY. THE CONSERVATEE
IS GOING TO BE HAPPY. THE COURT WILL DISCHARGE THIS
PROBABILITY, AND ALL OF US SHOULD BE ON THE SAME PAGE.
WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR, I THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SO MS. THOREEN, I KNOW THAT MR. ROSENGART ASKED YOU TO SEE WHAT YOUR POSITION IS ABOUT YOUR CLIENT.

MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, YES. VIVIAN THOREEN. YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THERE IS A PROCESS AND A MECHANISM IN THE COURT FOR HOW THINGS ARE DONE. AND FIRST JUST ADVISING ON THE PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF THE PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS, I DON'T THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO ASK MR. SPEARS TO STEP DOWN AT THIS POINT. I THINK THERE IS NO BASIS FOR THAT.

TALKING TO MR. ROSENGART BECAUSE I THINK THAT THERE IS A FAST-FORWARD TO THIS WITHOUT ALL OF THIS HYSTERIA ON THE RECORD. THERE ARE SO MANY MISSTATEMENTS, YOUR HONOR. I'M NOT GOING TO GET INTO ADDRESSING THEM ALL BECAUSE I AGREE THAT IT DOESN'T HELP THE CAUSE. BUT WHAT I'VE BEEN HEARING, WHAT I'VE BEEN HEARING AND THAT MUST BE SAID, THOUGH, IS THAT ONE OF THE BIGGEST ISSUES IS THAT MS. SPEARS HAS CERTAIN BELIEFS, AND THOSE BELIEFS ARE THAT HER FATHER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL OF THE BAD THINGS THAT HAVE HAPPENED TO HER, AND THAT IS THE FURTHEST THING FROM THE TRUTH.

SO IF YOU HAD A PERSON WHO BELIEVED THAT

ONE PERSON WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR A LITANY OF HORRIBLE THINGS 1 2 THAT YOU BELIEVE YOU HAVE IN YOUR RECOLLECTION, THEN I WOULDN'T BLAME HER FOR HAVING THOSE THOUGHTS. BUT I THINK 3 THAT'S PART OF THE ISSUE AS TO WHETHER IT'S FROM, AGAIN, 4 5 MISINFORMATION, LACK OF CORRECTION BEING ILL VOICED. I 6 DON'T KNOW. BUT WE ALSO DON'T HAVE PEOPLE COMING IN HERE 7 AND GIVING TESTIMONY WITHOUT AT LEAST GIVING THE OTHER SIDE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE THEIR PERSPECTIVE. 8 9 AND I DO LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH MS. WRIGHT. 10 I DO THINK THAT THE CONSERVATORS SHOULD WORK TOGETHER. AND THEY HAVE BEEN DOING IT, THEY HAVE BEEN DOING IT, YOUR 11 12 HONOR, AND THEY HAVE BEEN CONTINUING TO DO THAT. IN FACT, JUST THIS PAST WEEKEND, MS. MONTGOMERY REACHED OUT TO 13 14 MR. SPEARS TO SHARE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT MS. SPEARS. I WON'T DISCLOSE IT DESPITE THE FACT THAT, YOU KNOW, COUNSEL 15 DISCLOSED THE MEDICAL INFORMATION. BUT THE TWO ARE 16 17 WORKING TOGETHER, AND I THINK THAT'S ABSOLUTELY THE RIGHT 18 PATH, THAT THE CONSERVATORS NEED TO WORK TOGETHER TO COME. UP WITH A PLAN AND A STRUCTURE THAT IS IN MS. SPEARS' BEST 19 20 INTEREST. AND, YOU KNOW, BEFORE THINGS WENT A LITTLE 21 22 SIDEWAYS, THERE WERE DISCUSSIONS ON HOW TO RESOLVE THESE 23 MANY OUTSTANDING ISSUES. SO TO THE EXTENT THAT SOMEONE 24 HAS OFFERED MEDIATION, I THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE. I 25 AGREE THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE IN COURT LOBBING ACCUSATIONS, 26 ESPECIALLY WHEN THEY ARE UNSUBSTANTIATED. AND REGARDING, YOU KNOW, MS. SPEARS' TESTIMONY, 27 28 SHE, FOR THE RECORD -- AND AGAIN, I DON'T EVEN THINK THIS

1 NEEDS TO BE CLARIFIED, BUT MS. SPEARS HAS APPEARED NO ONE HAS COMPELLED THIS TESTIMONY. 2 VOLUNTARILY. SHE WANTED TO TALK AND OFFER, TAKE IT TO THE COURT. AND I 3 THINK WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS RECOGNIZE THAT WE ARE IN A 4 SPECIAL PROCEEDING. IT'S A CONSERVATORSHIP. 5 6 WELCOME MR. ROSENGART'S INVOLVEMENT SO THAT BETWEEN HIM, 7 MS. WRIGHT, AND MYSELF AND OUR RESPECTIVE TEAMS, WE CAN COME UP WITH A RESOLUTION BECAUSE THIS IS NOT THE RIGHT 8 9 PATH FORWARD. BUT TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT I DON'T EVEN 10 BELIEVE WARRANTS AN ANSWER, TO BE CRYSTAL CLEAR, MY CLIENT 11 IS NOT GOING TO RESIGN FROM BEING THE CONSERVATOR OF THE 12 ESTATE, AS -- IN THIS WAY, IN THIS FORUM, WITHOUT AN 13 14 OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSION WITH COUNSEL. I'M 15 STILL HAVING TO TALK TO MY CLIENT, BUT THIS IS NOT 16 APPROPRIATE. SO I WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO 17 COUNSEL AND MY CLIENT FURTHER. THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. AND HERE'S WHAT I'M 18 19 GOING TO SAY TO ALL OF THE LAWYERS, AND IT'S NOT THE FIRST 20 TIME I'VE SAID THIS. EVERYBODY SHOULD BE WORKING 21 COLLABORATIVELY TO HELP MS. SPEARS GET TO THE POINT WHERE 22 SHE'S TRYING TO GET TO, THAT SHE'S ARTICULATED IN THE LAST 23 COUPLE OF HEARINGS. SO IT'S NOT ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE, IT'S ABOUT HER. AND IF EVERYBODY COULD JUST KEEP THAT IN MIND, 24 25 I THINK IT WOULD GO A LONG WAY TOWARD MOVING THINGS IN THE 26 DIRECTION THAT MS. SPEARS WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM GO. 27 I'M LOOKING AT -- AND JUST ON ANOTHER NOTE,

MR. ROSENGART, IN TERMS OF, YOU KNOW, PETITIONS REQUIRE

NOTICES IN PROBATE. AND BEFORE, THE NOTICES HAVE BEEN PROVIDED TO MR. INGHAM. SO DO YOU WANT THE NOTICES TO GO DIRECTLY TO MS. SPEARS AND YOURSELF OR ONLY TO YOU? MR. ROSENGART: ONLY TO ME, YOUR HONOR. ONLY TO ME AND NOT TO MS. SPEARS. THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO I JUST WANTED TO GET THAT CLARIFIED SO EVERYBODY KNOWS WHAT TO DO. THE OTHER BIT OF HOUSEKEEPING BEFORE WE PICK A

NEW DATE TO COME BACK ON THE OTHER MATTERS IS, IS THAT WE NOTICED ON OUR END THAT THERE WERE A LOT OF UNTIMELY FILINGS TO CLEAR PROBATE NOTES THAT HAVE BEEN IN EXISTENCE FOR A WHILE. THAT'S REALLY AN UNDUE STRAIN ON THE PROBATE ATTORNEYS WHO ARE DOING THEIR BEST TO MAKE SURE THAT YOUR NOTES GET UPDATED NOTES AND NOTES GET CLEARED. SO PLEASE GET YOUR INFORMATION IN THAT IS DESIGNED TO CLEAR A NOTE IN RIGHT AWAY, BECAUSE THE NOTES HAVE BEEN THERE FOR A WHILE. SO TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU HAVE NOTES, AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF MATTERS WITH NOTES AND/OR OBJECTIONS, JUST GET THE NOTES CLEARED PROMPTLY SO THAT THERE IS NOT A LOT OF LAST-MINUTE FILING BECAUSE IT DOES PUT AN UNDUE STRESS ON PROBATE ATTORNEYS WHO DON'T NEED THAT WHEN THE NOTES ARE ALREADY OUT THERE AND YOU'RE AWARE THAT THEY ARE.

(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN THE COURT AND THE CLERK.)

THE COURT: SO THE TWO DATES THAT I WAS LOOKING AT FOR A RETURN IS EITHER SEPTEMBER 8TH AT 1:30 OR SEPTEMBER 29TH

```
AT 1:30. I WANT TO CHECK PEOPLE'S AVAILABILITY.
 1
         MR. ROSENGART: EITHER ONE IS FINE WITH ME, YOUR
 2
 3
     HONOR.
 4
         THE COURT: OKAY.
 5
              MR. JONES, WHAT ABOUT YOU?
 6
         MR. JONES: IT IS FINE, EITHER.
 7
         THE COURT: OKAY.
 8
              MS. THOREEN?
 9
         MS. THOREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR, SEPTEMBER 29TH WOULD
10
     WORK BETTER FOR ME.
11
         THE COURT: OKAY.
12
              MS. WRIGHT?
13
         MS. WRIGHT: THAT WORKS FOR ME. AND A QUICK REMINDER
14
     THAT MY CLIENT'S TEMPORARY LETTERS EXPIRE ON
15
     SEPTEMBER 3RD.
         THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THAT AS WELL.
16
17
     SO SEPTEMBER 29TH IS GOOD.
18
              AND WHAT ABOUT YOU, MR. BRONSHTEYN?
19
        MR. BRONSHTEYN: BOTH DATES WORK. AND THE DESIRE TO
     KEEP THIS CASE MOVING, I WOULD PREFER THE SEPTEMBER 8TH
20
21
     DATE BECAUSE IT'S ALMOST A MONTH SOONER.
         THE COURT: SO IS IT THAT YOU'RE NOT AVAILABLE AT ALL,
22
23
    MS. THOREEN, ON THE 8TH?
24
         MS. THOREEN: I HAVE A CONFLICT. I'M NOT SURE IF I
25
    CAN MOVE IT. I WOULD PREFER THE 29TH, YOUR HONOR. I
26
    APOLOGIZE.
27
        THE COURT: OKAY. COUNSEL -- AND I UNDERSTAND. I
28
    HAVE TO HAVE EVERYBODY --
```

```
MS. COHEN: YOUR HONOR? YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MS.
1
2
    COHEN. I BELIEVE SEPTEMBER 8TH IS A JEWISH HOLIDAY.
3
        THE COURT: OKAY.
        MS. COHEN: SO I WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE.
 4
5
        THE COURT: OKAY. SO IT'S A HOLIDAY.
6
        MR. BRONSHTEYN: I HAVE THAT AS THE 7TH, BUT IN ANY
7
    EVENT, THAT'S FINE, IF IT HAS TO BE THE 29TH, THAT'S FINE,
8
    YOUR HONOR.
9
        THE COURT: MS. COHEN, HOW ABOUT FOR YOU? HOW ABOUT
10
    THAT DATE?
11
        MS. COHEN: THE 29TH IS FINE. AND THE HOLIDAY
12
    ACTUALLY IS TWO DAYS, AND I AM REPOSING.
                                              THANKS.
13
        THE COURT: I DON'T SEE MS. WYLE. IS THAT DATE GOOD
    FOR HER AS WELL?
14
15
        MS. WYLE: I'M HERE, YOUR HONOR.
16
        THE COURT: OKAY.
17
        MS. WYLE: SORRY. SEPTEMBER 29TH WORKS FOR ME, YOUR
18
    HONOR. THANK YOU.
19
        THE COURT: OKAY. SO THE NEXT HEARING IS GOING TO BE
20
    SEPTEMBER 29TH AT 1:30.
             AND THEN, MR. ROSENGART, IF YOU'RE GOING TO BE
21
    FILING -- AND I'M SAYING THIS TO ANYBODY WHO IS GOING TO
22
23
    BE FILING ANY PLEADINGS -- I'LL GIVE YOU WHAT WE CALL AN
24
    OKAY-TO-SET, WHICH MEANS THAT WHEN YOU E-FILE THE
25
    PLEADINGS, YOU NEED TO REFERENCE THE MINUTE ORDER FROM
26
    TODAY SO THE CLERK'S OFFICE KNOWS THAT I GAVE YOU
27
    PERMISSION TO HAVE YOUR MATTER SET; OTHERWISE, YOU WON'T
28
    GET THAT DATE.
```

1 MR. ROSENGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT: AND MS. WRIGHT, IF YOU'RE GOING TO BE 3 FILING A MOTION, I'LL GIVE YOU PERMISSION TO SET YOUR 4 MOTION FOR THAT DATE, OKAY, SO WE CAN BLOCK THAT TIME FOR 5 YOU AS WELL. 6 MS. WRIGHT: THANK YOU. 7 MS. THOREEN: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS VIVIAN THOREEN. 8 THE COURT: YES. 9 MS. THOREEN: MAY I MAKE ONE LAST COMMENT? I THINK 1.0 THAT THIS NEEDS TO BE SAID. THERE WAS A COMMENT ABOUT THE DURATION WHICH VARIOUS PEOPLE HAVE BEEN INVOLVED. 11 12 MR. SPEARS HAS BEEN INVOLVED FROM DAY ONE SINCE BEFORE THE 13 CONSERVATORSHIP WAS EVEN STARTED. HE HAS BEEN THERE FOR 14 HIS DAUGHTER 24/7 FOR THE PAST 13 YEARS. THERE IS AN 15 ABUNDANCE OF EVIDENCE IN THE COURT FILE BY WAY OF, NOT JUST COURT ORDERS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC, BUT 16 17 THROUGH CONFIDENTIAL, ANNUAL, IF NOT MORE FREQUENT PROBATE INVESTIGATOR REPORTS THAT DETAIL -- THAT DOCUMENT THE 18 19 DETAIL AND THE LEVEL OF HIS INVOLVEMENT, AND THAT HE HAS ALWAYS AND CONSISTENTLY BEEN EXCITED BY WHAT IS HIS 20 21 DAUGHTER'S BEST INTEREST. AND HE CONTINUES TO ABIDE BY THAT WHEN HE MAKES DECISIONS ON HER BEHALF AND CONSULTS 22 23 WITH VARIOUS PEOPLE. 24 THE ONE THING THAT I DEFINITELY AGREE WITH 25

THE ONE THING THAT I DEFINITELY AGREE WITH

MR. ROSENGART ON TODAY, AND MS. WRIGHT, IS THAT HE LOVES

HIS DAUGHTER, AND HE ONLY WANTS THE BEST FOR HER. AND HE

IS VERY HURT AND TROUBLED BY ALL OF THESE ACCUSATIONS AND

CLAIMS THAT SEEM TO POINT THE FINGER AT HIM WHEN IT IS

26

27

ABSOLUTELY NOT THE CASE. AND I THINK HAVING THIS TIME FOR MR. ROSENGART AND HIS TEAM TO GET UP TO SPEED TO REVIEW THE EXTENSIVE -- THIS VOLUMINOUS COURT FILE, INCLUDING ALL OF THE CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS, WILL PROVIDE, I THINK, A MUCH DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE THAN THE ONE HE HAS SO FAR. AND THAT'S NOT TO BE CRITICAL. I MEAN, HE'S BEEN INVOLVED FOR, YOU KNOW, IT'S BEEN A MATTER OF A COUPLE OF WEEKS, AT MOST.

SO I THINK THIS TIME AND HAVING THIS CONTINUANCE WILL ENABLE COUNSEL TO GET TOGETHER AND TO TRY TO RESOLVE AS MUCH AS WE CAN INFORMALLY SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE THESE PROTRACTED PROCEEDINGS, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS MY FERVENT GOAL, AND I KNOW THAT IS MR. SPEARS' AS WELL.

THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MS. THOREEN.

SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO, MS. WRIGHT, IS EXTEND
THE TEMPORARY LETTERS TO OCTOBER 8TH, AND IF THERE IS A
NEED FOR A FURTHER EXTENSION ON SEPTEMBER 29TH, WE'LL
ADDRESS IT THEN.

SO THE MATTERS I'M PUTTING OVER TO SEPTEMBER 29

ARE 5001, 5002, 5003, 5004, 5, AND 6. 5007 AND 5008 WILL

ALSO BE PUT OVER TO SEPTEMBER 29TH. AND THEN 8 AND -- DID

I SAY 9? 5009 IS PUT OVER AS WELL. AND WE'LL BE

CONTINUING 5013 TO MONDAY AT THREE O'CLOCK.

MS. WYLE: AND, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MS. WYLE. IF I COULD JUST NOTE, BECAUSE WE HAVE A SERIES OF FILINGS THAT WERE NOT -- STATUTORY NOTICE WAS NOT GIVEN. COULD I BE CORRECT IN ASSUMING THAT THE OKAY-TO-SET REQUIRES EITHER THE STATUTORY NOTICE OR THE EX PARTE SHORTENING NOTICE?

1	THE COURT: WELL, THE OKAY-TO-SET SO I DON'T KNOW
2	WHAT KIND OF PETITIONS MR. ROSENGART IS GOING TO BE
3	FILING, BUT THE TYPICAL NOTICE IS 15 DAYS. SO I'D JUST
4	KEEP IN WHATEVER PETITIONER'S FILING, JUST KEEP IN MIND
5	THAT WHATEVER THE STATUTORY PERIOD IS FOR THAT.
6	MR. ROSENGART: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
8	ANYTHING ELSE FROM ANYBODY BEFORE WE CONCLUDE
9	TODAY?
10	MR. ROSENGART: NO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I JUST
11	WANT TO THANK THE COURT FOR YOUR COURTESY AND COOPERATION.
12	WE DO APPRECIATE IT. AND IT'S A PLEASURE MEETING YOU,
13	YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: NICE MEETING YOU AS WELL, SIR.
15	AND THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.
16	AND THANKS AGAIN, MS. SPEARS, FOR TALKING TO US
17	TODAY.
18	MS. BRITNEY SPEARS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
19	MS. WRIGHT: THANK YOU.
20	THE COURT: THANK YOU, SEE YOU ON THE 29TH.
21	
22	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 3:20 P.M.)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	I .

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. ST-4 HON. BRENDA J. PENNY, JUDGE
4	
5	IN RE THE CONSERVATORSHIP OF) NO. BP108870
6	BRITNEY JEAN SPEARS, REPORTERS
7	CONSERVATEE.
8)
9	
10	
11	I, LISA D. LUNA, CSR NO. 10229, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF
12	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
14	FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 59, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL,
15	TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN
16	THE MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE IN DEPARTMENT 4 ON
17	JULY 14, 2021.
18	DATED THIS 22ND DAY OF JULY, 2021.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	1
24	
25	100 Julie, CSR NO. 10229
26	OFFICIAL REPORTER
27	
28	